

Agency/Program: Centers for Success (formerly Tallevast) – Afterschool Enhancement	
FY19-20 Recommendations	Rationale/Concerns
<p>No funding recommended – per vote on 8/21/19</p> <p>Moved by: George VanBuren 2nd by: Gina Messenger Vote Summary: 9 Yes; 0 No Yes Votes: Xtavia Bailey, Sandra Stone, Jeremy Giddens, Kimberly Kutch, Cornelle Maxfield, Gina Messenger, Debbie Tapp, Gail Wynne, George VanBuren Motion Passed</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor return on investment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Per address mapping test of children served, only 4 children from Tallevast ○ Per agency records, serving an average of 12 children during afterschool days, and 20 children during the summer programming • Inconsistencies in what was proposed, vs. what the agency is doing <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Less children served than proposed and not serving the neighborhood ○ Children are bused in from as far as 18 miles from the center ○ Staff pay above local industry standards • Questionable financial reporting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ tested bank statements against quarterly reports submitted and found unreported revenues and expenditures ○ independent audit submitted did not show any revenues from Manatee County
<p>Comments: There were concerns about nepotism (half of board and all of staff related to board chair); locking out the Tallevast community; program overstaffing over the Afterschool Standards of 20/1. There are other out of school time programs funded by the Children’s Millage that could absorb the children attending this program.</p>	

Agency/Program: Manatee County Family YMCA – YDASH at Harllee Middle School	
FY19-20 Recommendations	Rationale/Concerns
<p>No funding recommended – per vote on 5/22/19</p> <p>No motion/vote on 8/21/19</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In response to questions on Internal Audit report in 2014 of fraud where agency falsified documentation over a multiyear period, agency responses: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Agency didn’t recognize any wrongdoing ○ Agency gave an unacceptable response when questioned on what they have done to put safeguards in place • Application did not provide the required verification that the proposed program would serve abused, neglected, at-risk, or economically disadvantaged children as required in Ordinance 91-42 • Application did not provide the required MOU for key partners needed for the program (i.e., permission to use the Harllee Middle School campus for afterschool hours and summer) and the Superintendent stated the request and approval was for summer only • Question of supplanting funds: Application stated the program operated in current and past year, but reported no funding was received for the program in the budget.
<p>Comments: There were many red flags present in the application and in the in-person presentation by the CEO of the agency on 5/1/19</p>	

Agency/Program: The School District of Manatee County – STAND - Its Your Choice	
FY19-20 Recommendations	Rationale/Concerns
No funding recommended – per vote on 5/22/19 No motion/vote on 8/21/19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor return on investment • Application did not provide evidence that the proposed program would serve abused, neglected, at-risk, or economically disadvantaged children as required in Ordinance 91-42 • Results <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Because the program has a duration of two weeks and no follow-up or track if participants become pregnant, use drugs, bully their peers, or other risky behaviors, the results are very weak and did not score well ○ The results measurement tool is self-reporting • In the barriers question, the application listed all participants as having a low amount of barriers to success vs. mid-range or high-range barriers • Applicant does not try to get funding from other sources, such as foundations or individual donors, and relies 100% on county funding
Comments: This program did not meet a CSAB priority and scored very low on results	

Agency/Program: Healthy Teens Coalition of Manatee County, Inc. – Teen Health	
FY19-20 Recommendations	Rationale/Concerns
No funding recommended – per vote on 5/22/19 8/21/19 motion to fund @ \$45,000 was made by Gina Messenger, 2 nd by Jeremy Giddens. Vote Summary: 2 Yes, 7 No Yes Votes: Gina Messenger, Jeremy Giddens Motion Failed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Return on investment and costs of the program are unclear <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Program director was formerly a volunteer and now to be paid \$37,500 ○ Teen mentors are volunteers • Application did not provide evidence that the proposed program would serve abused, neglected, at-risk, or economically disadvantaged children as required in Ordinance 91-42 • Results <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Results were based on training teen mentors, vs. the recipients of teen mentoring ○ No tracking of end results ○ Measurement tool was weak
Comments: The agency provided a new budget, reducing their request to \$45,000. There was no opportunity for the CSAB to reassess the program based on this change.	

Agency/Program: Replay Outreach – Success4Life Training	
FY19-20 Recommendations	Rationale/Concerns
<p>No funding recommended – per vote on 5/22/19</p> <p>8/21/19 motion to fund @ \$50,000 was made by Jeremy Giddens, 2nd by Cornelle Maxfield. Vote Summary: 4 Yes, 4 No Yes Votes: Jeremy Giddens, Cornelle Maxfield, Xtavia Bailey, Sandra Stone Motion Failed</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerns about program stability <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Low numbers of youth served, and staff turnover caused the agency to come back to the CSAB in FY18/19 to amend their program so they could draw down their full funding • Results <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Results did not define the human gain, or the benefit to the youth ○ Result score was below the threshold of 17/24 • Application did not provide the required MOU for key partners needed for the program • Application overall provided very low-quality answers in spite of built in guidance and examples for each question
<p>Comments: It appears that the agency has worked to make improvements in the program. The staff has worked with the agency on their results statements, targets, milestones, and verifications. The staff will, if the program is funded, work with the agency more on getting better result measurements. This program works with difficult/high risk youth that are not served through other programs.</p>	