2005 JAN -3 PM 12: 14

CLERK JA THE CIRCUIT COORT ORDINANCE OF MANATEE COUNTY, FLORIDANOV 18 AM 10: 29 MANATEE CO. FLORIDAREGARDING PHOSPHATE MINING, **AMENDING** AND RESTATING MANATEE COUNTY 81252 CALLES CIRCUIT COURT **ORDINANCE** RELATING TO THE REGULATION OF PHOSPHATE MINING AND RECLAMATION ACTIVITIES IN MANATEE COUNTY; PROVIDING FOR A SHORT TITLE; PROVIDING FOR A PURPOSE; PROVIDING FOR APPLICABILITY; PROVIDING FOR **MINING** RESTRICTIONS: **PROVIDING** EXEMPTIONS; PROVIDING SPECIAL PROTECTION FOR WATERSHEDS; PROVIDING FOR ADMINISTRATION OF THE ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR FEES; PROVIDING FOR DEFINITIONS; PROVIDING MINIMUM MINING STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS; PROVIDING FOR APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS; PROVIDING FOR MASTER MINING PLAN APPLICATION SUBMITTAL CRITERIA; PROVIDING FOR EFFECT OF MASTER MINING PLAN APPROVAL; PROVIDING **OPERATING** PERMIT SUBMITTAL PROVIDING FOR EFFECT OF **OPERATING** PERMIT APPROVAL; PROVIDING FOR APPLICATION PROCEDURES; PROVIDING FOR INITIAL APPLICATION; PROVIDING FOR ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORTS AND RECLAMATION APPROVAL (RELEASE); PROVIDING FOR MASTER MINING PLAN AND OPERATING AMENDMENTS, SUBSEQUENT PERMIT PROCEDURES, TRANSFERS, WAIVERS AND FOR APPEAL OF DECISIONS OF THE DIRECTOR; PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT OF THE ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR LIABILITY FOR COSTS AND DAMAGES; PROVIDING FOR INSPECTIONS; PROVIDING FO 起口 VIOLATIONS: PROVIDING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE品 **ENFORCEMENT** PROCEDURES; **PROVIDING** FOR SUSPENSION OR REVOCATION OF APPROVALS PERMITS; PROVIDING FOR JUDICIAL ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES; PROVIDING FOR THE MANATEE COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FUND; PROVIDING FOR **PROCEEDINGS AGAINST** BONDS: **PROVIDING** SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

PILEU

2004 NOV | 2 AM |O: 51 CEPARIMENT OF STATI WHEREAS, Manatee County is a political subdivision of the State of Florida, and

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of the County (hereinafter "Board") is empowered by Section 125.01(1)(g), to adopt and enforce environmental regulations for the County; and

WHEREAS, the Board recognizes that phosphate is an essential ingredient in fertilizer, and is necessary for the strong and healthy growth of ornamental plants and food crops; and

WHEREAS, parts of the County, overlie the Peace River Formation, which contains the Bone Valley member, a geologic feature that holds the most extensive phosphate deposits in the United States; and

WHEREAS, mining of phosphate, and associated activities such as beneficiation and transportation, if not carried out in accordance with the requirements set forth below, has the potential to pose a threat to the health, safety and welfare of persons, plants and animals in the County, and to the beneficial enjoyment thereof in the County; and

WHEREAS, Manatee County owns and operates a drinking water reservoir known as Lake Manatee in connection with the maintenance and operation of a potable water treatment and distribution system, which serves as the primary source of drinking water for more than 280,000 people in a region comprising unincorporated Manatee County; the cities of Anna Maria, Holmes Beach, Bradenton Beach, the Town of Longboat Key; and a substantial portion of the northern part of Sarasota County, Florida; and

WHEREAS, the City of Bradenton also owns and operates a drinking water reservoir known as Evers Reservoir, which serves as the primary source of drinking water for more than 50,000 people within the incorporated City of Bradenton, but whose watershed area lies predominantly within the unincorporated area of Manatee County; and

WHEREAS, the Peace River, which has a portion of its headwaters in Manatee County, provides drinking water to approximately 99,000 residents of Charlotte County; to additional customers in DeSoto and Sarasota Counties; and is a backup source of potable water for the citizens of Manatee County; and

WHEREAS, in 1981 the Board adopted Ordinance 81-22, codified as Section 2-20 of the Manatee County Code of Laws (the "Phosphate Mining Ordinance"), which regulated mining in Manatee County; and

WHEREAS, advances in knowledge and technology related to mining and reclamation, dictates the need to revise the Phosphate Mining Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that phosphate mining in the watersheds of Lake Manatee and Evers Reservoir and the Peace River has the potential to pose a threat to the public health, safety and welfare, and could impact Manatee County's potable water system; and

WHEREAS, unregulated mining can cause impacts on habitat, water quality and water quantity within the twenty-five year floodplains of the County's surface waterbodies;

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of the public, and necessary for the protection of the health, safety and welfare of the public, to amend and restate the Phosphate Mining Ordinance as provided herein, to protect Manatee County's citizens and natural resources from potential adverse environmental impacts of phosphate exploration, mining, processing, transportation and reclamation in the County; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds this amended and restated Phosphate Mining Ordinance to be consistent with the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of County Commissioners of Manatee County, Florida, as follows:

### I. GENERAL

### A. AMENDMENT AND RESTATEMENT OF PRIOR ORDINANCE

Manatee County Ordinance No. 81-22, codified as Section 2-20 of the Manatee County Code of Laws, is hereby amended and restated in its entirety as set forth herein, and the text of Section 2-20 of the Manatee County Code of Laws shall be replaced in its entirety by the text of this Ordinance. Most of the restrictions and requirements set forth herein are substantively the same as those imposed under Ordinance No. 81-22 (although some have been revised for purposes of clarity, conciseness and organization), and it is the Board's intent that the provisions of this Ordinance that represent a restatement of the provisions of Ordinance No. 81-22 be applied to regulated property in the same manner as were the provisions of Ordinance No. 81-22. Mining activities under operating permits and master mining plans issued and approved prior to the effective date of this Ordinance, pursuant to Ordinance No. 81-22, shall be governed by this Ordinance in accordance with Section I.D. hereof.

### B. SHORT TITLE

This Ordinance shall be known and may be cited as "The Manatee County Phosphate Mining Code."

### C. PURPOSE

The purpose of this Ordinance is to protect the public health, safety and general welfare; to ensure the orderly development and recovery of phosphate resources in a manner compatible with the overall development of the County; to conserve natural and environmental resources for present and future generations; to minimize the adverse impacts of mining; to provide for uniform reclamation standards; to provide for financial responsibility; and to ensure that phosphate mining is carried out in such a manner as not to preclude future normal and beneficial

uses of mined-out lands; and to ensure that phosphate mining activities are conducted in compliance with the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan, as it may be amended from time to

### D. APPLICABILITY

- 1. This Ordinance shall apply to master mining plans and operating permits for which applications were filed on or after July 1, 2004, and to significant amendments to master mining plans or operating permits existing on the effective date of this Ordinance, for which established herein
- 2. Master mining plans approved prior to the effective date of this Ordinance shall remain in full force and effect. P rovided, however, in the event the holder of such a master mining plan applies for a significant amendment thereto, the approval of a significant amendment shall meet the requirements of this Ordinance, unless such significant amendment, or a portion thereof, is exempted from this Ordinance pursuant to Section 5 or 6, below. The amendment to bring it into further compliance with this Ordinance, except to the extent such master mining plan is exempted from this Ordinance pursuant to Section 5 or 6, below.
- 3. Operating permits approved prior to the effective date of this Ordinance shall remain in full force and effect, and all mining activities conducted pursuant to such operating permits shall be deemed to comply with this Ordinance if such mining activities are conducted in accordance with the original Ordinance No. 81-22. Provided, however, in the event the holder of such an operating permit applies for a significant amendment thereto, the approval of the significant amendment shall meet the requirements of this Ordinance, unless such significant amendment, or a portion thereof, is exempted from this Ordinance pursuant to Section 5 or 6 hereof. The County may require additional changes to the operating permit not subject to the operating permit is exempted from this Ordinance with this Ordinance, except to the extent such expiration date of such an operating permit, any application for a new operating permit, whether or not governed by a master mining plan approved prior to the effective date of this Ordinance, except to the extent such operating permit is Ordinance pursuant to Section 5 or 6, below.
- 4. All applications for new master mining plans and operating permits for mining within areas covered by such new master mining plans filed on or after the effective date of this Ordinance, and all mining activities conducted pursuant thereto, shall meet the requirements of this Ordinance, except to the extent exempted from this Ordinance pursuant to Section 6, below.
- 5. An applicant seeking an operating permit, or approval of a significant amendment thereto, or approval of a significant amendment to a previously approved master mining plan, may apply for and may be granted a vested rights exemption from one or more of the requirements of this Ordinance, provided the following conditions are met:

- a. The applicant shall specifically (i) identify those provisions from which it seeks a vested rights exemption; (ii) state the reasons why such a vested rights exemption should be granted; and (iii) describe in detail how the applicant will meet the requirements of Section I.D.5.d., below, if the vested rights exemption is granted;
- b. An operating permit, or significant amendment thereto, for which the applicant seeks a vested rights exemption shall be governed by, and meet the requirements of, an existing master mining plan applicable to the same area that is subject to the operating permit, that was approved prior to the effective date of this Ordinance, or, in the case of a significant amendment to a master mining plan, the significant amendment shall satisfy the original intent of the County in its approval of the existing master mining plan;
- c. The Board shall make a specific finding that failure to grant the vested rights exemption will deprive the applicant of a vested property right, based upon (i) whether the applicant has relied in good faith, (ii) upon the standards and requirements applicable pursuant to the original Ordinance No. 81-22, and (iii) as a result, has made a substantial change or has incurred such extensive obligations and expenses that it would be highly inequitable and unjust to destroy the rights acquired by the applicant; and
- d. The Board shall make a specific finding that the elements or aspects of the operating permit, or significant amendment thereto, or significant amendment to the master mining plan, that are the subject of the vested rights exemption would have met the requirements of the original Ordinance No. 81-22 were it in effect.

Except as provided below, a vested rights exemptions will be considered for approval concurrently with the consideration of the master mining plan, operating permit or significant amendment to which such vested rights exemption pertains. Provided, however, an applicant may request a single preliminary vested rights exemption, to be considered for approval in advance of the hearings for the application for the master mining plan, operating permit or significant amendment to which such vested rights exemption pertains (hereinafter, a "preliminary vested rights exemption"). A vested rights exemption shall exempt an applicant from only those requirements of this Ordinance specifically identified in the vested rights exemption.

6. An applicant seeking a master mining plan, an operating permit, or approval of a significant amendment thereto, may apply for and be granted a specific approval for an exemption from one or more of the requirements of this Ordinance, provided the following conditions are met:

- a. The applicant shall specifically (i) identify those provisions from which it seeks an exemption; (ii) state the reasons why such an exemption should be granted; and (iii) describe in detail show the applicant will meet the requirements of Section I.D.6.c.below if the exemption is granted;
- b. The Board shall make a specific finding that granting the exemption will substantially satisfy the intent and purpose of this Ordinance; and
- c. The Board shall make a specific finding that the elements or aspects of the master mining plan, operating permit, or significant amendment thereto, that are the subject of the exemption would have met the requirements of the original Ordinance No. 81-22 were it still in effect.
- 7. The approval of a master mining plan and/or the issuance of an operating permit for which the County received an application before July 1, 2004, shall not be subject to the provisions of this Ordinance, but shall comply with the original provisions of Ordinance No. 81-22, unless such application expires or is substantially amended to increase the adverse impacts of the subject mining activities, in which case such application will be deemed to have been filed on the date of such amendment.

### E. MINING RESTRICTIONS

- 1. No mining activities shall be conducted in the absence of an approved master mining plan and operating permit.
- 2. With the exception of temporary crossings, as identified and approved in a master mining plan and operating permit, phosphate mining activities below the 25-year floodplain elevation shall be prohibited, unless the applicant can demonstrate through competent and substantial evidence that mining activities could occur in the 25-year floodplain and that the floodplain system could be reclaimed or recreated without adverse effects to water quality, water quantity or natural habitats therein.
- 3. There shall be no mining activities, as defined in this Ordinance, in wetlands that are functionally integrated with 25-year floodplains or perennial streams unless the applicant can demonstrate through competent and substantial evidence that mining could occur in such wetlands and that they could be reclaimed or recreated without adverse effects to water quality, water quantity or natural habitats or species therein.

### F. EXEMPTIONS

1. Minor and/or major earthmoving activities that are subject to the provisions of Section 732 of the Manatee County Land Development Code, or its successor provisions, shall not be considered phosphate mining activities, and shall not be subject to the provisions of this Ordinance, and phosphate mining activities shall not be subject to the provisions of the Land Development Code, except as specifically stated herein or in the Land Development Code.

- 2. Construction and/or operation of a phosphate fertilizer manufacturing plant shall not be subject to the provisions of this Ordinance.
- 3. No provision of this Ordinance shall be construed to exempt mining activities from the requirements of the Comprehensive Plan, including, without limitation, the wetlands avoidance requirements set forth in Comprehensive Plan Policy 3.3.1.1., as amended, or its successor provision.

### G. SPECIAL PROTECTION FOR WATERSHEDS

- 1. Because the watershed of the Lake Manatee Reservoir, the watershed of the Evers Reservoir and the watershed of the Peace River occupy such a critical role in maintaining the health, safety and welfare of the people of Manatee County, the region and the State, no master mining plans or operating permits shall be approved that would allow mining activities in such watersheds, except where an applicant demonstrates, with competent and substantial evidence, that such mining activities will not cause a degradation of water quality and will not cause adverse impacts on water quantity within the affected watershed, according to the conditions set forth in Comprehensive Plan Policy 2.2.2.2.5(c), as amended, or its successor provision.
- Any person authorized pursuant to Section I.G.1., above, to engage in mining activities in such a watershed shall employ the best possible technology and best operating practices.
- 3. No clay settling areas or beneficiation plants shall be located within any such watershed, and no processing of phosphate ore shall occur within any such watershed. No hazardous substances (within the meaning set forth in the Land Development Code) shall be stored within any such watershed unless specifically approved by the Board in accordance with the standards set forth in Section I.D.6., hereof.

### H. ADMINISTRATION OF THE ORDINANCE

Except as otherwise provided herein, this Ordinance shall be administered, implemented, and enforced by the Director, subject to the review and authority of the Board. The Director shall coordinate the activities of all appropriate County agencies concerning the processing, review, monitoring, and inspection of phosphate mining activities within the boundaries of the County. This Section shall not limit the authority of the Board or any County agency to enforce or monitor compliance with other applicable statutes, ordinances, resolutions, regulations or permit conditions, including, without limitation, the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan.

### I. FEES

The Board is authorized to set reasonable fees and charges for the implementation of this Ordinance. Such fees shall be set by resolution. Under no circumstances shall said fees or any part thereof be refunded for failure of the Board to approve an application.

# II. DEFINITIONS

The terms used in this Ordinance shall have the following meanings, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise.

<u>Acres Mined</u> - shall mean all acres upon which mining operations have resulted in the extraction of phosphate rock.

Adjacent - shall mean, when referring to the location of land in proximity to other land upon which mining activities may occur, that such lands adjoin, or are in close proximity to, one another, whether or not contiguous. The separation of lands by a right-of-way for a highway, road, railroad, canal or utility, or a body of water, watercourse or other minor geographical division of a similar nature, running parallel with and between such lands, shall not prevent such lands from being treated as adjacent for purposes of this Ordinance.

Annual Progress Report - shall mean that report prepared annually and submitted by the applicant to the Director, in accordance with Section V.B.1, hereof, who shall determine whether the applicant has followed its master mining plan and operating permit for the preceding year and whether the applicant's plans for the coming year differ from those approved in the master mining plan and operating permit.

<u>Applicant</u> - shall refer to the person(s), entity or entities engaged or seeking to be engaged in phosphate mining activities.

Beneficiation - shall mean the step in phosphate processing whereby the matrix is physically and chemically treated to separate the phosphate rock, clay and sand fractions.

Best Operating Practices (BOPs) - shall refer to practices that are technologically and economically practicable and beneficial in preventing or reducing adverse impacts from phosphate mining activities. BOPs may include, but shall not be limited to: water reuse; augmentation of flows to surface or groundwater bodies that could be adversely affected by of monitoring and inspections; specialized training for mining personnel, and the like.

Best Possible Technology (BPT) - shall mean the most advanced technology that provides the maximum possible protection for the public health, safety and welfare, and which minimizes to the greatest degree possible any adverse impacts from mining activities in the Watershed Protection Overlay Districts. Best possible technology may include, but shall not be limited to: innovative reclamation techniques; augmentation of public water supplies that could be adversely affected by mining activities; construction of secondary containment structures or other measures to ensure against catastrophic failure of primary containment structures; below-measures shall not be required if the applicant demonstrates to the Director's satisfaction that they are technically infeasible or economically prohibitive.

**Board** - shall refer to the Board of County Commissioners of Manatee County, Florida.

<u>Clavs</u> - shall mean the clay and water mixture and other fine mineral particles (generally under 150 mesh in size) that are the by-product of processing the matrix to extract the phosphate rock.

<u>Clay Settling Area</u> - shall mean that area lying between earthen dams or within excavated areas, which is used primarily for impounding clays or other wastes, sometimes also referred to as a slime p ond or an "initial" or "waste-clay" settling area. S pecifically excluded are sand/clay reclamation sites.

**County** - shall mean the County of Manatee, a political subdivision of the State of Florida.

<u>Cumulative Impacts</u> - shall mean the impacts of past, current and reasonably foreseeable phosphate mining activities carried on within Manatee County on the natural resources of Manatee County, identified by a study conducted pursuant to the requirements of Appendix D of this Ordinance

<u>Degradation</u> – shall mean a statistically significant negative change or violation of a local, State, or federal standard which results in the lowering of water quality conditions of a creek, stream, lake, or river.

**Department** - shall mean the Manatee County Environmental Management Department.

<u>Development of Regional Impact (DRI)</u> – in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 380, Florida Statutes, as amended, shall mean any development which, because of its character, magnitude, or location would have a substantial effect upon the health, safety or welfare of citizens of more than one (1) county.

<u>Director</u> - shall refer to the Director of the Department or his/her designee, who shall be charged by the County Administrator with ensuring implementation of this Ordinance and enforcing its requirements.

<u>Disturbed Lands</u> - shall mean the surface area of the land that is mined and all other land area in which the natural land surface has been disturbed as a result of, or incidental to, phosphate mining activities.

<u>Existing Mining Operation</u> - shall mean a phosphate mining operation that was ongoing under a valid master mining plan and operating permit as of the effective date of this Ordinance.

<u>Exploration</u> - shall mean those activities undertaken in an effort to determine the presence and location of the phosphate resource and its recoverability.

<u>Exotic or Nuisance Species</u> - shall mean those species not native to Florida, and which have been scientifically shown to have undesirable ecological impacts; such species shall include,

but not necessarily be limited to: Brazilian pepper (Schinus terebinthifolius); cajeput (Melaleuca quinquenervia) and Australian pine (Casuarina spp.).

Floodplain. Twenty-five (25) Year - shall mean that area delineated as such by the Southwest Florida Water Management District or the U.S. Geological Survey, whichever delineation encompasses the greater area. The applicant shall have the ability to delineate, through the use of proper hydrologic studies, an estimate of the 25-year floodplain area. Delineations provided by the applicant shall be subject to review and acceptance by the County.

<u>Historic Resources</u> - shall mean all areas, districts or sites containing properties listed as significant on the Florida Master Site File, the National Register of Historic Places, or designated by Manatee County as historically, architecturally or archaeologically significant.

Listed Species - shall mean flora and fauna as identified by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's "List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants" in 50 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 17.11-12; flora as identified by the Florida Department of Agriculture & Consumer Services as specified by the Preservation of Native Flora Act in Section 581.185-187, Florida Statutes (F.S.); and fauna identified by the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission in Rules 39-27.003 and 39-27.004. Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). Endangered Species are so designated due to anthropogenic or natural factors that have placed them in imminent danger of extinction, while threatened species are so designated due to a rapid decline in number and/or habitat such that they may likely become endangered in the absence of corrective action.

Manatee County Comprehensive Plan, or Comprehensive Plan - shall mean the 2020 Manatee County Comprehensive Plan (Manatee County Ordinance 89-01, as amended from time to time).

Manatee County Land Development Code, or Land Development Code - shall mean Manatee County Ordinance 90-01, as amended, the code of land development regulations adopted by the Board to implement the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan.

Manatee County Phosphate Mining Reclamation Manual - shall mean that manual governing the reclamation of mined lands in Manatee County, as amended.

<u>Master Mining Plan</u> - shall mean a Board-approved plan that provides a description of proposed mining activities over the life of a mine, which shall allow review of the applicant's proposed pre-mining and mining activities, including the plan for reclamation.

<u>Matrix</u> - shall mean the combination of naturally-occurring materials (phosphate ore), including sands and clays, in which phosphate rock is imbedded.

Mine - shall mean an area of land upon which mining activities or operations have been conducted, are being conducted, or are planned to be conducted, as the term is commonly used in the industry.

Mining Activities or Phosphate Mining Activities - shall mean all functions, work, facilities and activities performed in connection with the development, extraction, drying, transporting or processing of matrix (phosphate ore), and all uses reasonably incidental thereto, including, without limitation, reclamation. The construction and use of recharge ditches and other ancillary activities, as well as reclamation, shall also be deemed "mining" for the purposes of this Ordinance. Exploration, site surveying, site preparation (e.g., grading, tree removal, grubbing, etc.), prospecting, coring, mapping, and other functions necessary solely for proper preparation of permit applications shall not be considered "mining activities."

Mining Extraction Activities – shall mean the following mining activities: operation of draglines and dredges for the removal of phosphate ore; construction and maintenance of recharge ditches; pumps greater than ten (10) horsepower; slurry wells and associated equipment; and slurry pipelines that are not secondarily contained.

Operating Permit - shall mean the written authorization, granted by the Board of County Commissioners through an adopted resolution, for an applicant to proceed with specified phosphate mining activities for a specified period of time, on a tract unit. An operating permit shall generally require that the applicant provide more site-specific information than that available at the time of Development of Regional Impact (DRI) and master mining plan review.

<u>Overburden</u> - shall mean the layer of sand and clay and/or other minerals that typically sits above the stratum of phosphate.

<u>Perennial Streams</u> - shall be any lake or stream shown as perennial on the most recent U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Topographical Quadrangle Maps, or in the most recent Soil Survey of Manatee County, published by the United States Department of Agriculture, or as delineated by a method accepted by the County.

<u>Person</u> - shall mean an individual, group of individuals, firms, associations, joint ventures, partnerships, e states, trustees, b usiness trusts, syndicates, fiduciaries, c orporations, and all other groups or combinations thereof.

<u>Phosphate Fertilizer Manufacturing Plant</u> - shall mean a facility that manufactures sulfuric acid by sulfur burning, wet-process phosphoric acid, ammonium phosphate, normal superphosphate and triple superphosphate, and produces a by-product of phosphogypsum.

<u>Planning Commission</u> - shall mean the Commission created and governed by Section 302 of the Manatee County Land Development Code.

<u>Reclamation</u> - shall mean the reshaping and revegetation of land and waterbodies disturbed or affected by phosphate mining activities.

<u>Sand/Clav Reclamation Site</u> - shall refer to a location or locations where sand/clay mixtures are deposited and settled within dams or berms constructed over excavated areas as part of a final reclamation effort.

<u>Significant Amendment</u> - shall mean any proposed amendment to a master mining plan or operating p ermit that i ncludes: a ny addition of s ubstantial a creage to be m ined; a ny s ubstantial change in the location or manner of construction of a clay settling area, permanent roads or other transportation facilities; or any other change that might reasonably result in substantially increased adverse impacts.

<u>Slimes</u> - shall mean the clay-water mixture and associated chemicals that result from processing of the matrix.

Slime Pond - shall refer to a clay settling area.

Spoil - shall mean overburden displaced during the mining process.

<u>Tailings</u> - shall refer to sand particles that are generally between 16 and 150 mesh in size that have been separated from the matrix through beneficiation.

<u>Tract Unit</u> - shall mean that area of land, no greater than 6,500 acres in size that is encompassed by an individual operating permit. All tract units within the area covered by a master mining plan shall be contiguous to each other whenever possible.

Watershed of the Evers Reservoir - shall be defined as the geographic area encompassing the land and water surfaces which, by virtue of natural topography, contributes surface water flow to the Evers Reservoir. The Evers Reservoir Watershed area shall be as depicted on the Future Land Use Maps contained in the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan, or as otherwise delineated by the applicant through the use of appropriate hydrological studies, the results of which are acceptable to the Manatee County Environmental Management and Planning Departments.

Watershed of the Lake Manatee Reservoir - shall be defined as the geographic area encompassing the land and water surfaces which, by virtue of natural topography, contributes surface water flow to the Lake Manatee Reservoir. The Lake Manatee Reservoir Watershed area shall be as depicted on the Future Land Use Maps contained in the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan, or as otherwise delineated by the applicant through the use of appropriate hydrological studies, the results of which are acceptable to the Manatee County Environmental Management and Planning Departments.

Watershed of the Peace River - shall mean the geographic area encompassing the land and water surfaces which, by virtue of natural topography, contributes surface water flow to the Peace River. The Peace River Watershed shall be as depicted on the Future Land Use Maps contained in the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan, or as otherwise delineated by the applicant through the use of appropriate hydrological studies, the results of which are acceptable to the Manatee County Environmental Management and Planning Departments.

Watershed Protection Overlay Districts - shall mean those areas designated as such in the Comprehensive Plan to which special policies, goals and objectives apply.

<u>Water Recirculation Facilities</u> - shall mean those structures used for storing, routing, and treating mine and process waters, including but not limited to reservoirs, clay settling areas, canals, ditches and their associated dams and dikes.

<u>Wetlands</u> - shall be as defined in Rule 40D-4.021, F.A.C., as amended or its successor provisions.

<u>Wetland Mitigation or Mitigation</u> - shall mean actions including but not limited to restoration, enhancement, creation of wetlands, upland preservation or a combination of the above required to be taken to offset environmental impacts of permitted activities.

### III. MINIMUM MINING STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS

The following minimum standards and requirements shall apply to all phosphate mining activities within Manatee County:

- 1. All mining activities conducted within Manatee County shall be in conformity with requirements of the Comprehensive Plan.
- 2. All proposed mining activities shall occur in a manner and sequence that results in the minimum adverse impacts necessary to carry out such mining activities, in light of both direct anticipated impacts of such mining activities, and the cumulative impacts of such mining activities together with the impacts of other mining activities addressed under a cumulative impacts assessment conducted pursuant to Appendix D hereto.
- 3. All mining activities shall provide reasonable protection and conservation of natural and environmental resources.
- 4. All mining activities shall employ best operating practices, and shall be conducted in a manner that will minimize undesirable effects of mining activities and maximize protection of public facilities and natural resources.
- 5. If approved by the Board, all mining activities proposed for Watershed Protection Overlay Districts Evers Reservoir, Lake Manatee and Peace River Watershed areas shall be consistent with the use of best possible technology as well as best operating practices.
- 6. Tract unit boundaries shall conform, wherever possible, with watershed or sub-basin boundaries, or conform to Florida Department of Environmental Protection Conceptual Reclamation Plan boundaries.
- 7. Clay settling areas shall be located only on lands that have been previously mined, or which have approval for future mining.
- 8. Phosphate mining activities or the construction of permanent buildings on historic resources shall be in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan.

- 9. All mining activities shall be in compliance with all local, State and federal noise regulations.
- 10. The conduct of pre-mining construction, mining activities, or the placement of isolator berms in areas where listed species have been identified, shall be in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan.
- 11. Unless otherwise specifically excluded, mining activities shall be subject to the setback limitations specified herein, which describe offsite conditions in effect at the time of application for master mining plan approval.
  - a. Setback for Phosphate Mining Extraction Activities. Except as authorized pursuant to Section e., below, no phosphate mining extraction activities shall be performed within:
    - 1) One thousand feet (1,000') of any church, school or habitable structure on adjacent property not located within the boundary of the master mining plan and existing at the time of application for master mining plan approval;
    - 2) Five hundred feet (500') of applicant's property line in areas where the structures listed in (1) immediately above are not present;
    - (3) Two hundred feet (200') of any existing public right-of-way in areas where the structures listed in (1) above are not present; or
    - (4) One thousand feet (1.000') of any wetlands on adjacent property not owned, leased or otherwise legally controlled by the applicant.
  - b. Setback for Clay Settling Areas and Beneficiation Facilities. Except as authorized pursuant to Section e., below, no clay settling areas or beneficiation facilities shall be located within:
    - (1) Two thousand five hundred feet (2,500') feet of any church, school or habitable structure that is not located within the boundary of the master mining plan and is existing at the time of application for master mining plan approval; and
    - (2) Five hundred feet (500') from the applicant's property line or any public right-of-way in areas where none of the structures listed in (1) immediately above is present.
  - c. Setback for Stockpiles. Except as authorized pursuant to Section e., below, no excavated materials or stockpiles shall be left on the applicant's property longer than sixty (60) days within:

- (1) Five hundred feet (500') of any church, school, or habitable structure that is not located within the boundary of the master mining plan and is existing at the time of application for master mining plan approval; and
- (2) One hundred feet (100') from applicant's property line or a public right-of-way or in a reas that do not contain any of the structures or resources listed in c. (1) immediately above.
- d. Setback for Related Activities and Structures. Except to the extent authorized pursuant to Section e. below, and notwithstanding that they are not included in the definition of mining extraction activities, pole barns; equipment storage and repair building; office buildings; recharge wells; potable wells; monitor wells; power lines, substations and poles; roads or detour roads; fences; isolator berms; non-process water pipelines; habitat enhancements and habitat management projects; and pumps less or equal to ten (10) horsepower, shall not be located within fifty feet (50') of the applicant's property line.

#### e. Reduction of Setbacks.

- (1) The above setback requirements shall not apply where owners of the land protected by such restrictions have expressly consented to a reduction thereof by written instrument executed with the formality of a deed and recorded in the official records of Manatee County, Florida.
- (2) Such consent and recordation must occur prior to commencement of any mining activities by the applicant in areas protected by this setback provision. Certified copies of said recorded instrument shall be furnished to the Director, who shall acknowledge receipt in writing.
- (3) The applicant shall provide a stability analysis, performed by a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Florida, for consideration of setback reductions from roadways, utilities or public infrastructure.
- (4) In no event shall any of the setbacks described above be reduced to less than fifty feet (50'), even if the applicant receives the setback waivers as described in subparagraph III.e.(1) immediately above, unless the property is adjacent to land controlled by a phosphate company, in which case the minimum setback is not required.
- 12. Post-reclamation lands, excluding land reclaimed over clay settling areas or land underlying disturbed areas reclaimed as lakes or wetlands, mined or disturbed, pursuant to an operating permit, shall meet the following radiation standards to be eligible for release:

- a. The gamma radiation exposure rate at 1 meter (3.3 feet) above the surface at any measurement point shall not exceed 10 microroentgens per hour above the average pre-mining background for the tract unit:
- b. The soil radium-226 concentration (average over a 1.8 meter (6-ft) core) at any measurement point shall not exceed five (5) picocuries per gram (pCi/g) above the average pre-mining background for the tract unit:
- c. Gamma radiation measurements shall be taken at the density of one per acre, and soil cores shall be collected at the density of one per 20 acres;
- d. All monitoring of radiation on reclaimed sites shall be conducted according to procedures approved by Manatee County and set forth in Appendix A hereto;
- e. If the results reported for any measured site exceed either of the limits in 12.

  a. and b. above, additional sampling or measurements may be required, and the average over 100 square meters (or 1000 square feet) shall not exceed the above limits. Additional sampling and sampling densities may also be required by Manatee County to resolve anomalies; however, in lieu of additional testing, applicant may comply with subsection 12.h.
- f. The above-described radiation standards shall apply to all disturbed lands, with the exception of land reclaimed over clay settling areas, and land underlying disturbed areas reclaimed as lakes or wetlands;
- g. Radioactivity concentrations in all groundwater of reclaimed lands shall not exceed applicable standards in Chapter 62-520.400, Florida Administrative Code; and radioactivity concentrations in all surface waters in areas reclaimed as lakes or wetlands shall not exceed applicable standards in Chapter 62-302. Florida Administrative Code, as amended, or their successor provisions, regardless of whether such waters constitute "Waters of the State" as defined in Sections 373.019 and 403.031, Florida Statutes: and
- h. No more than fifteen percent (15%) of such lands may exceed the above standards. For those portions of reclaimed lands that do not and cannot meet the above standards, the applicant shall record in official records of the County a clearly-stated notice informing the purchaser of the property that the land has been mined for phosphate, and exceeds the radiation standards set forth in this Ordinance, and that any habitable structures and appurtenances (e.g., potable wells) to be built or installed on the property should be constructed using radon resistant techniques.
- 13. No water shall be diverted from pre-mining perennial stream channels or lakes greater than five (5) acres in size that are not wholly owned by the applicant, unless specifically approved by the Board and all other applicable authorities. Stream diversions shall be permitted

only after a thorough analysis of stream flow conditions, and shall be limited to quantities that are not detrimental to upstream or downstream property owners or the environment.

- 14. Mining activities shall produce no vibrations exceeding the limits set forth in Section 723.3.3 of the Manatee County Land Development Code, as amended or renumbered.
- 15. Blasting or other use of explosives for mining purposes shall not be performed without the written permission of the Director, who may issue appropriate conditions for the public safety and control of nuisance conditions. Blasting or other use of dynamite and other explosives shall be directed and supervised by a person licensed in blasting operations.
- 16. All lighting associated with mining activities shall be in compliance with Section 723.3.5 of the Land Development Code, as amended, or its successor provisions.
- Impact or master mining plan indicates that the safety, circulation capacity, or stability of County-maintained roads or rights-of-way must be improved to allow utilization of such roads or rights-of-way by an operating permit applicant, the applicant shall design and construct such improvements, after such design has been approved by the Manatee County Transportation Department. An applicant shall not be required to make improvements relating to preexisting conditions unrelated to its activities, except when such improvements are an integral part of the work necessary to ensure the safety, circulation capacity, or stability of the affected roads or rights-of-way. Prior to any construction required under this Section, the applicant shall furnish a performance bond sufficient to indemnify the County against failure of the applicant to complete such construction.
- 18. Except for clay settling areas, no later than five (5) years after cessation of mining extraction activities on a specific reclamation unit, as identified in the master mining plan, the applicant shall have completed the backfilling, contouring and initial planting of the area in accordance with the reclamation plan approved in the master mining plan and operating permit.

### IV. APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

### A. MASTER MINING PLAN APPLICATION SUBMITTAL CRITERIA

An applicant may submit to the Director a master mining plan, either simultaneously with or subsequent to submittal of the application for Development of Regional Impact (DRI) approval, if DRI approval is required. The master mining plan application shall be accompanied by twenty-four copies thereof, and shall include the following information:

1. The name, address, and telephone number of the applicant or its authorized agent and, if different, of the owner or owners of the property within the area of the master mining plan. If the applicant and/or property owner(s) are not individual natural persons or individual business entities, the application shall fully identify each person or entity having any interest in the mining activities or ownership of the land, and shall specifically identify the nature of such interests;

- 2. The name, address, and telephone number of the applicant's agent, upon whom service of legal papers can be made, and who may be contacted in case of need;
- 3. The legal description of all lands within the site upon which any phosphate mining activities are proposed, indicating whether owned, leased, or under option for purchase by the applicant; and, where fee simple ownership is not held by the applicant:
  - (a) the applicant shall submit a legal opinion, prepared by an attorney licensed to practice law in Florida, addressed to Manatee County, stating that the applicant has a legal right to engage in mining activities on the property, together with copies of all documents recorded in the public records of Manatee County, Florida, relied upon or referred to in the legal opinion; and
  - (b) the applicant shall notify the fee simple owner(s) of such lands at the time the application is submitted to Manatee County and prior to each public hearing on the application;
- 4. The materials to be mined, the estimated yearly production of ore, product, and by-product, and a map showing the locations of proposed tract units;
- 5. The proposed sequence and schedule of mining and reclamation, including specific reclamation units within tract units, for all tract units shown on a year-to-year basis;
- 6. A statement by the applicant identifying any material other than that proposed to be mined in the master mining plan that will be excavated from the property and marketed for use off the mine site;
- 7. An abstract and interpretation of the results of exploratory drilling, showing the elevation of the top and base of the ore zone, the geologic nature of the confining bed and overlying materials, and the pre-operational water levels encountered in the exploratory drilling (where exploratory drilling does not characterize the geologic nature of the confining bed, other available sources may be substituted);
- 8. A description of the beneficiation process used in the mine, including a schematic depiction of the process and process reagents to be used, along with their chemical composition and estimated application rates;
- 9. The purpose and location of any physical plant, structure, permanent pipeline or any other non-mobile object constructed as part of the proposed mining activities;
- 10. The location and dimension of proposed clay settling areas, water recirculation facilities and other impoundments, including the heights of dams and minimum freeboard;
- 11. An inventory of all existing wells on the property, including locations, estimated annual extraction rates, water use, and proposed disposition of wells;

- 12. A transportation analysis, to include estimates of vehicular and rail traffic and any other mode of transportation of materials and products leaving the applicant's property, and of raw materials entering the applicant's property, with emphasis on any disruption of normal traffic movements caused by, and any increase in rail movements, vehicular traffic and road deterioration resulting from, the proposed mining activities;
- 13. A depiction by the applicant, on maps or other suitable drawings, of any proposed crossings of County roads, easements or rights-of-way by draglines. No such crossings shall be permitted without the Board's approval;
- 14. Engineering estimates, certified by a registered professional engineer, of the quantity, temperature, chemical and physical properties (including radiological), frequency and duration, points and methods of disposal (whether on or off the applicant's property) of discharges of water, liquid wastes, effluents or sewage to be created. The applicant shall also specify the proposed time schedule for such disposal and estimates of the flow rates in receiving streams at the times of wastewater discharges. Such estimates shall separately and specifically identify and quantify discharges of all substances that could be generated by phosphate mining activities for which the State has promulgated water quality standards. In addition, estimates of the amounts (by volume and weight) of tailings and phosphatic clays to be created, plans for their storage and disposal and the proposed time schedule for disposal, together with the drawings showing the location of any treatment facilities, shall be provided;
- 15. A copy of all applications to or permits issued by all applicable federal, State, and regional agencies, unless such information has been previously submitted to the County;
- 16. Engineering estimates, certified by a registered professional engineer, of all air pollutants that could be emitted, and all emissions for which the State of Florida has promulgated air quality standards. This may be provided by submitting a copy of the Clean Air Act Title V permit for the activities proposed in the master mining plan area;
- 17. Engineering estimates, certified by a registered professional engineer, of the water balance for the projected highest, lowest and average annual rainfall sequence for the operating life of the mine, accounting for:
  - all sources of water input to water recirculation facilities and ore processing steps, including water contained in overburden and matrix, pump seal water, and deep well and surface water make-up;
  - b. all water outputs and losses from the system, including but not limited to water contained in the overburden, product, clay, reject materials, tailings, evaporation, seepage and recharge ditch systems, and discharges to ground and surface waters; and
  - c. an assessment of the impact of the proposed mining activities on surface and groundwater hydrology, including effects on peak and average stream base flow.

- 18. A detailed explanation of computational methods and models used in preparing the master mining plan, plus the assumptions that were used as inputs to the models and computations;
- 19. If the applicant requests approval to undertake construction of a beneficiation plant or water recirculation facility, the applicant shall also provide a conceptual flow diagram of beneficiation plant processes, illustrating the type and quantities of material flow between major equipment components, the functional size of such components, and a conceptual site plan and flow diagram showing the relationship between the beneficiation plant and water recirculation facilities;
- 20. Composite aerial photographs of the master mining plan area that, through overlays or other graphical depictions, clearly show:
  - a. the boundary of the area included in the master mining plan application;
  - b. relation of master mining plan area to any Watershed Protection Overlay Districts;
  - c. depiction of pre-mining land use onsite (residential, agricultural, commercial, school, etc.) and on adjacent properties within twenty six hundred feet (2,600') of the applicant's property boundaries;
  - d. onsite baseline data depicting specific location of habitats of listed animal species, and locations of listed plant species and historic resources. The applicant shall also include a narrative detailing how the above resources are to be protected, preserved, or relocated (if relocation is allowed by agencies exercising jurisdiction) during and after mining:
  - e. locations of tract units proposed for mining;
  - f. locations of proposed clay settling a reas and other impoundments and their construction schedules;
  - g. locations of permanent pipelines, beneficiation plants, and other permanent structures;
  - h. the location and limits of all existing wetlands, lakes, rivers, reservoirs, streams, creeks and other waterbodies within the master mining plan area up to the 100-year floodplain created by those waterbodies, including a general description of all Waters of the State as defined in Section 403.031, Florida Statutes, and all navigable waters determined pursuant to Chapter 253, Florida Statutes;
  - i. the location of drainage and flood control features, including topographic contours at one (1) foot intervals before and after mining activities;

- j. the location of all proposed storage and transportation facilities, including permanent roads, railroads, or other permanent means of transportation for products and raw materials shipped to and from the site; and
- k. a depiction of the proposed FLUCFCS (Florida Land Use Cover and Forms Classification Code System, FDOT 1999) codes upon completion of reclamation.

Such composites and overlays shall be provided at a scale acceptable to the Director, and extending at least 2,600 feet beyond the property boundary;

- 21. An evaluation and scoring of all wetlands greater than one half acre (½ ac.) in size within the master mining p lan area in according with Parts I and II of the Uniform Mitigation Assessment Methodology (UMAM) as employed by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) and as set forth in Chapter 62-345, Florida Administrative Code. Applicant's mitigation proposal shall conform to UMAM requirements. In the event that FDEP or a successor agency develops and implements a different methodology for evaluating and scoring wetlands, that methodology shall be employed;
- 22. An Environmental Monitoring Program developed in conformity with the requirements of Appendix A, hereto;
- 23. An analysis of the cumulative impacts on Manatee County of the proposed phosphate mining activities, taking into consideration past, current and reasonably foreseeable phosphate mining activities within Manatee County, on the County's natural resources, according to the guidelines set forth in Appendix D hereto;
- 24. A Reclamation Plan developed in conformity with the requirements of the Manatee County Phosphate Mining Reclamation Manual, which is Appendix E to this Ordinance;
- 25. The signature and seal of a registered professional engineer responsible for the preparation of the master mining plan and a written certification by said engineer that he or she is personally familiar with the proposed mining activities; that he or she has personally reviewed all elements of the master mining plan or such elements to which said engineer is certifying; and that all engineering methods, estimates or computations conform with generally accepted engineering practices;
- 26. The fee required for review of a master mining plan application, as prescribed in a fee resolution adopted by the Board pursuant to Section I.I. hereof;
- 27. Any application for a vested rights exemption; preliminary vested rights exemption or specific approval exemption, which shall identify the specific provisions of this Ordinance from which the applicant seeks an exemption, and the basis for granting such an exemption; and

28. Any other relevant information requested in writing by the Director at or no later than thirty (30) days after the pre-application conference.

# B. <u>EFFECT OF MASTER MINING PLAN APPROVAL</u>

- 1. Approval of the master mining plan by the Planning Commission and the Board pursuant to Section V.A. hereof constitutes notice that the applicant has provided reasonable assurances that the major concerns have been resolved and that an operating permit may be issued if the approved master mining plan has not been significantly changed and the applicant satisfies all operating permit application requirements of this Ordinance. Approval of the master mining plan shall not vest the applicant with any rights to issuance of any operating permit, nor shall it entitle the applicant to initiate mining activities except as hereinafter provided.
- 2. A Board-approved master mining plan shall expire twenty-five (25) years after its effective (approval) date. At its sole discretion, the Board may extend this expiration date for just cause, so that the mine may be developed as permitted. Should an applicant's mining activities, as initially proposed, not be completed by the master mining plan's expiration date, and should the Board have not granted an extension of such expiration date, the applicant shall be required to submit a new master mining plan application, covering the incomplete areas, for the Board's review and approval. The Board shall not unreasonably withhold approvals for time extensions requested by the applicant to complete the mining and reclamation according to the originally approved Plan.
- 3. The applicant shall have a period of three (3) years from the date of Board approval of the master mining plan, or after the date that all appeals have been settled, including appeals of State and federal permits required to commence mining, whichever comes later, to submit an application for an operating permit. The Board may, in its discretion, extend the time limit. If an operating permit application has not been submitted after a three (3) year period, or within any authorized extension period, the master mining plan approval shall automatically expire.
- 4. No pre-mining construction activities preparatory to actual mining may be undertaken prior to issuance of an operating permit, unless specifically reviewed by the Director and expressly approved by the Board as part of master mining plan approval. An applicant desiring to undertake pre-mining construction activities prior to the issuance of an operating permit must submit, in conjunction with the master mining plan application, engineering design drawings and specifications for all proposed activities, and must comply with applicable final site plan requirements of Section 508 of the Land Development Code. Said drawings and specifications and any supporting computations shall be submitted in accordance with Section IV.C.5 of this Ordinance. If, after reviewing the above-required materials, the Director determines that the work is in fact necessary before overburden removal or mineral extraction can be undertaken, and the plans as submitted are in accordance with generally accepted engineering practices, he/she shall recommend to the Board in writing that such activities be approved.
- 5. Any pre-mining construction activities that the Board may approve shall be consistent with applicable operating permit criteria and shall be conducted at the applicant's risk, should the applicant subsequently fail to comply with operating permit criteria in effect at the time

of operating permit application. Approval of such pre-mining construction activities authorizes the applicant to submit all information needed to obtain building permits for approved activities.

# C. OPERATING PERMIT APPLICATION SUBMITTAL CRITERIA

Prior to or subsequent to Board approval of the master mining plan, an applicant may submit to the Director an operating permit application for the tract unit to be mined. However, the operating permit cannot not be approved unless or until the Board approves the master mining plan, and Board review of each of these submittals shall be scheduled for separate public hearings. An application for an operating permit shall be accompanied by twenty-four (24) copies thereof, and shall include the following:

- 1. A copy of all applications to, and current permits granted by, all applicable federal, State and regional agencies, unless such items have already been submitted to the County;
- 2. Baseline data depicting pre-mining land uses (with the use of FLUCFCS codes) on the tract unit that is the subject of the operating permit, including specific location of habitats of listed animal species, and locations of listed plant species and historic resources, unless such information has previously been submitted to the County;
- 3. Confirmation that baseline radiation measurements for the area to be mined during the initial year of the operating permit have been conducted in accordance with the requirements of Section III.12, and Appendix A., herein. Confirmation of continued baseline monitoring shall be submitted with the annual reports;
- 4. The location and limits of all existing wetlands; and lakes, rivers, reservoirs, streams, creeks and other waterbodies within the tract unit area up to the 100-year floodplain created by those waterbodies, unless such information has previously been submitted to the County;
- 5. Engineering specifications and design drawings at suitable scale for all aspects of mining activities for the life of the operating permit for which specifications and drawings have not previously been submitted to the County as part of the master mining plan. Prior to construction of said facilities, the applicant or its engineer shall submit to the Director, for his or her written approval, construction drawings of such facilities. Prior to using said facilities, the applicant shall furnish as-built drawings and specifications of said facilities that reflect any changes made to the original plans. All specifications and drawings shall be signed and sealed by a Florida registered professional engineer, who shall certify that he or she is personally familiar with and has reviewed said drawings and specifications and found them to be consistent with generally accepted professional engineering practices. Approval of the Director shall not constitute building permit approval;
- 6. Plans or narrative showing how nonpoint sources of air and water pollution, including fugitive dust, shall be controlled in accordance with applicable State and local requirements;

- 7. Plans showing that clays, waste materials and other materials with high clay content shall be disposed of only within clay settling areas or sand/clay reclamation sites, unless such information has previously been submitted to the County:
- 8. Plans showing that all dams, dikes and berms constructed to impound or channel clays, spoil, tailings, clean water, process water, wastewater, or sand/clay mixtures will be located, designed, constructed and maintained in compliance with applicable State requirements and in accordance with generally accepted engineering practices, unless such information has previously been submitted to the County;
- 9. Identification on suitable maps or charts, to the extent practicable, of all reductions from the s etback r equirements of S ection III.11. of this O rdinance that the applicant intends to request for mining of the tract unit. The applicant shall forecast impacts of proposed mining activities both with and without said reductions, if approval of the setback reductions has not been obtained by the time of application;
- 10. Plans showing that positive protection (e.g., alarms and containment systems) against any significant discharge, leak, or other release of materials from pipelines that are external to the rainfall catchment area of the water recirculation system shall be provided;
- 11. Plans or studies showing that increases to ambient noise resulting from mining activities shall not result in a nuisance;
  - 12. A description of any proposed blasting or other uses of explosives;
- 13. A detailed description of any changes to the Environmental Monitoring Program of the approved master mining plan submitted in accordance with Section IV.A.22 of this Ordinance;
- 14. A spill prevention, containment and response plan developed in conformity with requirements set forth in Appendix B, hereto;
- 15. Form of the financial statements, proof of insurance and surety bonds that will be provided to satisfy the criteria set forth in Appendix C, hereto;
- 16. Any application for a vested rights exemption, preliminary vested rights exemption or specific approval exemption, which shall identify the specific provisions of this Ordinance from which the applicant seeks an exemption, and the basis for granting such an exemption; and
- 17. The fee required for review of an operating permit application, as prescribed in the fee resolution adopted by the Board pursuant to Section I.I. hereof.

# D. <u>EFFECT OF OPERATING PERMIT APPROVAL</u>

Approval of an operating permit by the Board pursuant to Section V.A. hereof shall entitle the applicant to begin mining activities within a given tract unit. An applicant shall have one (1)

year from the date the operating permit is approved or from the date that all appeals have been settled, including appeals of State and federal permits required to commence mining, whichever comes later, to commence mining activities. If mining activities are not commenced within said time, the Board, in its discretion, may extend the operating permit upon a showing of good cause by the applicant. If the Board declines to approve an extension, the operating permit shall be considered null and void. Operating permit approvals issued pursuant to the requirements of this Ordinance shall be valid for a term of five (5) years from the date of approval unless suspended or revoked prior to that time.

### V. APPLICATION REVIEW PROCEDURES

### A. <u>INITIAL APPLICATION</u>

- operating permit, the Director shall examine the application and notify the applicant in writing that the application is complete or, alternatively, notify the applicant in writing of any apparent omissions or errors, and request any additional required information. If additional information is required, the applicant shall provide it within sixty (60) days, except that additional time may be allowed by the Director if the applicant demonstrates in writing that such extension is reasonable and necessary to prepare the requested information. The Director shall have sixty (60) days to review the additional information to determine completeness and shall advise the applicant in writing of such determination. If an applicant decides that the additional information cannot be furnished, the applicant shall advise the Director of its position and reasons therefore in writing, and the application shall then be processed as if it were complete. The Board may deny an application if the applicant, after receiving timely notice, fails to correct errors or omissions or to supply additional information, if such failure results in the requirements of this Ordinance not being met or unreasonably compromises the ability of the Board to determine whether the application meets such requirements.
- 2. After the Director has determined that the application for a master mining plan or operating permit is complete, the applicant shall be notified of such in writing. The application shall be reviewed by appropriate County departments and shall be referred to the Planning Commission and/or Board, as applicable, as expeditiously as possible, and in no event, more than 90 days after the Director has determined the application complete. Applications for master mining plan approval, or for preliminary vested rights exemption related thereto, shall be presented in duly-noticed Public Hearings before the Planning Commission and the Board of County Commissioners. Applications for operating permits, or for preliminary vested rights exemption related thereto, shall be presented in duly-noticed public hearings before the Board of County Commissioners.
- 3. Public notice requirements shall be as set forth in Section 502.5 of the Land Development Code, as amended or renumbered, or its successor provisions. The applicant shall be responsible for the cost of all public notices required herein.

- 4. The Planning Commission shall hear the master mining plan, or preliminary vested rights exemption, application under the procedures set forth in Section 302.3 of the Land Development Code, as amended or renumbered.
- 5. The Board shall hear the master mining plan or operating permit, or preliminary vested rights exemption, application under the procedures set forth in section 301.1 of the Land Development Code, as amended or renumbered, and shall render a final decision within a reasonable time after the close of the public hearing.
- 6. An operating permit shall be issued to an applicant only if the applicant demonstrates, with competent and substantial evidence, that the operating permit, and all mining activities to be conducted pursuant thereto, will meet the requirements of this Ordinance. Except where the Board may, for good cause, allow an exception, no operating permit shall be issued to an applicant that (i) has had an operating permit revoked (unless the conditions causing such other security posted to comply with this Ordinance (unless the conditions causing such forfeiture have been corrected to the satisfaction of the County).
- 7. No master mining plan application shall be recommended by the Planning the Comprehensive Plan and this Ordinance.

  B. ANNULLE DROGE

# B. ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORTS AND RECLAMATION APPROVAL (RELEASE)

- each operating permit approval, the applicant shall file with the Director ten (10) hard copies of an Annual Progress Report, plus an electronic version in a format acceptable to the Director, such as an Adobe Acrobat Pdf file, including the following information:
  - a. The schedule of mining activities and completion of the tract unit, including identification of lands mined during the preceding year and lands expected to be mined during the current year;
  - b. A discussion of reclamation that has occurred during the report year, and reclamation that is planned for the coming year;
  - c. Recent aerial photographs, at a scale acceptable to the Director, showing areas that have been mined and areas yet to be mined within the tract unit. Aerials shall also depict areas lying a minimum of 2,600 feet outside the tract unit boundaries;
  - d. A table showing, for the tract unit, acreage and types of wetlands disturbed or eliminated, arrayed against acreage and types of wetland mitigation provided;

- e. Detailed engineering plans and specifications for all mining activities scheduled for the current year that were not previously provided in the approved master mining plan and operating permit or a mendments to those documents;
- f. Results of the most recent prospecting defining the ore body and leach zone, if any, in the portion of the tract unit to be mined during the current year;
- g. A summary of results of the previous year's Environmental Monitoring Program, indicating the magnitude and frequency with which air and water quality parameters and mass loadings of same exceeded applicable ambient or effluent emissions standards, if such exceedances have occurred during the reporting year. Any significant trends of ambient air and water quality shall also be discussed, along with any measures being taken to correct or improve the performance of pollution control systems;
- h. A review and update of the applicant's Proof of Financial Responsibility in accordance with the provisions of Appendix C of this Ordinance;
- i. Copies of all inspection reports not previously furnished to the Director that are required by State or federal regulatory agencies;
- j. A listing of the status of permits and licenses by permit, agency, issue and expiration dates;
- k. Baseline radiation monitoring as required by Section IV.C.3.; and
- l. A certification by the applicant that, to the best of the applicant's knowledge, all information in the Annual Progress Report is true and correct.
- 2. Certification by Engineer. A Florida-registered professional engineer, familiar with the applicant's phosphate mining activities, shall certify in the Annual Progress Report that the project is being developed and operated in accordance with the conditions set forth in the approved master mining plan and operating permit and in accordance with generally accepted engineering practices.
- 3. Failure to File. Failure to file the required Annual Progress Report shall be grounds for suspension of the operating permit, in accordance with the procedures set forth in Section VII.E, hereinafter. An extension of time for filing may be granted by the Director upon written request from the applicant and for good cause shown.
- 4. Reclamation Approval. An applicant shall request approval (release) of reclaimed areas by identifying in the Annual Progress Report the specific parcels for which approval is sought. Reclamation of disturbed lands shall be deemed complete after a showing that the specific parcels have been reclaimed in accordance with the applicant's approved master mining plan and operating permit, the requirements set forth in the Manatee County Phosphate Mining Reclamation

Manual (Appendix E), and the requirements imposed by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection. An area which otherwise qualifies for release from reclamation may be released to Manatee County. Specific parcels shall be approved or denied for release in writing by the Director within sixty (60) days of the Director's determination that the Annual Progress Report is Specific reasons shall be cited for denial of release of any parcels. Within sixty (60) days of the Director's approval of the reclaimed parcels, the reclamation bond(s) shall be released or applied to parcels to be disturbed in the following year, as appropriate.

5. Fees. Submission of the Annual Progress Report shall be accompanied by an appropriate fee, as set forth in a fee resolution adopted by the Board pursuant to Section I.I. hereof.

# VI. MASTER MINING PLAN AND OPERATING PERMIT AMENDMENTS, SUBSEQUENT PERMIT PROCEDURES, TRANSFERS, WAIVERS AND APPEAL OF DECISIONS OF THE DIRECTOR

### A. AMENDMENT

- I. If an applicant proposes to change its mining activities from those approved in a master mining plan or operating permit, it shall promptly file with the Director a written request for an amendment thereto, signed by the applicant's authorized agent. Upon receipt of a request for amendment, the Director shall determine whether or not the requested amendment is a significant amendment as defined herein. If the Director determines that the amendment is a significant amendment, the applicant shall have thirty (30) days from the date of determination to submit any request for a related vested rights exemption, preliminary vested rights exemption or
- 2. Any request for approval of a significant amendment, and any related vested rights exemption, preliminary vested rights exemption or specific approval exemption, shall comply with the procedures outlined for the original application as to the specific item(s) to be amended.
- 3. Non-significant amendments may be approved in writing by the Director within a reasonable time of submittal.
- 4. The process for the approval of significant amendments, and any related vested rights exemption, preliminary vested rights exemption or specific approval exemption, shall be the same as for initial applications.

# B. SUBSEQUENT PERMIT PROCEDURES

At least six (6) months prior to the expiration of an operating permit, the applicant shall apply for a new operating permit under the provisions of this Ordinance. The procedures for

processing an application for a new operating permit shall follow those prescribed for obtaining an original operating permit. The then-current operating permit shall continue in existence until a final decision on the new permit is rendered by the Board. Upon issuance, new permits shall be valid for a period of five (5) years; provided, however, that the Board may prescribe a shorter permit term if a previous operating permit was revoked or suspended. The application for a new operating permit shall be accompanied by an appropriate fee, as prescribed in the fee resolution adopted by the Board pursuant to Section I.I hereof.

### C. TRANSFERS

- 1. Prior to transfer of rights in, or relating to, a master mining plan and operating permit, the applicant and the prospective transferee must apply in writing to the Director. The prospective transferee of a master mining plan and operating permit must demonstrate that the transferee can comply with the terms and conditions of said master mining plan and operating permit. The prospective transferee of a master mining plan and operating permit must furnish proof of financial responsibility as required under Appendix C of this Ordinance, including, without limitation, new surety bonds or written evidence of transfer of existing surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the County. Transfers must be presented to the Board for approval. Upon the Board's written approval of a transfer, the transferee becomes the applicant under this Ordinance and assumes the responsibility of compliance with all requirements of this Ordinance effective on the date of transfer and all terms and conditions of the approved master mining plan and operating permit.
- 2. An application for transfer shall be approved by the Board unless it determines that the prospective transferee has not fulfilled the above requirements. Failure to comply with the terms of this Section shall be grounds for suspension or revocation of the master mining plan and/or operating permit and will result in both the original applicant and the transferee being in violation of this Ordinance until full compliance is established.

### D. WAIVERS

Except where this Ordinance expressly prohibits certain actions, the Board may, in its discretion, by written instrument, waive any of the requirements of this Ordinance if the applicant demonstrates that the strict enforcement of such requirement or requirements would impose an unreasonable restriction on the use of the property, and that such waiver would not adversely affect the health, safety and welfare of the public, and is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. In order to obtain such a waiver, the applicant shall apply in writing to the Director, describing the requirement sought to be waived, the environmental impacts of the requested waiver, and any proposed innovative techniques or alternative procedures to be employed. The Board's decision on the application for waiver from requirements of this Ordinance shall be made after receiving recommendations from appropriate County departments, and after the Board holds a duly-noticed public hearing. Public hearings on waiver requests may be held simultaneously with public hearings for approval of a master mining plan, application for an operating permit or significant amendment. Notice of requests, hearings and waivers shall also be sent to the appropriate State regulatory agencies by the Director, as deemed necessary.

### E. APPEAL OF DECISIONS OF THE DIRECTOR

Applicants may appeal a decision of the Director to the Board. An appeal shall be filed with the Director within thirty (30) days of the rendition of the Director's decision to be appealed. The Director will set a date for the Board to hear the appeal within a reasonable time. In cases where the Board upholds a decision of the Director, the applicant may appeal the case to the Circuit Court in accordance with applicable law.

### VII. ENFORCEMENT OF THE ORDINANCE

### A. LIABILITY FOR COSTS AND DAMAGES

Each applicant for an operating permit, by submitting its application to the County, agrees that it shall be subject to strict liability to the County, without the necessity of a showing of fault or negligence, for any costs or damages incurred by the County as a direct result of (i) any failure or breach of any dam, spillway or other outlet structure of a clay settling area, or (ii) any failure of the applicant to comply with the requirements of this Ordinance. Costs or damages for which an applicant shall be liable shall include, without limitation, costs and damaged incurred by the County (a) through the exercise of its police powers to contain, remove or clean up the results of any aforementioned failure or breach, and to restore the water quality affected thereby, and (b) to carry out reclamation of mined lands or otherwise correct incidents of non-compliance with this Ordinance, to the extent that the security provided to the County to pay the cost of same is not B.

## INSPECTIONS

A representative of the Board is authorized to enter and remain upon the premises of the applicant's mine for the purpose of inspection at any reasonable time, in accordance with applicable federal, State and local regulations, to ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of the operating permit, this Ordinance and the approved master mining plan. Inspectors shall give the applicant reasonable notice of the proposed inspection and shall allow the applicant an opportunity to provide appropriate personnel to accompany the inspector while on the applicant's premises. A copy of the inspection report will be provided to the applicant at its request.

### **VIOLATIONS**

- In addition to those violations otherwise enumerated in prior sections of this Ordinance, it shall be a violation of this Ordinance:
  - To fail to satisfy any of the terms, criteria, standards or requirements of this a.

- b. To fail to obtain any permit or approval required by this Ordinance, or to violate or fail to comply with the terms of any approved master mining plan or operating permit condition, or any other approval adopted or issued by the Board or Director pursuant to this Ordinance;
- c. Knowingly to make any false statement, representation or certification in any application, report, record, plan, map or other document filed or required to be maintained under this Ordinance, or to falsify, tamper with, or knowingly render inaccurate any monitoring device required to be maintained by this Ordinance or by any permit or approval issued under this Ordinance;
- d. To fail to timely notify the Director of any changes from the approved master mining plan or operating permit, or changes ordered or required by federal or State agencies;
- e. To fail to provide the Director with copies of any notice of violation, noncompliance order, stop-work order or other written notification by any State, federal or local a gency relating to any mining a ctivities that are also subject to an existing or pending master mining plan or operating permit within forty-eight (48) hours of receipt of such notification by the applicant; and
- f. To violate any applicable statutes or regulatory requirements of federal, State and local agencies relating to the regulation of mining, which are made a part of this Ordinance.
- 2. Violations of this Ordinance may be subject to prosecution pursuant to this Section.

### D. <u>ADMINISTRATIVE ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES</u>

- 1. <u>Noncompliance Letter</u> The Director may issue a Noncompliance Letter (NCL), via certified mail, to an applicant in cases where the violations are considered to be minor in nature. The NCL shall put the applicant on notice that there are deficiencies in performance. Such deficiencies may include, but shall not necessarily be limited to late filings of required reports.
- 2. <u>Warning Letter (WL)</u> the Director may issue a Warning Letter, via certified mail, in cases where the NCL has failed to result in the applicant's compliance with an outstanding issue. If an agreement between the Department and the applicant is reached at this step, a Consent Order shall be executed between the Department and the applicant.
- 3. <u>Notice of Violation (NOV)</u> If the previous two actions fail to result in compliance, or if the violation is deemed to pose a serious threat to the public health, safety or welfare or to the environment, the Director may issue, via hand delivery or certified mail, a Notice of Violation to the applicant, and shall order corrective action. Written notification may be dispensed with in the event that circumstances establish that irreparable harm may occur if immediate action is not taken. However, the County shall make a reasonable attempt to notify the

applicant by telephone or oral communication when immediate cessation of mining activity or corrective action is essential for the public health, safety and welfare.

- Appeal The applicant may request the Board to review and withdraw a NOV not later than ten (10) days after receipt thereof. An appeal does not authorize the applicant to continue or recommence the noticed activities, nor does it stay the effect of the NOV.
- Compliance Compliance with a Notice of Violation shall be reported to the Board by written confirmation of the Director. Should the violation not be corrected, or if an appeal is unsuccessful or not filed within the prescribed time, the Director shall recommend to the Board suspension of the operating permit.

### SUSPENSION OR REVOCATION OF APPROVALS AND PERMITS Ε.

- Upon the recommendation of the Director, the Board, by resolution, may suspend a previously approved master mining plan or operating permit as provided herein, if it determines that the applicant is in material violation of the terms of this Ordinance, the master mining plan or the operating permit.
- Upon the recommendation of the Director, the Board, by resolution, may revoke a previously approved master mining plan or operating permit as provided herein only if it determines that the material violation constitutes or results in a present, immediate danger to the public health, safety or welfare.
- Prior to any suspension or revocation, the Board shall give not less than fifteen (15) days written notification thereof by certified mail to the applicant. Such notification shall contain a statement of the reasons why the approved master mining plan or operating permit may be suspended or revoked, and reference to the applicable Ordinance provisions or permit conditions.
- The applicant may file a written explanation no later than ten (10) days after notice of the proposed suspension of revocation is served upon it, and in such submission may request a public hearing before the Board.
- No approved master mining plan or operating permit shall be suspended or revoked before the applicant is afforded notice and an opportunity for hearing before the Board, unless the Board determines that danger to the public is imminent, in which case it may order temporary suspension of specifically described activities until such time as a hearing is held.

### JUDICIAL ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES F.

In addition to any other remedies for violations of this Ordinance, the Board shall have the following judicial remedies available to it. These judicial remedies shall be cumulative and

Each violation of this Ordinance may be prosecuted in the same manner as a first degree misdemeanor pursuant to section 125.69 of Florida Statutes, as amended, or its successor

- 2. Each violation of this Ordinance shall constitute a non-criminal violation of the Manatee County Code of Laws that may be prosecuted pursuant to Part II of Chapter 162, Florida Statutes, as amended, or its successor provisions, for which the County may impose penalty in the amounts authorized in Section 162.09, Florida Statutes, as amended, or its successor provisions. Subject to the foregoing limitation, the Code Enforcement Board or Special Master charged with determining such penalties is authorized to impose fines exceeding the amounts authorized pursuant to 162.09(2)(a), Florida Statutes, as amended, or its successor provision, pursuant to Section 162.09(2)(d), Florida Statutes, as amended, or its successor provision. Each day of continuing violation shall constitute a separate offense.
- 3. The County may institute one or more civil actions to seek (i) injunctive relief to enforce compliance with this Ordinance, (ii) recovery of any costs or damages owed to the County pursuant to Section VII.A., hereof, or (iii) any other available remedy, legal or equitable.

### G. MANATEE COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FUND

Any monies recovered by the Board (other than awards of costs and attorneys' fees) in an action against any person who has caused damage to natural resources or the environment of the County in violation of this Ordinance shall be used to restore the damaged areas that were the subject of the suit to its former condition. There is hereby created the Manatee County Environmental Restoration Fund, which is to be supervised and used by the Board to restore damaged areas of the County. This fund shall consist of all monies recovered as described above. The monies shall be disbursed first to pay all amounts necessary to restore the respective damaged areas that were the subjects of County actions. Any monies remaining in the fund shall then be used by the Board, as it deems appropriate, to pay for any work needed to restore areas that required more money than the County was able to obtain by Court action or otherwise, or to restore areas in which the County brought suit but was unable to recover any monies from the alleged violators.

### H. PROCEEDINGS AGAINST BONDS

If at any time the applicant has failed satisfactorily to undertake corrective action in response to a Notice of Violation or Order for Suspension, the Board may initiate proceedings to draw upon the appropriate surety bond. Such proceedings shall not be commenced until the surety has been given sixty (60) days to require commencement of corrective action. In cases where the operating permit has been revoked, and the Director's Order of Revocation has been upheld by the Board, the Board shall initiate proceedings against the bond after rendering a formal decision on the Order of Revocation.

### VIII. SEVERABILITY

If any section, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of this Ordinance is, for any reason, held or declared to be unconstitutional, inoperative or void, such holding of invalidity shall not affect

the remaining portions of the Ordinance; and it shall be construed to have been the legislative intent to pass this Ordinance without such unconstitutional, invalid or inoperative part therein; and the remainder of this Ordinance, after the exclusion of such part or parts, shall be deemed and held to be valid as if such parts had not been included herein.

# IX. EFFECTIVE DATE

This Ordinance shall take effect immediately upon receipt of the official acknowledgment from the office of the Secretary of State for the State of Florida that this Ordinance has been filed with that office.

PASSED AND DULY ADOPTED, with a quorum present and voting, by the Board of County Commissioners of Manatee County, Florida this 2nd day of November, 2004.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MANATEE COUNTY, FLORIDA

Chairman

ATTEST: R.B. SHORE, CLERK OF THE CIRGUIT COURT

34

### APPENDIX A. ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

- 1. Each applicant shall develop an Environmental Monitoring Program, which shall be used to establish baseline conditions prior to mining activities, and subsequently shall be used to continually evaluate compliance with applicable standards established by local, regional, State and federal agencies over the life of the mine until the approved reclamation has been deemed complete by the Director. The Environmental Monitoring Program shall consist of monitoring the following areas:
  - a. Surface water quality;
  - b. Surface water quantity;
  - c. Groundwater quality in surficial, intermediate and Floridan aquifers;
  - d. Groundwater quantity;
  - e. Rainfall, monitored on the applicant's property on a daily basis. At least one rain gauge shall be located on each tract unit so as to provide a reasonable record of the rainfall on each tract. Historic data shall be made available to the Director upon request, and shall be provided in the applicant's Annual Report.
  - f. Air quality; and
  - g. Environmental radiation, monitoring for which is to be performed in accordance with the following procedures or by alternative procedures approved by the Director.
    - (1) Measurement grid. The land to be monitored in the radiation survey shall be divided into 20 acre areas for measurement purposes. External gamma radiation measurements shall be taken at the density of one per acre of land. A soil sampling site representative of the area shall be selected for each 20 acre area. For areas less than 20 acres, at least one radium-226 sampling site shall be required.
    - (2) <u>Gamma Radiation Exposure Rate.</u> Gamma radiation exposure rate measurements shall be taken at each gamma radiation measurement point (one per acre) with an instrument using a scintillation detector positioned one meter above the ground surface. The instrument shall be calibrated for microroentgens per hour response to an extended natural radiation field.
    - (3) Soil Sampling for Radium-226 Measurement. A core sample, six feet in depth, shall be collected at each designated site (one per twenty acres) using a powered drill or hand auger. The core shall be extracted and transferred to an appropriate container for transfer to a laboratory for subsequent analysis.

- (4) Analysis of Radium-226 in Soil. Soil samples shall be dried, thoroughly mixed, and placed in containers with radon-tight seals. The sample weight shall be determined and the sealed containers shall be held for thirty (30) days to allow radon-222 and its decay products to reach equilibrium with the radium-226 in the sample. The samples shall then be analyzed with an appropriately calibrated gamma-ray spectrometry system (scintillation or semiconductor detector). A combination of sample size and counting time shall be used to provide sufficient sensitivity to detect 0.5 picocuries per gram (pCi/g) with a 95% confidence interval of 0.5 pCi/g. Typical conditions would be a sample of at least 350 grams of soil and a counting time of 30 minutes. The radium-226 concentration shall be determined from the gamma spectrum by a technique appropriate to the type of system used.
- 2. Specific requirements of the abovementioned components of the Environmental Monitoring Program shall be developed by the applicant and approved by the Director on a sitempecific basis, according to the features of the site and the projected environmental impacts of mining activities.
- 3. Each component of the Environmental Monitoring Program shall consist of the following descriptive elements:
  - a: Sampling location;
  - b. Parameters and standards;
  - c. Sampling schedule;
  - d. Sampling and analysis methods;
  - e. Quality assurance; and
  - f. Data reduction and reporting.
- 4. The Environmental Monitoring Program shall also contain an introduction, describing the site and proposed activities.
- 5. The applicant shall submit copies of all environmental monitoring reports and data required by outside agencies for its mining activities in the County and in contiguous areas outside the County having demonstrable hydrologic or air shed linkages to the County. The data reports shall be submitted concurrent with submission to the requiring agency.
- 6. Modification of monitoring requirements may be made during the Annual Progress Report process. Requests by the applicant for changes in the monitoring program shall be submitted to the Director no less than sixty (60) days prior to the twelve (12) month period to which they apply. Proposed changes must be approved or denied by the Director within sixty (60) days of receipt.

The purpose of such modification is to add or delete sampling to reflect new developments in mining and sampling technology that may improve both sampling and environmental quality.

- 7. Changes in the Environmental Monitoring Program may be proposed by the County not less than sixty (60) days prior to the twelve (12) month period to which they apply. The applicant shall comment on the proposed changes in writing within thirty (30) days after receipt of such changes. Final decision on proposed changes shall be made by the Director within thirty (30) days of receiving the applicant's comments. If written comments are not received on time, the proposed changes will automatically take effect at the beginning of the next monitoring year.
- 8. Changes in the Environmental Monitoring Program that may be required by State or federal agencies shall be implemented according to schedules prescribed by law or agency permit.

# APPENDIX B. SPILL PREVENTION, CONTAINMENT AND RESPONSE PLAN

The applicant shall develop and implement an effective spill prevention, containment and response plan to be followed in the event of a dam failure for each clay settling area, sand-clay mix area, water recirculation system, and reagent storage area currently active or to become active in the following operational year. The plan shall address issues such as inspection schedules, notification procedures, maintenance of warning systems, a uxiliary water supply sources, water treatment procedures, and clean-up responsibilities. A site security plan, including necessary access restrictions, shall also be provided. Each plan shall include maps showing areas subject to downstream flooding and a list of local and State officials to be notified in the event of an implementation of the spill prevention, containment and response plan. The applicant shall maintain records documenting such training. The applicant shall also furnish the Director with regulatory agencies.

#### APPENDIX C. FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

- 1. Financial Statements. The applicant shall provide financial statements, audited and certified by a Certified Public Accountant (CPA) that demonstrate to the Board or the Director of the Financial Management Department the ability to respond to liability in an amount determined according to the following schedules:
  - a. Three thousand dollars (\$3,000.00) for each acre of land to be disturbed by mining activities during the term of the operating permit; and
  - b. Four thousand dollars (\$4,000.00) for each acre-foot of above grade storage in the largest of the proposed or existing clay settling areas.

A qualified opinion shall render any financial statement inadequate to fulfill the requirements of this section.

- 2. **Insurance**. The applicant shall provide certificates of insurance showing that the applicant has liability insurance policies issued by an insurance company authorized to do business in the State, covering:
  - a. Personal injury, including death, in an amount not less than five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00);
  - b. Property damage in an amount not less than five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00); and
  - c. Environmental damage, for the removal, neutralizing, or cleaning up outside the area of p hosphate mining a ctivities of any substance released or a llowed to e scape that caused environmental impairment or that could cause environmental impairment if not removed, neutralized, or cleaned up, in an amount equal to one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for each acre-foot of storage (design capacity above the lowest toe elevation) expected to be contained in the largest active clay settling area existing or proposed in the County but not less than twenty-five million dollars (\$25,000,000.00). Such insurance coverages shall be applicable over the term of the operating permit.

#### General Surety Bond.

- a. Conditions of the Bond. The applicant shall file with the Board a surety bond or bonds payable to the County with a principal amount equal to five hundred dollars (\$500.00) for each acre of land to be disturbed during the term of the operating permit. Such bond(s) shall be conditioned upon the following:
  - (1) Faithful performance of all the requirements of this Ordinance and the operating permit and satisfaction of all claims and demands incurred for the same;

- (2) Full indemnification of the County from all costs and damages that the County might suffer by failure to do so;
- (3) Full reimbursement and repayment to the County for outlays and expenses and costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, the County may incur in making good any noncompliance or nonperformance, which shall include any judicial or administrative proceeding undertaken by the County because of violation of the terms of this Ordinance or of a particular operating permit, or of the approved master mining plan; and
- (4) The applicant protecting, defending, indemnifying and holding harmless the County from any suits, actions, claims, losses or damage of any character (and from all expenses incidental thereto) based upon or arising from any act, omission, performance or nonperformance of the applicant, its officers, agents, servants, employees or others including the applicant's direction and control, but not including the sole negligence of the County.
- 4. Reclamation/Wetland Mitigation Bond. The applicants shall annually post a reclamation surety bond equal to one hundred ten percent (110%) of the reclamation cost for each acre of land to be disturbed during the coming year and all land previously disturbed by mining activities for which reclamation compliance has not been acknowledged by the Director. The accuracy of the bond areas and the costs of reclamation set forth by the applicant shall be certified by a registered professional engineer(s) retained by the applicant and shall be subject to the review and the express written approval of the Director. The applicant will include costs in the bond(s) to ensure that the applicant has carried out the approved wetlands mitigation project in accordance with the approved in the Manatee County Phosphate Mining Reclamation Manual; to ensure that the applicant will correct any deleterious effects on wetlands or adjacent areas that may result from its non-compliance with wetlands mitigation requirements of the master mining plan and operating permit; and to enable the County to take steps to restore the site in the event that the applicant defaults on the wetlands mitigation conditions of the master mining plan and operating permit.

In addition to land reclamation costs, the reclamation bond will include costs for tear-down and removal of mine infrastructure, such as beneficiation plants, dredges, pipelines, reagent storage areas and other facilities that will not be needed after mining and reclamation are completed. An action on this bond may be brought by the Board or any other person entitled to the benefits of the bond at any time prior to Reclamation approval by the Director. The applicant and surety shall be jointly and severally liable under the provisions of the bond, and actions against either or both may proceed without prior action against the other and both may be joined in one action. The County shall be entitled to the award of reasonable attorneys' fees if it prevails in proceedings against the bond.

The reclamation bond posted pursuant to this Section may name the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) as an additional obligee or beneficiary, and may be used by the applicant to comply with financial responsibility requirements imposed by FDEP for similar reclamation costs, so long as (1) the County retains the unilateral right to draw upon such bond

without the consent of FDEP, and (2) the County remains the physical holder of the bond. In such case, the County may, with the approval of the Board, also hold such bond as agent for, or on behalf of, FDEP.

5. Sureties; cancellation. The surety or reclamation bond(s) may be secured by a corporate surety licensed to do business in the State and approved by the Board, or by deposit in a State banking institution. The bond shall be conditioned so that the surety cannot cancel the bond on or before the date of cancellation. The applicant shall provide a substitute bond to the Director at least thirty (30) days before the effective date of cancellation. Said substitute bond must be approved by the Director prior to cancellation of the original bond. Failure of the applicant to make an approved substitution shall result in automatic suspension of the operating permit and immediate cessation of mining activities.

#### APPENDIX D. METHODOLOGY AND CRITERIA FOR PERFORMING CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ASSESSMENTS

#### INTRODUCTION Α.

Proposed mining plans may result in individually minor impacts, which, when looked at in the context of other approved or proposed mining plans are collectively significant. cumulative impacts of interest are essentially the same as the direct or indirect impacts of an individual proposed mining and reclamation plan, just covering a larger geographic area, i.e., the basin(s) for which the individual mining application is located. Manatee County ("County") staff are tasked with evaluating a wide range of permit applications for numerous uses beyond just phosphate mining. Filling in or updating information regarding the land use changes/impacts for each permitted activity gives the County the most holistic approach to permit application evaluation on matters including, but not limited to, maintaining appropriate wildlife corridor connections and drainage or flow changes

To accomplish this objective, the applicant shall provide the County with a cumulative impacts assessment prepared in accordance with the requirements of this Appendix. The cumulative impacts assessment must be submitted concurrently with the master mining plan application, which addresses lands not yet approved for phosphate mining. Cumulative impact assessments are not required as a prerequisite for renewals of mine operating permits or proposed changes to existing, approved master mining plans, unless such changes are Substantial Deviations, as defined in Chapter 380.06, Florida Statutes, which require Development of Regional Impact review prior

#### B. IMPACTS TO BE CONSIDERED

The purpose of the cumulative impact assessment is to provide the County with sufficient information regarding the cumulative impacts of a given phosphate mining proposal in the context of other past, present and reasonably foreseeable phosphate mining operations in the same basin(s).

- Surface water quality and quantity: 1. 2.
- Groundwater quality and quantity;
- 3. Wetlands;
- Rivers supplying public water systems; 5.
- Listed species and their habitats; and 6.
- Air quality.

It is not the intent of the Board to create cumulative impact assessment requirements that duplicate similar requirements imposed upon phosphate mine operators by federal or State regulatory programs and/or scientific research funded or performed by public agencies such as the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE), the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP), the Florida Institute of Phosphate Research (FIPR), the Southwest Florida Water Management District

(SWFWMD), or the Central Florida Regional Planning Council (CFRPC). For this reason, provided no significant change to such study results is contemplated by the subject application, a cumulative or regional impact assessment that has been completed by an applicant or prepared by or under the direction of a regulatory agency shall be considered sufficient for purposes of the cumulative impact assessments required to be submitted with master mining plans. Applicable studies by regulatory entities include, but are not limited to:

- 1. Surface water flow a nalyses submitted for watersheds where minimum flows have been established by SWFWMD that demonstrate conformance with the requirements of Chapter 40D-80, Florida Administrative Code (FAC);
- Level I or II Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations (WQBELs) and/or Total Mass Daily Loadings (TMDLs) studies submitted to FDEP that demonstrate conformance with the requirements of Chapter 62-650 and/pr Chapter 62-304, FAC;
- 3. Groundwater modeling results submitted for aquifers where minimum levels have been established by SWFWMD that demonstrate conformance with the requirements of Chapters 40D-2 and/or 40D-80, FAC;
- 4. Groundwater quality analyses and/or modeling that demonstrate conformance with the requirements of Chapter 62-522, FAC;
- 5. Listed s pecies o bservations, habitat analyses, and p opulation analyses submitted to the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FFWCC) in support of applications to manage listed species; and
- 6. Air quality impact projections provided to FDEP pursuant to Chapter 62-204 and/or 62-210, FAC.

## C. DEFINITION OF PAST, PRESENT AND REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE ACTIONS

Map 1 illustrates the current approved boundaries of the phosphate mines operating in Manatee County (Four Corners and Wingate Creek) as well as the respective basin boundaries within which these mines are located, whether or not those basins are located entirely within Manatee County. As part of the cumulative impact assessment, the applicant shall provide and/or update phosphate industry information for each applicable basin affected by the proposed application. Map 1 will also denote the USGS gauging stations downstream of the proposed mining area that will be used as points for impact comparison analyses.

Map 2 illustrates the current boundaries of lands owned in Manatee County by phosphate mine operators for which master mining plans have not yet been approved by the Board, as well as lands adjacent to the properties of phosphate mine operators that may foreseeably be acquired for mining expansion. Thus, Map 2 represents the boundary of the existing and reasonably foreseeable future mining areas in Manatee County.

Divestitures of reclaimed mined lands shall be identified by the applicant and shall continue to be included in the cumulative impact assessment until such time that a subsequent owner alters the land use from that existing at the time of completion of reclamation.

As the phosphate cumulative impact assessment will only address changes affiliated with phosphate mining proposals, only the lands shown on Maps I and 2 will be considered in the phosphate mining cumulative impacts assessment. Other land use changes such as conversion of lands by third parties to agriculture, residential, commercial, industrial, utility or transportation uses will not be considered.

## D. IMPACT ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGIES

The specific methodologies to be implemented in the preparation of phosphate mining cumulative impacts assessments shall be as follows:

## 1. Surface Water Quantity and Quality Analyses

The areal extent of the surface water quantity cumulative assessment will be the lands shown on Maps 1 and 2 within the primary watershed basin(s), e.g., Little Manatee, Manatee, Myakka, Big Slough. Horse Creek, etc., within which the proposed mining operations will occur. The applicant shall provide flow duration hydrographs prepared and sealed by a registered professional engineer that demonstrate the projected changes in the mean annual, 25-year and 100-year flood flows; base flows; and annual flow distribution at the USGS flow measurement locations downstream of the proposed mining area. The data sources for mine areas, mining and reclamation schedules, and post-reclamation conditions shall be master mining plans on file with the Manatee County Environmental Management Department (EMD), and/or Conceptual Reclamation Plans on file with the FDEP Bureau of Mine Reclamation. The temporal periods to be addressed are: (a) prior to any mining disturbance in the basin; (b) the year when the maximum number of acres mined, but not yet reclaimed); and (c) following completion of all approved and proposed mining and reclamation within a given basin.

The areal extent of the surface water quality cumulative impact assessment will be the lands shown on Maps 1 and 2 within the primary basin(s) in which the proposed mining activities will occur. The applicant shall provide estimates of the mass and concentration of each pollutant listed in Chapter 62-302, FAC that is proposed to be released by the proposed mining operations and all other existing or proposed mining operations, together with the results of an analysis prepared and sealed by a registered professional engineer assessing whether such discharges will cause the surface water quality standards published in Chapter 62-302, FAC to be exceeded.

Data that must be considered in the assessment include discharge monitoring reports by all phosphate mining operations in the basin on file with the Bureau of Mine Reclamation; mine water balances on file with EMD and SWFWMD; and other publicly-available water quality data from the watershed. Evaluation points will be the USGS monitoring station(s) located downstream of the proposed mining activities, per Map 1.

#### 2. Groundwater Quantity and Quality Analyses

The areal extent of the surficial aquifer system groundwater quantity analysis shall be the lands shown on Maps 1 and 2 within the primary basin(s) in which the proposed mining activities will occur. The areal extent of the intermediate and upper Floridan aquifer systems groundwater analyses shall be the lands shown on Maps 1 and 2 that are within the groundwater basins delineated by SWFWMD where the proposed mining activities will occur.

The surficial aquifer system assessment shall, to the extent not provided as part of the surface water quantity analysis required under Subsection 1 above, present the results of the modeling analyses prepared by a professional geologist or engineer of groundwater base flows to the primary river(s) or named tributary(ies) within which the proposed mining activities will occur, together with modeled base flow contributions from all other lands in the basin(s) as shown on Maps 1 and 2. The data sources for mine areas, mining and reclamation schedules, and post-reclamation conditions shall be master mining plans on file with the Bureau of Mine Reclamation. The temporal periods to be assessed are: (a) prior to any mining disturbance in the basin; (2) the year when the maximum areal basin disturbance is projected to occur (i.e., the maximum number of acres mined, but not yet reclaimed); and (c) following completion of all approved and proposed mining and reclamation within a given basin.

If withdrawals from the intermediate and Floridan aquifer systems are proposed on the land addressed by the proposed master mining plan, the cumulative impact assessment shall present the results of modeling all proposed withdrawals from each aquifer by existing and foreseeable future phosphate mining activities in the groundwater basin within which the proposed mining activities will occur. Modeling procedures must be performed in accordance with Chapter 40D-2, FAC, and the Basis of Review published by SWFWMD. Modeling results must be sealed by a professional geologist or engineer registered in the State of Florida. Data to be utilized include mine water balances and phosphate industry water use permit applications on file with SWFWMD for withdrawals within he basin area delineated in Maps 1 and 2.

The applicant shall provide estimated volumes and the projected quality of each of the following discharges to the surficial aquifer that will result from the proposed mining operation: (a) recharge ditch hydration; (b) sand backfill of mine voids; (c) deposition of clay slurries in impoundments; and (d) any other proposed groundwater discharges. If the composition of any proposed discharges fails to meet the groundwater quality standards published in Chapter 62-550, FAC, the applicant shall provide modeling analyses, prepared by a professional geologist or engineer registered in the State of Florida, delineating the areal and vertical extent of the proposed groundwater discharge effects.

#### 3. Wetlands

Using master mining plans on file with the Manatee County EMD and Conceptual Reclamation Plans, Environmental and Wetland Resource Permits and Applications on file with the FDEP Bureau of Mine Reclamation, the applicant shall present spatial and tabular analyses of the wetland habitat present on the proposed mining area and on all existing, approved, and foreseeable future mining areas within the boundary of the primary basin(s) within which the

proposed mining activities are to occur. The analyses shall present results on five (5) year intervals, beginning at the time of initial mining disturbances of wetlands contained in the proposed application, and continuing for at least five years past the completion of all revegetation on the proposed mining area.

## 4. Wetlands Supplying Public Water Systems


If all or a portion of a proposed mining area lies upstream of and/or within the same basin from which water is withdrawn for an existing public water system(s), the cumulative impact assessment shall project any reasonably foreseeable effects of the existing and proposed phosphate mining activities on this facility(ies). The assessment must include: (1) an analysis of projected changes in river flow duration(s) at the point of withdrawal and whether such changes will reasonably reduce the time periods or quantities of water that can be supplied by the facilities existing at the time of the assessment; and (2) an analysis of projected changes in water quality at the point of withdrawal and whether such changes will measurably increase the cost of treatment. The assessment will not address public water supply facilities (withdrawal points) that are not in existence at the time the application is submitted to the County.


## 5. <u>Listed Species and Their Habitat</u>

Using Applications for Development approval, master mining plans, Conceptual Reclamation Plans. Applications for Wetland and Environmental Resource Permits, and applications to manage listed species on file with Manatee County EMD, FDEP, and FFWCC, as well as publicly-available records of observations, the applicant shall submit spacial and tabular analyses of the presence of the listed species observed on the proposed mining area on all of the lands shown on Maps 1 and 2, to the extent known. The assessment shall also present a summary of the most recent assessment of the status of each species observed on the proposed mining area and the plans by other mine operators to avoid or mitigate impacts to these species.

#### 6. Air Quality

Using master mining plans on file with Manatee County EMD and permits issued by FDEP, the applicant shall present a summary of all point source emissions of air pollutants regulated by Chapters 62-204 and 62-210, FAC, as well as any proposed emission points associated with the proposed application. If any new emission points are proposed, a cumulative impact assessment shall be performed to address: (a) the existing conditions (i.e., prior to any phosphate mining disturbance on the proposed mining area); and (b) the year when the maximum areal acres disturbed, but not yet reclaimed). The analysis format shall include tables and maps illustrating the magnitude and the location of the point source emissions.





#### APPENDIX E.

# MANATEE COUNTY PHOSPHATE MINING RECLAMATION MANUAL

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
1.	ADMINISTRATIVE
	ADMINISTRATIVE
	A. PURPOSE
	B. INTENT1 C. DEFINITIONS2
	C. DEFINITIONS
	6
11.	NATURAL PLANT COMMUNITIES
	A. FLORA AND FALINA
	7
	FLORA7
	7
	Developed Land Use Cover
	i. Holding Ponds (Clay Sottling Arra)
	i. Holding Ponds (Clay Settling Areas)14 ii. Cropland, Pastureland, Tree Plantations
	15
	Natural Communities/Cover17
	iii. Dry Prairie17
	iv. Shrub and Brushland
	v. Mixed Rangeland20
	v. Mixed Rangeland20 vi. Pine Flatwoods20 vii. Upland Hardwood Forests21
	vii. Upland Hardwood Forests 21
	THE THE WOOD FOREST
	xv. Wet Prairie35
	37
	FAUNA39
	39
111.	MANA a m
111.	MANAGEMENT/MONITORING GUIDELINES, REPORTING,
	A. MANAGEMENT/MONITORING GUIDELINES
	TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
	3. Monitoring Reports
	C. SUCCESS CRITERIA
	50

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

		PAGE
IV.	REFERENCES	101
	HABITAT RECLAMATION	101
	RECLAMATION STANDARDS	101
	CLIMATE	103
	SOILS	103
1	VEGETATION	105
	WATERSHEDS	114
	WILDLIFE	114

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>70</b>		PA
TREES		
OUKURS.	ES	
GROUND COVER & VIN	ESS REQUIREMENTS	ټ
VEGETATIVE SUSSE	ES	5
NATIVE VEDES	S REQUIREMENTS	5
TO SOUTH VERIEBRATES	S REQUIREMENTSS KNOW OR SUSPECTED	8
10 OCCUR IN MANATE	TO COLLEGICATION OF THE COLLEG	
FUTURE PHOSPHATE=	E COUNTY ON EXISTING OR MINED LANDS	
	MINAED LANDS	0

#### I. ADMINISTRATIVE

#### A. PURPOSE

The purpose of the development of the Phosphate Reclamation Manual is to protect the public health, safety, and general welfare; to insure the orderly development of mineral resources in a manner compatible with the overall development of the County; to conserve natural and environmental resources for present and future generations; to minimize the adverse impacts of mining; to insure that phosphate is carried out in such a manner so as not to preclude future uses of mined-out lands; and to insure that phosphate mining activities are consistent with the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan for Unincorporated Manatee County, as amended. Further, the purpose of this manual is to define the types of mined/disturbed lands to be reclaimed, as well as to establish performance standards for reclaiming land and in developing release criteria for post reclaimation.

#### B. INTENT

It is the intent the Phosphate Reclamation Manual to provide for the following:

To insure that the usefulness, productivity and scenic values of all lands and mineral resources involved in mining within the county will receive the highest and best use, proper development, and the greatest practical degree of protection and reclamation;

Plans for mining operations shall include reasonable provisions for protection of the surrounding environment and to ensure for reclamation of the area of land affected by mining;

Protection of adjacent property from any adverse effects and impacts of the mining reclamation;

Protection of water quality and quantity; and

Protection of "threatened or endangered" species or species of special concern:

#### C. DEFINITIONS

#### Areal Coverage

Shall mean the percent a growing substrate's view would be obscured within a predetermined area by a single vegetative stratum (canopy, shrub, groundcover/vines), as concluded by an overhead perspective (bird's eye view).

#### Ecosystem

A dynamic, heterogeneous, complex of plant, animal, fungal and/or microorganism communities, or ecosystems, that interacts with the non-living environment. The scale of which is dependent on the focal species.

#### Forested Communities

Shall typically mean a plant community with canopy coverage of 10 percent or greater.

#### Lake

Shall mean a body of standing water occupying a natural basin or man-made depression in the earth's surface. Lakes are permanent, deep bodies of water that are waterward of their marginal wetlands and at some point are deeper than two meters.

#### Landscape

A matrix of patches that are connected by corridors and collectively subject to change.

#### Landscape Change

Natural or antropogenic alterations of the chemical, physical or biological composition within a landscape.

#### Landscape Corridor

A linear conduit that allows biotic or abiotic migration from one patch to another.

#### Landscape Matrix

A series of dissimilar landscape patches that collectively create a larger theme.

#### Landscape Patch

Patches are nonlinear surface areas that differ in vegetation from their surroundings. They are units of land or habitat that are heterogeneous when compared to the whole. They include four different types: disturbance, remnant, environmental resource, and introduced:

- Disturbance patches are either natural or artificial. They may result from various activities, including agriculture, forestry, urbanization, and weather.
- Remnant patches result when humans alter the landscape in an area and then leave parcels of the old habitat behind. Remnant patches are generally more ecologically stable and persist longer than disturbance patches.
- Environmental resource patches occur because of an environmental condition such as a topographic change.
- Introduced patches are of anthropogenic origins such areas of nonnative plants or animals, or rearranged native species. Animals moving from one area to another can also bring in these nonnative elements.

#### Mine

Shall mean an area of land on which mining operations have been conducted, are being conducted, or are planned to be conducted, as the term is commonly used in the trade.

Shall mean land or area from which the matrix has been removed.

## Native Species

Shall mean flora and fauna, which naturally occur in the County.

## Natural Plant Communities

Shall mean naturally occurring stands of native plant associations exhibiting minimal signs of anthropogenic disturbance. Specific community types can be identified by characteristic dominant plant species composition. Community types found in Manatee County may include pine flatwoods, dry prairie, sand pine scrub, sandhill, xeric hammock, mesic hammock, hardwood swamps, cypress swamp, freshwater marsh, wet prairies, coastal marsh, mangrove swamp, coastal strand and marine grassbeds. Preservation

Preservation means the protection and maintenance of the integrity of a species and its habitat, or a natural plant community, from the direct and secondary impacts of

## Rehabilitation Area (RA)

A constructed landscape that is similar to pre-mine land classifications and/or natural plant communities in which the goal is to become Released Lands.

#### Sand tailings cap

Sand tailings with or without additional materials such as organics, overburden and clay (with sufficient homogenization) to create a soil horizon below the top soil/vegetative inoculums that will contribute to desirable plant species and plant community's growth and reproduction by providing some or all of the following: macro/micronutrients, soil particle size, oxygen infusion, water holding capacity, proper pH, proper hydrology.

#### Tree

Shall mean any self supporting woody plant which usually produces one (1) main trunk, has a more or less distinct and elevated head with many branches, normally grows to an overall height of at least fifteen (15) feet and has a diameter at breast height (DBH) greater than or equal to 4 inches in the environs of the County, and provides shade or is capable of providing shade at maturity.

#### Vegetative Inoculum

Techniques that accelerate and enhance soil formation beneficial to plants and plant communities identified as desirable in this manual. Some techniques available may include:

- 'Green manure' that consists of establishing a temporary, noninvasive, herbaceous cover crop on prepared land that is subsequently plowed into the substrate prior to the rehabilitation/restoration plant installation.
- · Containerized plants preinoculated with mycorrhizae.

Mulches composed of noninvasive plant products (Benefits to woody vegetation may be outweighted by propensity of mulch to favor herbaceous weedy species).

#### Wildlife

Any member of the animal kingdom, with the exception of man and domestic animals, including but not limited to any mammal, fish, bird, amphibian, reptile, mollusk, crustacean, arthropod, or other invertebrate.

#### Wildlife Corridors

Linear conduits that facilitate the natural migratory patterns of wildlife and contribute to their overall habitat requirements (e.g., breeding, feeding).

## D. ACRONYM LIST

BGS BELOW GROUND SURFACE

BOPS BEST OPERATING PRACTICES

DBH DIAMETER AT BREAST HEIGHT

RA REHABILITATION AREA

VAM VESICULAR-ARBUSCULAR MYCORRHIZAE

FLEPPC FLORIDA EXOTIC PEST PLANT COUNCIL

#### II. NATURAL PLANT COMMUNITIES

#### A. FLORA AND FAUNA

The intent of this subsection is to provide guidance for the reclamation and/or the rehabilitation of valuable functions and benefits associated with agricultural land, natural plant communities and their assemblage of wildlife species. The following principles shall be used for the reclamation/rehabilitation of uplands and wetlands in order to create a productive landscape following the mining of phosphate matrix. These guidelines are based on the best-available, accessible information to date. As new technology is developed the applicant is encouraged to employ. the new technology with concurrence from Manatee County. The guidelines presented here are to assist the staff of Manatee County, as well as the applicant, regarding general landform reclamation. applicant shall develop a Management Plan that details how impacts to agricultural lands, plant communities and wildlife resources will be minimized, reclaimed, restored, and/or rehabilitated (as determined by federal, state, and local regulations). As much of the technology and techniques presented here may be relatively new, reasonable scientific judgment, as agreed by Manatee County and the applicant, shall preside.

#### **FLORA**

- a. For those areas that were natural plant communities in the premining condition, the rehabilitated areas shall be designed to represent the local native diversity and plant community types (structure and function) that existed prior to mining.
- b. A priority consideration for landscape design shall be to provide optimal wildlife habitat. In order to provide sufficient core area of natural plant communities for interior species, 75 acres should be

the minimal Rehabilitation Area (RA) size (Brown, Schaeffer and Brant 1990). The RA should not be isolated by intensive land uses in the final landscape. Low intensity land uses that may be acceptable include low-density housing, pine plantation, citrus, and other low-intensity agricultural activities (Hart 1995). Other land uses would be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.

- c. Different plant communities shall be integrated to form a functional landscape. As a result, spatial arrangement and areal extent of each plant community must consider the needs of target wildlife species. However, the relative percent of each native plant community that is to be rehabilitated should approximate the predevelopment condition after considering all applicable local, state and federal compensatory requirements. Exceptions may be considered for additional acreage of 'rare' communities indigenous to Manatee County and as defined by the Florida Natural Areas Inventory. Other options may include the use of, at the discretion of the applicant and concurrence with Manatee County, aerials, soil surveys, or other reasonable sources to determine historic land use.
- d. Rehabilitation efforts shall be coordinated with existing conservation and habitat management plans of surrounding or adjacent properties.
- e. Reclamation plans shall use readily available information in order to design RAs that will benefit rare/listed plant communities, flora, and fauna.

- f. The various groups involved in reclamation/rehabilitation of previously mined lands should share information, to ensure that successes are repeated and failures are not.
- g. Fire-dependent plant communities (donor site) that are to be a source of topsoil for a RA (recipient site), and would not result in a catastrophic fire, should be burned between one year and three years prior to translocation of the donor soils to the recipient site so that a diverse, viable seed bank is encouraged. Fire-dependent plant communities consist of Dry Prairie, Shrub and Brushland, Mixed Rangeland, Pine Flatwoods and Wet Prairie.
- h. Direct transfer of native topsoil from areas slated for mining to reclamation sites should be implemented when available to provide a native seed bank and source of plant propagules, provided nuisance species do not exceed 10 percent. For the purposes of this determination, nuisance species shall include those species listed as invasive by the FLEPPC (most recent available list), in addition to bahiagrass (Paspalum notatum) and bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon). In the event that nuisance species exceed 10 percent in the original plant community, long-term stockpiling (> 1 year) may be a means of eliminating the nuisance species seed bank (verification by germination tests may be necessary). The topsoil may also provide correct sand particle size, soil compaction, and the necessary soil chemistry suitable for germination parameters. It may also provide an inoculum of microflora and microfauna for those plant species that are vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae (VAM) symbiots. Generally, if immediate topsoil application is available for the RA, additional herbaceous planting may not be necessary. However, it has been documented that some upland plant species will not be represented during

germination of even direct transfer of topsoil and supplemental planting may be required (Jenkins 2003) which should tree spading/herbaceous plugs that will include the surrounding soil and microorganisms. Research indicates that soil disturbance, tillage, or vegetation free periods (fallow) may decrease VAM (Kabir 1999). However, research also indicates that VAM may rapidly increase during the restoration phase from natural colonization (Jenkins 2003). Alternate methods of site preparation may be evaluated by Manatee County as new techniques are developed and as the science of upland and wetland reclamation advances over time. Donor topsoil types should be used to reclaim the same vegetative assemblage. For example, if native scrub soil is obtained then it should be used to reclaim scrub habitat. All stockpiled soils shall be properly identified as to their originating donor plant community type (plans and field marked).

- i. Upland communities shall generally receive complete coverage of the available topsoil inoculum, when available, after the initial mass grading of overburden and final grade of sand tailings. Studies indicate that it is preferable to evenly distribute the available topsoil throughout the target reclamation plant community in lieu of incomplete coverage with a thicker profile (Rokich 2000) (Zhang 2001) (Bissett 2004). Reclaimed sites with topsoil had aboveground habitat most similar to unmined sites (Mushinsky and McCoy 1996) in terms of vegetative cover.
- j. It is preferred that muck soils to be used for reclamation of wetlands be kept moist during the stockpiling to ensure that the soil physical/chemical properties are retained, such as water absorption capabilities. There may be conditions where this situation is not the preferred alternative such as a prevalence of nuisance

specie(s) seed in the muck material or where seeds sources may be in close proximity to the stockpile.

- k. For the reclamation of natural plant communities, the bio/chemical/physical characteristics shall approximate those characteristics found in the same type or better plant community as generally described in scientific literature such as Ecosystems of Florida, Myers and Ewel (1990) and by using the plant species tables included in this manual. Any modifications to plant species list provided by the selected reference method is subject to review and approval by Manatee County. Atypical representations of plant communities or portion thereof, as determined using reasonable scientific judgment, shall not be used in establishing a reference.
- Introduction of snags, woody deadfall, brush piles, etc. should be placed randomly throughout the rehabilitated sites in both uplands and wetlands. This should occur during the first two years to "jump start" the creation of habitat structure for plant and animal communities (Hart 1995) (United States Fish and Wildlife Service 1978) (Brown 1991).
- m. Guidelines for arrangement and vegetation density should be modeled after the descriptions of habitat types found in scientific literature. Vegetation selection beneficial to listed wildlife species may be favored above vegetation not beneficial to listed wildlife species.
- n. Lack of habitat structure appears to be the greatest difference between the simplified, reclaimed, mined land and the complex, unmined, natural plant communities in regards to supported wildlife species (Mushinsky and McCoy 1996). The largest factor

contributing to this difference appears to be the lack of a developed shrub or mid-canopy layer. As a result, reclaimed/rehabilitated/restored forested communities/ecosystems shall consist of three foliage layers: ground cover, shrub, and canopy. Reclaimed/rehabilitated/restored shrubby communities shall consist of two foliage layers, ground cover vegetation and shrub. Reclaimed/rehabilitated/restored herbaceous communities must consist of a ground cover layer of vegetation. Each community may include a component of additional strata if it can be reasonably demonstrated to be beneficial to rare wildlife.

- o. All existing listed plant species found on-site (refer to Chapter 5B-40.0055, Florida Administrative Code) should be re-established in the corresponding reclaimed/rehabilitated/restored plant community as a viable population by whatever means are most appropriate for the species, such as seeding, potted material, top soiling, or digging and replanting.
- p. Except for cropland, pastureland, tree plantation areas, and slope stabilization, bahiagrass (*Paspalum notatum*) and Bermuda grass (*Cynodon dactylon*) shall not be planted or seeded. Rather, an annual grass or native grasses and forbs shall be seeded, especially when utilized for initial soil stabilization.
- q. Florida is among the wettest regions of the United States, yet may suffer long periods of drought (Winsberg 1990). As a result, there are periods that supplemental irrigation may be necessary during initial plant establishment for both upland and wetland communities (Hawkins 1988). However, irrigation needs beyond the initial plant establishment (1-2 years) will preclude a designation of success and redesign may be necessary.

- r. The use of operable water control features to regulate water depth may be advised (Miller 1988). Once water regimes are determined it is recommended that the features be made permanent.
- s. Establishing the hydrologic regime of a RA is important even for upland plant communities. Evaluating plant communities with a narrow hydrologic regime for one growing season may be preferable prior to the planting of target plant species (Brown 1991). Unstable slopes may be sown with a temporary cover crop, annual grasses, or temporarily stabilized with other BOPs as agreed upon by the reviewing agencies and the applicant. However, benefits of fine-tuning the hydrology must be weighed against drawbacks of nuisance species invasion.
- t. Where feasible, a RA should be located adjacent to desirable, existing habitat to facilitate the transfer of floral and faunal species (Miller 1988) (Brown 1991).
- A portion of headwater wetlands and their hydrologic inputs should be preserved, where feasible, as they provide a 'bank' of diverse, water-dispersed seed to downstream areas.
- v. Plant communities described as pyrogenic (ie. Dry Prairie, Shrub and Brushland, Mixed Rangeland, Pine Flatwoods, Wet Prairie) will require a prescribed burn management plan (PBMP). PBMPs should be tailored to minimize wetland edge breaks for prescribed burns for those wetland plant communities described as pyrogenic below (ie. Wet Prairie). Further, the overall design must address periodic burning in the context of future land uses, i.e. the design cannot be located in areas incompatible with prescribed burns such

as areas that can be reasonably expected to be developed as residential. This requirement does not preclude demonstrated alternative methods that produce the same floral/faunal characteristics as periodic prescribed burns (mechanical or chemical).

- w. The introduction of rare and late successional species (such as shade dependent plant species) should be encouraged throughout the project monitoring period, especially listed floral/faunal species that would normally be 'taken' as part of any development process throughout central Florida.
- x. The single-most important factor towards establishing sustainable landscapes is likely to be the preservation of existing, suitably located, high quality habitat combined with sufficient funds for perpetual management.

## Developed Land Use/Cover

i. Holding Ponds (Clay Settling Areas)

Background (Rushton 1988): Typically one ton of clay waste is produced for each ton of phosphate rock. The volume of clay requires aboveground storage areas ranging from 200 to 1000 acres that are surrounded by earthen dams from 18 to 60 feet in height. Approximately 30 to 45 percent of the land proposed for mining in central Florida is designated for clay settling areas.

Landscape position: Variable

Hydrology: Variable

Soils: Variable

Design considerations:

Vegetation: (Tables 1, 2, and 3)

## ii. Cropland, Pastureland, Tree Plantations

Background (FDOT 1999): This includes agricultural land that is managed for the production of row or field crops, improved, unimproved and woodland pastures, and timber production

Cropland, Pastureland, and Tree Plantations include:

- Cropland harvested or land from which crops are harvested other than tree and bush crops and horticultural crops
- 2. Lands on which crops and pasture grasses are grown in rotation with one another
- 3. Pastureland used more or less permanently for livestock grazing
- 4. Forests generated by planting seedling stock or seeds. Typically, planted in uniform patterns for wood or forest products.

Field size and shape are highly variable depending upon topographic conditions as well as soil types, size of farms, kind of crops and pastures, capital investments, labor availability and other conditions.

Pastures may be drained and/or irrigated lands. Where the management objective is to establish or maintain stands of grasses, such as bahia, pangola or bermuda grass, either alone or in mixtures with white clover or other legumes, land is categorized as pastureland regardless of treatments. Much of the "permanent" pastures occur on land that usually is not tilled or used as cropland. Topographically rough land; stream floodplains, wooded areas and wetlands often may be used for pasture more or less permanently.

Florida is part of one of the most productive timber producing regions of the world due, in large part to the monoculture management practices prescribed by its private and industrial professional foresters as well as a climate that is conducive to the rapid growth of southern yellow pine of several species. Therefore, large parcels of land are devoted to tree plantations.

Landscape position: Variable

Hydrology: The hydrology will be dictated by the specific crop or vegetative cover requirements.

Soils: Soil characteristics will be dictated by the specific crop or vegetative cover requirements. The pH typically should be neutral to slightly acidic. Topography will be

generally flat. The land shall be sufficiently level and free of holes, gullies, and washouts to permit safe operation of conventional farm and agricultural equipment. The land shall have settled and firmed to the extent that it will support conventional farm and agricultural equipment and that livestock will be able to walk on the surface of the land.

Design considerations:

Vegetation: Dependent on land use.

#### Natural Communities/Cover

#### iii. Dry Prairie

Background (Florida Chapter of the Soil and Water Conservation Society 1989, Florida Department of Transportation 1999, Florida Natural Areas Inventory and Florida Department of Natural Resources 1990, Meyers 1991, and Soil Conservation Service 1981): Dry prairie is a treeless or nearly treeless prairie dominated by grasses, sedges, other forbs, and low shrubs. Dominant species are wiregrass, bluestems, and lovegrasses, but it is a highly diverse system that can support over 200 species. Sometimes it occurs as an almost treeless portion of a larger flatwoods system, or is an area that was previously logged or experienced catastrophic fire. It is similar in composition and structure to flatwoods, but with few to no trees. Dry prairies are a pyrogenic plant community. Typically, dry prairie is likely to burn every one to three years. This frequent regime may be the reason why pines do not become established in dry prairie. For purposes of this

manual, the distinction between wet prairie and dry prairie will be the application of Chapter 62-340, F.A.C. If the prairie does not meet wetland criteria then will be considered to be a 'dry prairie'.

Landscape position: Usually located intermediate in the landscape. Not as high in elevation as sandhill or scrub communities, but at elevations slightly above the shallow wetlands, albeit sometimes with differences as little as several inches. Dry prairies are found on moderately to poorly drained soils at median to lower median elevations in the reclaimed landscape.

Topography: Dry prairie topography is usually flat with a very gradual slope down to lower elevation habitat in the reclamation landscape.

Hydrology: This community may be periodically saturated/inundated, but the soils will not exhibit anaerobic characteristics indicative of reducing conditions.

Soils: Nearly level, the soils of dry prairie are quite variable. This community may vary from well drained to seasonally saturated/inundated for short durations. This landscape may have gradual topographic changes that affect relative soil moisture resulting in dramatically different 'dry prairie' plant associations. Dry prairies may or may not have a spodic horizon and hardpan. Most soils have low clay content, but can be up to 37 percent in parts of the soil horizon (Abrahamson and Hartnett 1990). Representative soils may include Broward, Cassia, Duette, EauGallie, Myakka, Ona,

Orlando, Orsino, Palmetto, Pomello, Tavares, Wabasso, Wauchula, Waveland, and Zolfo series that would have a pH range of 3.6 to 7.3. The seasonal high water table for these soil series range from less than 10 inches below ground surface (BGS) for up to 360 days to more than 72 inches BGS for up to 120 days. The low water table may drop to 72 inches BGS for up to 330 days.

Design considerations: Will generally require a 2-foot to 4-foot sand tailings cap (Brown 1991). Sand tailings is closer to the sandy characteristics of most flatwoods and dry prairie soils than overburden, which has very mixed characteristics, but often consists of the leached material in B horizons (USDA 1990). Wiregrass (Aristida spp.) a primary species in dry prairie, wet prairie, sandhill and pine flatwoods communities require a non-compacted, sandy soil for their establishment (Clewell 1996). The sand tailings must then be covered throughout the dry prairie RA with, in descending order of preference, 1) a layer of topsoil originating from a dry prairie or pine flatwood community or, 2) a vegetative inoculum (VI) layer of artificially created topsoil precursor (Segal 2001).

Vegetation: (Tables 1, 2, and 3)

#### iv. Shrub and Brushland

Background Florida Department of Transportation 1999: This category includes saw palmettos, gallberry, wax myrtle, and other shrubs and brush. Generally, saw palmetto is the most prevalent plant cover intermixed with a wide variety of other woody scrub plant species as well as various types of short herbs and grasses.

Landscape position: Same as dry prairie or pine flatwoods.

Topography: Same as dry prairie or pine flatwoods.

Hydrology: Same as dry prairie or pine flatwoods.

Soils: Similar to dry prairie or pine flatwoods.

<u>Design considerations</u>: Same as dry prairie or pine flatwoods.

Vegetation: (Tables 1, 2, and 3)

## v. Mixed Rangeland

Background Florida Department of Transportation 1999: When more than one-third intermixture of either grassland or shrub-brushland range species occurs, the specific classification is changed to Mixed Rangeland. Where the intermixture is less than one-third, it is classified as the dominant type of rangeland, whether Grassland or Shrub and Brushland categories.

Landscape position: Same as dry prairie or pine flatwoods.

Topography: Same as dry prairie or pine flatwoods.

Hydrology: Same as dry prairie or pine flatwoods.

Soils: Similar to dry prairie or pine flatwoods.

<u>Design considerations</u>: Same as dry prairie or pine flatwoods.

Vegetation: (Tables 1, 2, and 3)

## vi. Pine Flatwoods

Background (Florida Chapter of the Soil and Water Conservation Society 1989, Florida Department of Transportation 1999, Florida Natural Areas Inventory and Florida Department of Natural Resources 1990, Meyers 1991, and Soil Conservation Service 1981): Flatwoods may include several distinct communities including scrubby flatwoods and mesic flatwoods. Frequently within flatwoods there are small areas of other communities such as wet prairie, cypress swamps, or hydric flatwoods that form a mosaic in the landscape. The tree cover for flatwoods in central Florida may be sparse, and in many situations the system could be better described as a wet or dry prairie depending on the hydrology. Grasses, sedges, forbs, and low shrubs dominate these systems that can support over 200 species. Other flatwoods may consist of a palmetto (Serenoa repens) and/or gallberry (Ilex glabra) shrub layer that precludes the development of the aforementioned savannah-like system, although dominance of these species may be an anthropogenic artifact (Meyers 1991) (Soil

Conservation Service 1987) (Clewell 1996). The scrubby flatwoods has more shrubs, especially oak species, less groundcover, seldom develops anaerobic conditions in the upper 12 inches of soil, and is a more open system. Pine flatwoods is a pyrogenic plant community that typically burns on a 2 to 7 year burn cycle.

Landscape position: Usually located intermediate in the landscape, because of this plant community associates may be any of those listed in this reclamation manual.

Topography: Flatwoods topography is generally flat with gradual slopes and as little as several inches of topographical relief.

Hydrology: Drainage characteristics are usually dependent on the existence of a spodic horizon. If a spodic horizon exists this community may be periodically saturated/inundated during the rainy season allowing the development of anaerobic conditions. The spodic horizon is an effective aquaclude preventing the percolation of water. However, the spodic horizon may also inhibit upward movement of groundwater resulting in drained soils during the dry season.

Soils: The soils of pine flatwoods are quite variable. This plant community may vary from the well-drained scrubby flatwoods to the somewhat poorly drained hydric flatwoods. They may or may not have a spodic horizon and hardpan. Most soils have low clay content, but can be up to 37 percent in parts of the soil horizon (Abrahamson and Hartnett. 1990).

Representative soils may include Broward, Cassia, Duette, EauGallie, Hallandale, Myakka, Ona, Orlando, Orsino, Palmetto, Pinellas, Pomello, Tavares, Wabasso, Wauchula, Waveland, and Zolfo series that would have a pH range of 3.6 to 8.4. The seasonal high water table for these soil series ranges from less than 10 inches BGS for up to 360 days to 72 inches BGS for up to 120 days. The low water table may drop to 72 inches BGS for up to 330 days.

Design considerations: Will generally require a one- to four-foot cap of sand tailings (Brown 1991) covered with, in descending order of preference, 1) a layer of topsoil originating from a pine flatwoods or dry prairie community or, 2) a vegetative inoculum (VI) layer of artificially created topsoil precursor. A spodic soil horizon may be required to generate appropriate hydrology for mesic flatwoods. Well-drained communities are usually considered to be scrubby flatwoods.

Vegetation: (Tables 1, 2, and 3)

#### vii. Upland Hardwood Forests

Background (Florida Chapter of the Soil and Water Conservation Society 1989, Florida Department of Transportation 1999, Florida Natural Areas Inventory and Florida Department of Natural Resources 1990, Meyers 1991, and Soil Conservation Service 1981): Upland hardwood forests in central Florida are usually hammocks or narrow bands between upland communities and lake, river, or floodplain forests. They often transition from xeric through mesic and hydric zones. Fire may burn into them from

upland communities, but generally a fire does not carry through the forest except under catastrophic conditions. Occasional flooding may influence the mesic hardwoods. Soil conditions and moisture also varies with its location in the landscape. Frequently perceived as a climax community, which has a diverse mast (acorns, nuts, berries) and structural habitat for wildlife.

Landscape position: Usually located intermediate in the landscape although with a significant gradient between the high elevation of sandhill and scrub communities and the lower elevations of the wetlands.

Topography: Upland Hardwood habitat can be located in flat to gently rolling or sloped topography.

Hydrology: The depth to the water table should be moderate to shallow with moderate to slow infiltration rates.

Soils: Generally, well-developed soil profiles with a distinct A horizon. Representative soils may include: Adamsville, Braden, Bradenton, Cassia, Duette, Orlando, Orsino, Pomello, Tavares, and Zolfo series that generally have a pH range of 4.5 to 7.3. The seasonal high water table for these soil series ranges from 10 inches BGS for 180 days to greater than 72 inches for up to 120 days. The low water table may drop to 72 inches BGS for up to 330 days.

<u>Design considerations</u>: This system will generally require a 2 to 3 foot cap of sand tailings (Brown 1991)/overburden mix with, in descending order of preference, 1) a layer of topsoil

originating from a temperate hardwood community or, 2) a vegetative inoculum (VI) layer of artificially created topsoil precursor.

Vegetation: (Tables 1, 2, and 3)

#### viii. Hardwood - Conifer mixed

Background Florida Department of Transportation 1999: This class is reserved for those forested areas in which neither upland conifers nor hardwoods achieve 66 percent crown canopy dominance.

Landscape position: Usually higher in the landscape than wetlands and pineflat woods.

Topography: Hardwood – Conifer mixed habitat can be located in flat to gently rolling or sloped topography.

Hydrology: The depth to the water table should be moderate to shallow with moderate to slow infiltration rates.

Soils: Representative soils may include: Adamsville, Braden, Bradenton, Cassia, Duette, Orlando, Orsino, Pomello, Tavares, and Zolfo series that generally have a pH range of 4.5 to 7.3. The seasonal high water table for these soil series ranges from 10 inches BGS for up to 180 days to 72 inches BGS for up to 120 days. The seasonal low water may drop to more than 72 inches for up to 330 days.

<u>Design considerations</u>: This system will generally require a 2 to 3 foot cap of sand tailings (Brown 1991)/overburden mix

with, in descending order of preference, 1) a layer of topsoil originating from a upland hardwood community, pine flatwoods, or a mix of the two or, 2) a vegetative inoculum (VI) layer of artificially created topsoil precursor.

Vegetation: (Tables 1, 2, and 3)

# ix. Streams and Waterways

Background (Florida Chapter of the Soil and Water Conservation Society 1989. Florida Department of Transportation 1999, Florida Natural Areas Inventory and Florida Department of Natural Resources 1990, Meyers 1991, and Soil Conservation Service 1981): Streams and waterways include all linear wetlands and deepwater habitats confined by a channel and are typically contained within the reaches of a larger wetland or floodplain, although historic ditching or the topography of the adjacent uplands may create an incised system without peripheral wetlands. Most local waterways are categorized as blackwater streams that are high in tannins, particulates and dissolved organic matter. Water is normally confined within the stream banks during low flow conditions. During the rainy season the water overflows its banks and inundates the adjacent floodplain communities, which is an important occurrence for the life cycles of many dependent flora and fauna species. Flowing water is important in the dispersion of seeds and the preparation of flood-plain surfaces for the germination of seeds (Light 1993).

Landscape position: The extent of this community would be the thalwag/primary channel within other wetland systems.. Plant community associations may be any of those referenced in this manual.

Topography: This system will be the lowest area in the immediate vicinity.

Hydrology: Typically, year round flowing water within the central portion of the system. Ephemeral streams may have sporadic pools of standing water during no flow periods. Water pH typically ranges from 4.0 to 6.0.

Diverse in composition, a single streambed may Soils: include areas of thick muck (backwater or headwater area) or coarse sands (thalwag) depending on localized water velocity. Representative soils include may Canova/Anclote/Okeelanta, Delray/Pomona, and Felda/Wabasso series that would have a pH range of 3.6 to 8.4. The seasonal high water table for these soil series ranges from inundated for up to 270 days to less than 10 inches for 60 or more days. The low water table may drop to 40 inches BGS for up to 180 days.

Design considerations: Usually includes a deepwater channel with little or no vegetation. Emergents and floating vegetation usually occur at channel edges, slow moving areas, and backwater pools. Trees are usually present along bank slopes or at the top of bank and areas landward. Because of water velocity and substantial, but infrequent flooding events the understory and shrub composition of streams and waterways may be sparse. Both evergreen and deciduous species should be incorporated into the design.

Placement of snags and other structural diversity should be added in order to provide wildlife habitat.

The flow may be perennial or ephemeral in nature, but this classification should have standing 'pools' of water year round. Generally, these systems will be included as a component of forested wetlands (unless the original system has < 10 percent canopy coverage as measured from bank to bank), whether or not the tree's bases originate from the peripheral wetland or the upland. System diversity should require the installation of habitat structure such as snags, undercuts, pools, and bars. Basin grading should be broad and flat allowing water to cut its own channel during the initial rainfall events (Hawkins 1988) although other construction methodologies may be required by other regulatory agencies. Bank sloughing and erosional gullies should be anticipated during the establishment process and appropriate BOPs shall be implemented to capture turbidity and sediments prior to discharge to any existing waters or wetlands.

An underlayment of an aquaclude may be required unless it can be demonstrated via a pre-approved model that the hydrological conditions for this system can be met without an aquaclude. Hydrologic studies must be based on models fully calibrated and verified using existing phosphate mine reclamation projects of a similar design which meet all state water quality standards. Backwater areas should be incorporated into the overall design. Topsoiling should occur after the main and/or secondary channels are established.

Vegetation: (Tables 1, 2, and 3)

#### x. Lakes and Reservoirs

Background (Florida Chapter of the Soil and Water Conservation Society 1989, Florida Department of Transportation 1999, Florida Natural Areas Inventory and Florida Department of Natural Resources 1990, Meyers 1991, and Soil Conservation Service 1981): Lakes are permanent, deep bodies of water that are waterward of their marginal wetlands and at some point are deeper than two meters.

Landscape position: Usually located at the lowest level in a landscape position.

Hydrology: Permanent water, deepest part must be equal to or greater than 2 meters, but most natural lakes do not have anaerobic layers.

Soils: Substrate within open water bodies is referred to as sediments. As a result there are no representative soils.

Design considerations: Within each lake there shall be a minimum of 10 percent of the total water surface area designed as littoral shelves. The littoral shelves shall be less than 6 feet in depth relative to designed high water. The intent is to provide fisheries habitat and water quality treatment (Canfield 1992). Littoral shelf vegetation may include appropriate species that may be found in the freshwater marsh, wetland hardwood forest, or cypress swamp vegetation tables (Tables 1, 2, and 3). The

establishment of bird rookery 'islands' in this system is encouraged.

Vegetation: (Tables 1, 2, and 3)

# xi. Wetland Hardwood Forests

Background (Florida Chapter of the Soil and Water Conservation Society 1989, Florida Department of Transportation 1999, Florida Natural Areas Inventory and Florida Department of Natural Resources 1990, Meyers 1991, and Soil Conservation Service 1981): A generalized category of forested wetland where no individual wetland hardwood species exceeds 66 percent dominance. purposes of this manual bottomland hardwoods and floodplain forests will be treated as wetland hardwood forests. Topography is nearly level except for microtopography changes affiliated with hummocks and tussocks, and areas proximal to flowing water where topography may be quite diverse due to avulsion and accretion. A healthy wetland hardwood forest will have significant numbers of vines and epiphytes, which shall be considered when assessing the need to transplant mature plant material.

Landscape position: Low in the landscape, such as floodplains/bottomlands, lake margins, and depressions.

Topography: This habitat should be gently sloping to the watercourse or deeper wetlands.

Hydrology: Quite variable depending largely due to the nature of hydrological input whether rainfall, groundwater, surface waters, or a combination and/or the landscape position. Floodplain swamps may be saturated/inundated for as little as one month, while the lake fringe and other depressional swamps may be saturated/inundated for nine months or more.

Soils: Organic matter accumulation is low in areas of flowing water and high in stillwater swamps. PH value ranges from a low of 4.3 to a high of approximately 8.0. Representative soils may include Canova/Anclote/Okeelanta, Chobee, Delray, Delray/Pomona, Felda, Felda/Palmetto, Felda/Wabasso, Gator, Hallandale, Parkwood, St. John's, and St. John's/Myakka series that would have a pH range of 3.6 to 8.4. The seasonal high water table for these soil series ranges from inundation for 360 days to less than 15 inches BGS for up to 180 days. The low water table may drop to 40 inches BGS for up to 300 days.

<u>Design considerations</u>: This habitat should be at elevation to allow periodic flooding and saturation followed by drying.

If the design is for a stillwater swamp then sand tailings, overburden, or a combination of the two (Brown 1991) should be at least two foot in depth and are to be covered with, in descending order of preference, 1) a 3-inch layer of topsoil/muck originating from a wetland hardwood swamp community or, 2) a vegetative inoculum (VI) layer of artificially created topsoil precursor.

Vegetation: (Tables 1, 2, and 3)

## xii. Cypress

Background (Florida Chapter of the Soil and Water Conservation Society 1989, Florida Department of Transportation 1999, Florida Natural Areas Inventory and Florida Department of Natural Resources 1990, Meyers 1991, and Soil Conservation Service 1981): This plant community may be composed of pond cypress (Taxodium ascendens) or bald cypress (Taxodium distichum) or a combination of the two species, although the latter is Common associates of the cypress plant atypical. community may include hardwood species. Several types of cypress-dominated systems include the cypress dome, cypress slough, cypress strand, and cypress lake fringe. Some cypress classifications may be fire-dependent in order to suppress hardwood invasion and maintain the coniferous system. Fire periods may be as high as every 5-7 years for the cypress swamp edges and every 100-150 for the center (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1999). The characteristic dome shape of a cypress dome is believed to be a result of both the deep mucks present in the center of the wetland system that provide better nutrients and the exclusion of fire in the wetter center that often kills the trees at the periphery of the swamp.

Landscape position: Typically at lower elevations integrating between pine flatwoods and streams/waterways, lakes, or other wetland plant communities such as gum swamps. Topography: These swamps should form local depressions near the lowest elevation of the landscape.

Hydrology: Inundation for four to nine months.

Soils: Typically sandy surfaces with a loamy subsoil along the outer periphery with increasing thickness of organics/muck towards the deeper interior of the swamp. Representative soils may include Chobee, and Floridana/Immokalee/Okeelanta series that would have a pH range of 3.6 to 7.8. The seasonal high water table for these soil series ranges from inundation for up to 270 days to 10 inches BGS for up to 270 days. The low water table may drop to 40 inches BGS for up to 180 days.

Design considerations: This system does require a period without inundation in order for seeds to germinate. It is preferred that pond cypress be utilized in stillwater swamps and bald cypress in communities designed with flowing water. The sand tailings (Brown 1991) should generally be at least two foot in depth and are to be covered with, in descending order of preference, 1) a 3-inch or greater layer of topsoil/muck originating from a cypress dominated wetland community or, 2) a vegetative inoculum (VI) layer of artificially created topsoil precursor of equal depth or greater.

Vegetation: (Tables 1, 2, and 3)

#### xiii. Wetland Forested Mixed

Background Florida Department of Transportation 1999: This category includes mixed wetlands forest communities in which neither hardwoods nor conifers achieve a 66 percent dominance of the crown canopy composition.

Landscape position: Typically at an elevation between Wetland Hardwood and Cypress Swamps.

Topography: This habitat may be gently sloping or undulating to the watercourse or deeper wetlands.

Hydrology: Inundation from one to nine months.

Soils: Organic matter accumulation is low in areas of flowing water and high in stillwater swamps. PH value ranges from a low of 4.3 to a high of approximately 8.0 Representative soils may include Canova/Anclote/Okeelanta, Chobee, Delray, Delray/Pomona, Felda. Felda/Palmetto. Felda/Wabasso. Hallandale, St. John's. and St. John's/Myakka series that would have a pH range of 3.6 to 7.8. The seasonal high water table for these soil series ranges from inundation for up to 270 days to 15 inches BGS for more up to 180 days. The low water table may drop to 40 inches BGS for up to 300 days.

<u>Design considerations</u>: This habitat should be at elevation to allow periodic flooding and saturation followed by drying.

If the design is for a stillwater swamp then sand tailings (Brown 1991) should generally be at least two foot in depth and are to be covered with, in descending order of preference, 1) a 3-inch layer of topsoil/muck originating from a Wetland Hardwood Swamp, a Cypress Swamp, or a combination of the two or, 2) a vegetative inoculum (VI) layer of artificially created topsoil precursor.

Vegetation: (Tables 1, 2, and 3)

#### xiv. Freshwater Marsh

Background (Florida Chapter of the Soil and Water Conservation Society 1989, Florida Department of Transportation 1999, Florida Natural Areas Inventory and Florida Department of Natural Resources 1990, Meyers 1991, and Soil Conservation Service 1981): Whether due to frequent fire, prolonged inundation, or deep accumulations of muck/peat that preclude canopy development, freshwater marshes are devoid of canopy species except at their periphery or the occasional waterward swamp tupelo. Various plant assemblages can lead to further refinement to their classification, such as cattail marsh, flag marsh, sawgrass marsh, etc. Larger marshes frequently have at least a small open water component.

Landscape position: Typical and frequent interspersal among pine flatwoods and dry prairies, at other times a deeper portion of a forested wetland system. Also found as a 'littoral shelf' community of lake, pond, and stream edges. Occasionally found in association with xeric communities, especially in an area with an aquaclude (clay lens).

Topography: Freshwater marshes are relatively broad, slightly depressed areas where sheet runoff and seepage collect and detained.

Hydrology: Four to 12 months of inundation. Should be designed to intercept groundwater or accumulate surface

water. Hydrologic studies must be based on models fully calibrated and verified using existing phosphate mine reclamation projects of a similar design which meet all state water quality standards and which contain sediment loads that do not exceed pre-mining conditions.

Soils: Very poorly drained soils that are nearly level with thick organic surfaces and/or sand underlain by clay or sand. Representative soils may include Canova /Anclote /Okeelanta, Chobee, Delray, Delray /EauGallie, Delray /Pomona, Felda /Palmetto, Floridana, Gator, Manatee, and Tomoka series that would have a pH range of 3.6 to 7.3. The seasonal high water table for these soil series ranges from 360 days of inundation to less than 10 inches BGS for more than 60 days. The low water table may drop to greater than 40 inches BGS for up to 180 days.

Design considerations: May require an aquaclude for proper hydrological function. The sand tailings (Brown 1991) should generally be at least two foot in depth and are to be covered with, in descending order of preference, 1) a 6-inch layer of topsoil/muck originating from a marsh or wet prairie community or, 2) a vegetative inoculum (VI) layer of artificially created topsoil precursor of equal or greater depth.

Trees are optional as marginal plantings (<5% of total habitat) with the exception of swamp tupelo which is typically a deep water planting. Shrubs plantings are optional and shall consist of <5% of total habitat unless the system is to replaces a shrubby marsh or planted to enhance wildlife value such as the creation of a rookery 'island'.

Slopes should be low and protracted in order to support a wide transitional zone typified by the distinct zonation patterns characterizing many high quality marshes.

Vegetation: (Tables 1, 2, and 3)

#### xv. Wet Prairie

Background (Florida Chapter of the Soil and Water Conservation Society 1989, Florida Department of Transportation 1999, Florida Natural Areas Inventory and Florida Department of Natural Resources 1990, Meyers 1991, and Soil Conservation Service 1981): These ephemeral wetland systems are dominated with grasses, sedges, and rushes with a high number of interdispersed forbs. Unlike the freshwater marsh, the wet prairie is seldom dominated by a single species. The wet prairie is fire-dependent and burns with a frequency of every 2 – 4 years. Individual wet prairie areas may also be referred to as a slough system when they are connected and serve as flowways during periods of heavy or prolonged rainfall.

Landscape position: Wet prairies occur on relatively flat, poorly drained areas. Although it may be found in almost any upland community, its highest incident of occurrence is in pine flatwoods and in association with landward fringes of many other wetland communities.

Topography: Wet prairies are slightly depressed areas scattered throughout the landscape where sheet runoff and

seepage is collect and detained for relatively short periods of the growing season.

Hydrology: Shallow Inundation and/or saturation are brief, usually 1 to 4 months. Depths during seasonal high water seldom exceed 24 inches in the deepest locations.

Soils: Soils are nearly level and almost always consist of a sandy substrate. There may be slight buildup of organics in the deeper portions of a wet prairie. Representative soils may include Canova/Anclote/Okeelanta, Chobee, Delray, Delray/EauGallie. Delray/Pomona, Felda/Palmetto. Hallandale. Manatee. Pinellas. St John's. St. John's/Myakka, and Wabasso series that would have a pH range of 3.6 to 8.4. The seasonal high water table for these soil series range from inundation for up to 270 days to less than 15 inches BGS for 60 or more days. The low water table may drop to 40 inches BGS for up to 300 days.

Design considerations: Due to narrow range of effective hydrologic regime, an evaluation of site hydrology may be necessary for one rainy season prior to planting of the desired plant community. During the interim an annual, non-invasive cover crop shall be established. However, benefits of fine-tuning the hydrology must be weighed against drawbacks of nuisance species invasion.

May require an aquaclude for proper hydrological function. The sand tailings (Brown 1991) generally should be at least two feet in depth and are to be covered with, in descending order of preference, 1) a 3-inch layer of topsoil/muck

originating from a pine flatwoods, dry prairie, freshwater marsh or wet prairie community or, 2) a vegetative inoculum (VI) layer of artificially created topsoil precursor of equal or greater depth.

Trees are optional as marginal plantings that shall be <5% of total habitat. Shrubs are also an optional planting that is to be at levels of <5% of total habitat unless the system is to replaces a shrubby marsh or planted to enhance wildlife value.

Vegetation: (Tables 1, 2, and 3)

#### FAUNA

- a. Plant communities should be designed to restore the local native faunal diversity and relative abundance that existed prior to mining. To assist in this effort, the applicant shall provide Manatee County with a table cross referencing any Florida Land Use, Cover and Forms Classification System (FLUCFCS) (FDOT 1999) as required by the Bureau of Mine Reclamation of the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) with the equivalent plant community types listed in this Manual.
- b. Areas adjacent to a mining unit or RA such as the 25-year floodplain that may serve wildlife habitat or those areas not scheduled for development within 5 years, should be pre-prepared (i.e., prescribed burns, nuisance species removal, planting of vegetation beneficial to the targeted wildlife, etc.) and subsequently managed as temporary wildlife refuges (through the course of mining reclamation including the monitoring period or permitted development, whichever comes first). The purpose is two fold:

direct faunal mortality is reduced and species that may not occur locally, but occur in the mining unit, are provided some opportunity for temporary relocation. Ideally, these may serve to re-colonize reclaimed habitat areas post mining (Mushinsky and McCoy 1996, 2001). The concept of increasing the carrying capacity for target species as well as native biological diversity through the implementation of various management strategies is based on fundamental concepts of ecological land management land practiced on preservation areas throughout the region (Brooker Creek Preserve Management Plan, 1992; Cypress Lakes Preserve Management Plan, 1993; Balm-Boyette Management Plan, 1996).

- c. Direct wildlife mortality may be avoided by burning nondonor sites immediately prior to clearing. A directional burn towards any adjacent preservation area will also encourage some individuals towards the area that will not be mined. A burn removes cover and encourages some resident populations to locate in adjacent areas that still provide forage and cover. It also prepares the soils for use in rehabilitation efforts.
- d. Rehabilitated wildlife habitat should have the same or significantly similar structure as the impacted wildlife habitat (areal extent of wildlife habitat, distance to adjacent wildlife habitats, vegetative strata, soils, hydrology, cover, and water availability.
- e. Habitat RAs should be located adjacent to or have wildlife corridors to unmined areas of the same habitat. For example, an area being restored as a pine flatwoods should be located adjacent or connected to a pine flatwoods.

# III. MANAGEMENT/MONITORING GUIDELINES, REPORTING, AND SUCCESS CRITERIA FOR REHABILITATION

#### A. MANAGEMENT/MONITORING GUIDELINES

Rehabilitated areas are to re-create the measurable, key elements of a natural plant community, commonly referred to as a 'functional analog'. Each natural plant community and each RA will be monitored, evaluated, and released based upon the mutually agreed methods discussed below. The rehabilitated areas may not necessarily exactly duplicate the original plant community in order to be considered successful. However, it is the intent of this manual that the applicant manages each plant community/RA throughout the monitoring period to enhance the target habitat characteristics (community composition, structure, and function) using the best available technology and techniques to the greatest practicable extent. Compliance assurance with these guidelines and intent shall not be the sole responsibility of Manatee County. The following elements must be included in the overall management plan in order for the plant community/RA area to be evaluated for success:

 Category 1 and 2 nuisance exotic species (as defined by the Exotic Pest Plant Council, most recent available list) abundance shall not exceed 10 percent aggregate at any time in any RA, or within any individual plant community.

The following species shall be considered 'limited tolerance' (<5 percent of total areal cover for any single species within any RA or

individual plant community) because of their propensity to invade plant communities undergoing reclamation, rehabilitation or restoration:

- Australian pine (Casuarina spp.)
- Bermudagrass (Cynodon dactylon)
- air potato (Dioscorea bulbifera)
- water-hyacinth (Eichhornia crassipes)
- hydrilla (Hydrilla verticillata)
- cogongrass (Imperata spp.)
- climbing fern (Lygodium spp.)
- skunkvine (Paederia foetida)
- bahiagrass (Paspalum notatum)
- downy rose myrtle (Rhodomyrtus tomentosa)
- Chinese tallowtree (Sapium sebiferum)
- Brazilian peppertree (Schinus terebinthifolius)
- tropical soda apple (Solanum viarum)
- punk tree (Melaleuca quinquenervia)
- Sufficient consideration should be applied to the use, location, and 'control' of vine species to ensure their propensity to overrun a habitat is not detrimental to the establishment of other desirable species.
- 3. The RAs shall be actively managed until they maintain a self-sustaining vegetative community and structure appropriate for each reclaimed plant community, typically at least 7 years (Crisman 1997) (Brown 1991). "Appropriate," as used above, is defined by the success criteria parameters outlined in Section III.C. of this Manual.

- 4. Periodic management is encouraged in perpetuity, as even stable natural communities currently require management in order to maintain high quality systems.
- 5. For communities that are fire dependent (ie. Dry Prairie, Shrub and Brushland, Mixed Rangeland, Pine Flatwoods, and Wet Prairie), the self-sustaining period will occur after the initial prescribed burn for those systems with a burn cycle of less than 7 years. Burn patches may be recommended for systems with a burn cycle exceeding 7 years.
- 6. Where feasible, the reintroduction of locally extirpated, rare, and/or listed floral and faunal species should be encouraged once the plant community/RA has developed sufficiently to support the specie(s). An example would be to consider all suitable candidate sites to be restocked with gopher tortoises that are permitted to be displaced by development.
- 7. Feral hog population control within all RAs.
- 8. Cattle stocking should be limited to the densities (or lower) recommended by the Natural Resource Conservation Service for each of the natural plant communities. Cattle grazing should be discouraged for all natural plant communities or plant community classifications except for the predominately herbaceous upland systems.

- Fences bounding or crossing rehabilitated natural plant communities or RAs should be of a design that will not to inhibit the normal movement of wildlife
- Monitor and correct for any point/nonpoint sources of pollution including erosion.
- Monitor land management activities to determine their effectiveness. Changes should be made as appropriate (i.e., adaptive management). Significant changes may require concurrence with Manatee County.
- Encourage additional scientific research on reclaimed/rehabilitated lands, especially uplands.
- Appropriately designed staff gauges and water table monitoring wells shall be installed to provide representative hydrology for each RA.
- 14. Plant community (ies) shall be delineated (using a mutually agreed and pre-determined delineation method) and surveyed (traditional stake and survey, sub-meter capable GPS unit, or recent aerial photo interpretation) upon initial planting (by what ever means) of the RA. The delineation of each plant community will then be provided to the County as a table of coordinates and acreages. These tables will be compared against the permit conditions to determine if target acreages have been met for each plant community. Evaluation of plant community limits may be deferred

for one growing season to determine the approximate hydrology of the RA. Interim plantings may consist of annual grasses or other BOPs as agreed upon by the reviewing agency and the applicant.

- 15. Vegetative transects shall be located such that they are parallel to the ground slope of the reclaimed plant community and consist of 10m X 10m belt transects to document tree and shrub cover and 1m X 1m sub-quadrats shall be used to document ground cover species. Percent areal cover of vine species over shrubs and trees will be visually estimated and epiphytic relative density may be recorded as Abundant, Common, Occasional, and Rare. The applicant may provide definitions for these relative values provided they are consistent throughout the reporting period.
- 16. Fixed photo stations should be located so that they will not be obscured by vegetation during the first 5 years or more of the reclamation. The installation of elevated photostation structure(s) similar to hunting stands may be appropriate.

## B. NOTIFICATION/INSPECTIONS/REPORTS

#### 1. Reclamation Notification

A Reclamation Notification shall be submitted within 15 days of completion of planting of the plant communities/RA prior to the County site inspection. This notification shall include:

 As-built surveys, or a statement from the project reclamation engineer that the site was constructed per the approved reclamation plan (including grading, soil stratification, soil source, water control structures, etc). Soil cap tolerance will generally be +, - 6 inches.

- ii. Approximate quantities, distribution and thickness of topsoil or artificial precursor for each plant community.
- iii. A table of species installed in each plant community including tree-spaded material, which shall include approximate quantities and size of each species along with dates of installation.
- iv. Initial water table/staff gauge readings. The locations of the gauges are to be marked on the plant community maps (see below).
- v. Initial plant community boundary maps. Original plans may be used unless field adjustments were necessary. Limits of each community must be field marked and denoted on the plant community maps denoting the location of the markers.

## 2. Inspections

The success or failure of reclamation for individual plant communities is based on many of the interim steps in the process including the materials balance and filling stages, final grading and planting. To ensure that these phases of the reclamation process are within the guidelines of the reclamation manual and to increase the industry's chance of success of reclamation, a series of inspections by the County will be required. The industry is required to contact the County at key critical points of the reclamation process to schedule an inspection. These points include:

- i. When sand tailings are deposited at the reclamation site.
- ii. After the overburden and topsoil has been applied.
- iii. At the completion of final grading.
- iv. When final planting is completed.

The inspections are to provide general guidance by the County to ensure that the basic elements of the reclamation process as recommended by the manual are being adhered to. The success of reclamation process is ultimately the responsibility of the industry.

## 3. Monitoring Reports

Upon agency inspection and approval of the area(s), the monitoring program shall be initiated. Monitoring reports shall be submitted annually with one qualitative and one quantitative report per year until success criteria, as outlined in Section III.C of this Manual, are achieved. The quantitative report will be conducted at or near the end of the rainy season. Monitoring reports must be submitted to Manatee County as part of the annual report. Monitoring reports shall contain, at a minimum, the following information:

- i. One permanently marked photo stations at each of the transect locations.
- ii. Transect/quadrat information shall be provided in table form.

- iii. Organic soil depth (or lack thereof) shall be recorded at each of the 1m quadrats for the wetland areas.
- iv. Soil stabilization measures used or necessary.
- v. Percent survival of each of the planted species based upon transect/quadrat extrapolation (first annual report only).
- vi. Areal percent coverage of sampled species (for each stratum, as appropriate) and number of trees and/or shrubs per acre (extrapolated).
- vii. Estimated areal percent coverage of nuisance species for each plant community and the RA (independent transect/quadrat data may be required). Document the efforts to control the nuisance species since the previous report and actions for the following year if levels exceed maximum thresholds.
- viii. Indicator status (OBL, FACW, FAC, FACU, UPL) of all groundcover species sampled in 1m x 1m quadrats and all tree and/or shrub species sampled in the 10m belt transects.
- ix. A list of other plant species observed within the community, but not sampled in the quadrats or belt transects, and an indication of their relative abundance (Abundant, Common, Occasional, Rare).
- x. The number, species, size, planting locations/zones, and planting dates (beginning and ending) of plants replanted if

necessary to meet required coverage and survival requirements.

- xi. Qualitative (visual) water quality observations (additional parameters may be required).
- xii. Provide the readings of water level at each of the monitoring locations.
- xiii. All observations of wildlife that inhabit, cross, or use habitats within and immediately adjacent to the monitored site is to be recorded. Recorded wildlife data will consist of both direct sightings and indirect observations (e.g., calls, scat, dens, tracks, burrows, feathers, scratchings, nests, or other evidence). The potential for the site being part of, or within, an important wildlife corridor should also be assessed. Wildlife use documentation may also include observations concurrent with other data collection events such as water table wells and vegetative transects.
- xiv. Provide an overall ecological evaluation of each plant community and the RA.
- xv. Describe any problems encountered and corrective actions implemented or needed (ex: nuisance vegetation removal, measures taken during flood/drought conditions, etc.).

## C. SUCCESS CRITERIA

Release from the reclamation obligations imposed by the Manatee County Phosphate Ordinance will be in accordance with the in-effect rules at the time of permitting.

For rehabilitated areas to be considered successful and released from further monitoring or reclamation obligations, the following criteria will be met:

- 1. Plant communities must have the areal coverage/projected areal coverage, quantities, and diversity of trees, shrubs, and ground cover consistent with Table 4.
- The landward extent and areas waterward of the proposed limits for rehabilitated/restored wetlands should meet criteria as described in Chapter 62-340, F.A.C. inclusive of vegetation and hydric soil characteristics.
- 3. At least one or more occurrences of flowering of 10 percent or more of the species from each stratum, exclusive of nuisance species, with viable seed set (may require standard germination test) for each of the natural plant communities. Seedlings, saplings, or other 'young' vegetation will be considered proof of flowering and/or viable seed.
- 4. Table 5 provides a list of native vertebrate species known or suspected to occur in Manatee County on existing or future phosphate-mined lands. These vertebrates form the pool from which representative species can be sampled to evaluate the

success of the rehabilitation area. Table 5 include both the permanent native resident fauna and migratory birds, which depend heavily on the Florida landscape for their survival and are an important component of the consumer food chain. Each RA will be evaluated separately unless connected by a wildlife corridor (exclusive of avifauna corridors). Success criteria will only use those vertabrate species appropriate for the plant communities contained within each of the RA i.e., fish criteria will not be used if the RA consists of only upland plant communities.

Wildlife Success Criteria for each RA

Fishes:

For connected wetlands combined (forested and freshwater marshes), a minimum of four forage species (prey base primarily plants and invertebrates), and one top carnivore species (eats other fish).

Amphibians: For upland plant communities combined, a minimum of three anurian species, as documented by occurrence in the uplands or at their breeding ponds (isolated wet prairies or freshwater marshes). For connected wetlands combined (forested and freshwater wetlands), a minimum of three anurian species and one salamander species.

Birds:

For upland plant communities combined, a minimum of 20 total species represented by at least three birds of prey species (Falconiformes or Strigiformes); one dove species (Columbiformes); one woodpecker species (Piciformes); and 10 song bird species (Passeriformes) represented by at least one member of the following families: Emberizidae,

Hirundinidae, Icteridae, Mimidae, Troglodytidae, Trannidae, and Vireonidae; and at least two members of the Parulidae.

For wetland plant communities combined, a total of 20 total species represented by at least two waterfowl species (Anseriformes or Podicipediformes), three shorebird species (Charadrifformes), four wading birds species (Ciconiformes), one member of cranes and their allies (Guiformes), two bird of prey species (Falconiformes or Strigiformes) and four song bird species (Passeriformes) from at least two families.

Reptiles:

For upland plant communities combined, a minimum of two lizard species, one herbivorous turtle species, and three snake species with a total reptilian diversity of at least seven species. For wetland plant communities, a minimum of two turtle species (represented by at least one herbivore and one carnivore) and two snake species with a minimum reptilian diversity of at least five species.

Mammals:

For upland plant communities combined, a minimum of eight total species represented by at least three small mammal species (*Insectivora* or *Rodentia*), one rabbit species (*Lagomorpha*), the opossum (*Marsupiala*), and two carnivore species (*Camivora*). For wetland plant communities combined, a minimum of five total species represented by at least one small mammal species (*Rodentia*), one rabbit species (*Lagomorpha*), and two carnivore species (*Camivora*).

Rehabilitated areas not meeting success criteria for a targeted plant community, due to lower than expected vegetative cover or diversity, may be credited towards a similar system with less stringent coverage requirements upon presentation by the applicant and acceptance by Manatee County. However, it may not eliminate the need for additional rehabilitation in order to compensate for the loss of the original system.

Table 1																
TREES	S							LAN	PLANT COMMUNITY	MMCN	11	ŀ				
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP	SB	MR	PF	오	H	SW	논	WH	WFM	CY	FM	WP	
Acer rubrum	Red maple							+	+		+	+	+	+		
Carpinus caroliniana	Musclewood							+	+		+	+	+			
Carya aquatica	Water hickory								+				+			
Carya glabra	Pignut hickory							+								
Celtis laevigata	Hackberry							+			+	+				$\perp$
Diospyros virginiana	Persimnon			+	+	+	+	+			+	+		+	+	-
Fraxinus caroliniana	Pop ash								+		+	+	+			+-
Gleditsia aquatica	Water locust										+	+	+			+
Gordonia lasianthus	Lobiolly bay										+	+				-
llex cassine	Dahoon holly								+		+	+	+		+	-
Juniperus virginiana	Southern red cedar						+	+			+	+				<u> </u>
Liquidambar styraciflua	Sweetgum					+	+	+			+	+				
Magnolia grandiflora	Grand magnolia						+	+								-
Magnolia virginiana	Sweetbay								+		+	+	+			-
Morus rubra	Red mulberry							+			+	+				
Nyssa sylvatica	Swamp tupelo										+	+	+	+	+	-
Persea palustris	Swamp bay					+	+	+			+	+	+			$\vdash$
Persea borbonia	Red bay					+	+	+		-	+	+	+			-
Pinus clausa	Sand pine					+										
Pinus elliottii	Slash pine		+	+	+	+	+	+			+	+				
Pinus palustris	Longleaf pine		+	+	+	+	+	+								_

<sup>+</sup> Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Scientific Name Common Na Prunus caroliniana Cherry laurel Prunus serotina Black cherry Quercus geminata Sand live oak Quercus laurifolia Laurel oak Quercus nigra Water oak	me AG	S	SB MR	R PF					1					
fic Name         Ch           iana         Ch           a         Blz           nata         Sa           blia         Lau           Wa         Wa	me AG	<del> </del>	<del></del>	-					?					•
iana Ch a Bla nata Sa blia Lau	me AG	<del></del>	┝╼┼╼┾	┝		LAN	PLANT COMMUNITY		<u> </u>					T
iana a nata olia			++		SH :	HO	SW	I K	MH	WEA	>	-	٥	1
a nata Nia			-	+	+						5	2	Ì	
nata blia				+	.	-   +			-    -   -					
lia			-	_	+	. 4		+	+					
		+	+	+	. +	- +	+					_		
		+	+	+	.   +		- 4		$\top$		+	+		
Quercus virginiana Live oak			+	-   4	.	.	-  -			+	+	+		
Sabal palmetto			1	-	_	+	+	•	+		+	+		
		+		+		+	+	+	+		+	+	$\dagger$	T
			!				1+ \	+	+		+	+	+	T
Pond cypress			_			,	1	+	+		+	$\dagger$	1	I
Taxodium distichum Bald cypress		1	-				+	·   4			$\dashv$	+		
Ulmus americana American elm		-	-	$\downarrow$			. 4	-   1			+	_		
Zanthoxylum clava-herculis Hercules-club			-	_	+	+	-	<b>+</b>	+		+			

<sup>+</sup> Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

SHR	SHRUBS						P	PLANT COMMUNITY	OMM	JNITY					
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	OP	SB	MR	PF	의 의	MS HO	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	₩ ₩	WFM	ζ	FM	WP	
						<del></del> -									
Amorpha fruticosa	False indigo bush						+								
Ardisia escallonoides	Marlberry				-	-	+								
Asimina obovata	Large flowered pawpaw		+	+	+	+			:						
Asimina reticulata	Netted pawpaw		+	+	+	+		-							
Asimina triloba	Соттоп рамрам						+			+	+				
Baccharis glomeruliflora	Silverling					+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	
Baccharis halimifolia	Groundsel tree		-	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	
Bejaria racemosa	Tarflower		+	+	+	+		<u>.                                    </u>							
Callicarpa americana	Beauty berry		+	+	+	+	+	ļ		+	+				
Cephalanthus	Buttonbush									+	+	+	+		
occidentalis					. —,		<del></del>								
Chionanthus virginicus	White fringetree				-	<u> </u> 	+	+							
Cornus foemina	Swamp dogwood						 	+		+	+	+			
Crataegus michauxii	Mixhaux's hawthorn					+									
Erythrina herbacea	Coral bean					+	+								
Garberia heterophylla	Garberia			<u>                                       </u>		+				·					
Gaylussacia dumosa	Dwarf huckleberry		+	+	+	+									
Gaylussacia frondosa	Dangleberry		+	+	+	+									
Hypericum cistifolium	Roundpod St. John's		-	-	<u> </u>	+							+		

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Scientific Name  W Hypericum hypericoides S Hypericum mutilum '	COUNTY						1	T	ARALIA	1					Ì
ides	Common Name	┝	-	<u></u>	-		\[\f\]	LEAINI COMMUNITY	NIMOI NIMOI	<u> </u>					
ides	Common Ivaline	၂ ၃	N SB	B MR	R - 무	오	H	SW	LK	WH	WFM	ζ	FM	WP	
ides	Worl			_					+	$\dagger$		1			
,	St. Andrew's cross		+	+	+	+	+		+						İ
	Myrtleleaf St. John's	1	+	- -							+			+	
8	wort				<u></u>				·	+	+		+	+	
Hypericum reductum A	Atlantic St. John's wort	+	+	+	_				-		7				
Hypericum tetrapetalum S	St. Andrew's cross	+	+	+	+				_	+	1	7	7		
llex glabra	Gallberry	+	+	+	+			+	1	1			+	+	
	Virginia willow	-		-				+-	-   -						
is	Mexican seedbox		+	-					-   +	F   4		$\top$	_	1	
B	Rusty Iyonia	+	+	+	+		İ	$\dagger$				-	+		
sa	Staggerbush	+	+	+	+		$\dagger$		+	+		+	+	$\dashv$	
Lyonia lucida SI	Shiny Iyonia	+	+	+	+		+	$\frac{1}{1}$	+	+				_	
Myrica cerifera W	Wax myrtle	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		$\top$	1	$\dashv$	
Rhapidophyllum hystrix Ne	Needle palm			_			Ť		-   +	-   -		F	+	+	
Rhododendron viscosum Sv	Swamp azalea		-	-			+	+	-   +	+ +	+		$\dashv$	-	
	>	<del> </del>			+		1	+	+	·   +	+	-   -	+	+	
	Flatwoods plum		+	+	+	+	+			+		+	+		
Psychotria nervosa W	Wild coffee		1			+	+	-	+	+		+		+	_
	Shortleaf wild coffee					+	+		+		+		+	_	1
inii	Chapman oak	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-			+		+	$\top$
Quercus minima Dv	Dwarf live oak	+	+	+	+	+				+	-	+	+	+	

Table 2			-													
SHR	SHRUBS							PLAN	PLANT COMMUNITY	MMU	ZLIN		     .		:	<u> </u>
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP	SB	MR	PF	오	품	SW	K	MH	WFM	Շ	ξ	WP	
Quercus myrtifolia	Myrtle oak		+	+	+	+	+	+								
Quercus pumila	Runner oak		+	+	+	+										
Rhus copallinum	Winged sumac		+	+	+	+	+	+								
Sabal minor	Dwarf palmetto									·	+	+				
Sambucus nigra	Elderberry								+		+	+	+	+		
Serenoa repens	Saw palmetto		+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+			+	
Sideroxylon reclinatum	Florida bully					+			+		+	+				
Sideroxylon tenax	Tough bumeilia			+	+		+		غر							
Styrax americanus	Storax						+	+	` \		+	+				
Vaccinium arboreum	Sparkleberry					+			+		+	+				
Vaccinium corymbosum	Highbush blueberry						1	+								
Vaccinium darrowii	Little blueberry		+	+	+	+	+									
Vaccinium myrsinites	Shiny blueberry	-	+	+	+	+	+									
Vaccinium stamineum	Deerberry					+	+	+								
Viburnum nudum	Possumhaw										+	+		+		
Viburnum obovatum	Walter's viburnum							+	+		+	+	+	+		
Ximenia americana	Hog plum					+	+	+								
							-									
		-								-						

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Table 3														
GROUNDC	GROUNDCOVER & VINES					٩	LANT	COMM	PLANT COMMUNITY					
Scientific Name	A mommon	-	ŀ	ŀ										
	Common Name	AG D	DP SB	3 MR	PF	H H	UH SW	> X	MH	WENT	20		1	f
Acalypha gracilens	Slender threeseed	+	<u> </u>	-	+	<del></del>	+	+	+-		5	Σ	₹   	
	mercury					<del></del> -								
Acrostichum	Giant leather fern		+	-		+		1						_
danaeifolium	·						+	· .	+	+	+			-
Agalinis fasciculata	Beach false foxglove	+	+	+	_	+	-	_					<del></del>	
Agalinis linifolia	Flaxleaf false foxelove	.   .	.	-	+	_								
Analinis obtusifelia	akolkyot osint ingili	+	+	+	++		+		+		+			$\dagger$
ellollenna on make	l enlobe false foxglove	+	+	+	+	<del> </del>						$\top$	+	$\dashv$
Ageratina jucunda	Hammock snakeroot		-		+	+	1							
Aletris lutea	Yellow colic-root	+	+	1	+	+	<u>,  </u>	_						
Ambrosia artemisiifolia	Common radiation	.   .	.	-	<u> </u>							•	+	-
Amostonii	common radweed	+	+	+	+++	+					T		+	+
Amperopsis arborea	Peppervine	+	+	+	+	+ 	+		+	+	4	$\dagger$	-	+
Amphicarpum	Blue maidencane	+	+	+	+	- -	_	_			+	+		_
muhlenbergianum						<del>-</del>	·				+	+ +		
Andropogon	Short-spike bluestem	+	+	+	+	-								
brachystachyus						<del>-</del>			<del></del> -			+		
Andropogon floridanus	Florida bluestem	+	+	+	+	-	_							
Andropogon glomeratus	Big chalky bluestem	+	.   4	+		-		•						-
Andropogon gyrans	Elliott's bluestem	.   -	-   -		-   -				+		+	+		
Andropodon fernarius	11 11 11 11 11	-	-	+	+									
chilaine in god -	opiiloeard bluestem	+	+	+	+						-		+	
									i	-	-	-	_	_

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Table 3																
GROUNDCO	GROUNDCOVER & VINES						_	PLAN	PLANT COMMUNITY	MMU	ΥLITY					
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP	SB	MR	PF	유	H	SW	LK	HM	WFM	CΥ	FM	WP	
Andropogon virginicus	Bluestem	-	+	+	+	+	+	+			+			+	+	
Apios americana	Groundnut						+	+			+					
Aristida gyrans	Corkscrew threeawn		+	+	+	+	+								-	
Aristida patula	Tall threeawn		+	+	+	+				:				+	+	
Aristida purpurascens	Slim-spike threeawn		+	+	+	+	+								+	
Aristida spiciformis	Bottlebrush threeawn		+	+	+	+	+								+	
Aristida stricta	Wiregrass		+	+	+	+	+		,						+	
Arnoglossum floridanum	Florida Indian plantain		+	+	+	+	+		-							
Asclepias connivens	Largeflower milkweed		+	+	+	+	+	+						+	+	
Asclepias curtissii	Curtiss' milkweed		+	+	+	+	+	+								
Asclepias feayi	Florida milkweed		+	+	+	+										
Asclepias humistrata	Sandhill milkweed				+	+	+						·			
Asclepias incarnata	Swamp milkweed													+	+	
Asclepias fanceolata	Fewflower milkweed					+					+	+		+	+	
Asclepias longifolia	Longleaf milkweed					+					+	+		+	+	
Asclepias pedicellata	Savannah milkweed		+	+	+	+		·					-		+	
Asclepias pedicellata	Savannah milkweed		+	+	+	+	+									
Asclepias perennis	Swamp milkweed										+	+	+	+	+	
Asclepias tuberosa	Butterflyweed			+	+	+	+	+								
Axonopus fissifolius	Common carpetgrass		+	+	+	+	+	+			+	+		+	+	
												!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!	ĺ	! !	!	

Table 3															
															[
GROUNDC	GROUNDCOVER & VINES						TNA	200	PI ANT COMMUNICA						7
Scientific Name						•		<b>Ξ</b>		<b>-</b>					
	Common Name	AG D	DP SB	3 MR	PF	H CH	HI	I WS	וא	┝	-				
Axonopus furcatus	Big carpetgrass	+	+	+	+			$\dashv$		$\dashv$	5	T T	WP		
Azolla caroliniana	Carolina mosquito fern		+	.	-		-	_	+	+	_	+	+		
Bacopa caroliniana	Lemon bacopa		-	_			+	+	+		+	+			L
Bacopa monnieri	Water hyssop		-				-				+	+	+		1
Balduina angustifolia	Yellow buttons	4	-   -	-	-							+	+		T
Baptisia lecontei	Pineland wild indias	-	-	+	+	+	+								7
Berchemia scandons	Offinia pila pilacia.				+	+								T	$\overline{}$
Silvering Scalingils	Kattan vine					+	<u> </u> 	+	+	+			1		<del>-</del>
Berlandlera subacaulis	Greeneyes				+	+		+							
Bidens alba	Beggarticks	+	+	+	+	+		<u> </u>	_						
Bidens bipinnata	Spanish needles		-			-	+	-	4	-			+		
Bidens laevis	Smooth beggarticks		-			+		$\perp$	-	<b>F</b>		j	+		<del></del> -
Bidens mitis	Smallfruit beggarticks						-  +			1	+  -	+	+		
Blechnum serrulatum	Swamp fern		-				•   +		•   4			+			<del>- ,</del>
Boehmeria cylindrica	Bog hemp	-			-	-	-		- 4	<b>-</b>	+  -	+	+		Т
Buchnera americana	Bluehearts	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	-	F	+				
Bulbostylis ciliatifolia	Capillary hairsedge	+	+	+	$\top$		-	_					<del>*</del>	+	
Bulbostylis warei	Ware's hairsedge	+	+	+				-					+		<del></del> -
Callisia ornata	Roseling	+	+	+	+	$\top$	-						_	_	<del></del>
						-	_	4							

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community
MANATEE COUNTY - PHOSPHATE MINING RECLAMATION MANUAL

Table 3																
GROUNDCO	GROUNDCOVER & VINES						4	PLANT COMMUNITY	000	AMU	¥					
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DD	SB	MR	PF	유	H	SW	LK K	WH	WFM	ζ	FM	WP	
Calopogon barbatus	Bearded grasspink					+		-			+	+	+	+	+	
Calopogon multiflorus	Manyflowered grasspink					+					+	+	+	+	+	
Campsis radicans	Trumpet creeper					+	+	+			+	+	+			
Campyloneurum	Long strap fern		İ		-	+-		-	-		+	+	+			
phyllitidis								<del></del>		-						
Canna flaccida	Yellow canna							-		Ė	+	+	+	+	+	
Carex longii	Long's sedge		+	+	. +	+	+	+	;		+	+		+	+	
Carphephorus	Florida paintbrush		+	+	+	+	+		\	-					+	
corymbosus									`							
Carphephorus	Pineland purple		+	+	+	+	+	_								
odoratissimus																
Carphephorus	Deertongue		+	+	+	+	+	<u> </u>							+	
paniculatus																
Cenchrus echinatus	Southern sandspur		+	+	+	+	+									
Cenchrus gracillimus	Slender sandspur		+	+	+	+	+				_					
Centella asiatica	Coinwort		+	+	+	+	+	+		-	+	+	+	+	+	
Centrosema virginianum	Butterfly pea		+	+	+	+	+									
Ceratophyllum	Coontail									+						
demersum						_			<u>!</u>							
Chamaecrista	partridge pea		+	+	+	+	<del>-</del>	+					- #	-	·	+

Scientific Name Chamaecrista nictians Sensitive par	VED 9 WAITS	-			ĺ										
	VER & VINES						PLA	PLANT COMMUNITY	MWC	NITY					
	Common Name	AG	DP G	SBIA	MR PF	F H	=	N/S	71	17/41	1877.	H			
T		1	+	<del></del>	-		<del>- -</del>	5	1	M	VVFIVI	5	Σ	M M M	
	Sensitive partridue pea		+	1	+	-									
Chapmania floridana	Chapman's pea				$\top$	+  -	+								+
1	Pineland daisy				+   +	+   -	+								
1	Longleaf chasmanthium		-	$\top$	-	+	-	-						+	
Chasmanthium nitidum	Shiny woodoats			+	+	+	-   -	F -		+ .	+				
Chrysopsis scabrella	Golden aster	+	+	+	+	-	+	+		+	+				
Chrysopsis subulata	Scrubland goldenaster	+	+	+	-   +	-  +									
Cladium jamaicense	Sawgrass			-				``					-		
Clematis reticulata	Netleaf leather flower			+	+		1					+	+	+	
Clitoria mariana	Butterfly pea		+	+	4	-	.		$\top$		F				
Cnidoscolus stimulosus	Tread softly		+	-	-  -	-  -	F		+					_	
+	Carolina jointfailorass			<b>-</b>	+	+									
$\top$	Mrinklod inintal	+		+	+	+	+							+	
	viiikied jointaligrass	+	+	+	+	+	+	-						+	İ
	Dayflower				+	+	+	+	<del>                                     </del>	+	+	+		+	Ť
	Dayflower	+	+	+	+	+								$\dagger$	
Conoclinium coelestinum E	Blue mistflower			<u> </u>	-			+		+		1	+	+	
	Florida tickseed	+	+	+	+					+			$\dagger$	+	
hii	Leavenworth's tickseed	+	+	+	+				-				Ť	+	<del>- -</del>
Crotolaria rotundifolia R	Rabbit bells	+	+	+	+	+		-	-	1			-		

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community
MANATEE COUNTY - PHOSPHATE MINING RECLAMATION MANUAL

Table 3																
GROUNDCO	GROUNDCOVER & VINES							LAN	PLANT COMMUNITY	MMC	YIIX					
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP	SB	MR	PF	HC	H	SW	LK K	MH	WFM	ζ	FM	WP	
Croton glandulosus	Croton		+	+	+	+	+									
Croton michauxii	Rushfoil		+	+	+	+	+									
Cyperus articulatus	Jointed flatsedge	<u> </u>							+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Cyperus compressus	Poorland flatsedge		+	+	+	+				:					+	
Cyperus croceus	Baldwin's flatsedge		+	+	+	+	+	+					+		+	
Cyperus cuspidatus	Coastalplain flatsedge		+	+	+	+									+	
Cyperus distinctus	Swamp flatsedge					+	+		•		+	+	+	+	+	
Cyperus flavescens	Yellow flatsedge					+	+		\		+	+	+	+	+	
Cyperus haspan	Haspan flatsedge										+	+	+	+	+	
Cyperus ligularis	Swamp flatsedge					+	+				+	+	+	+	+	
Cyperus odoralus	Fragrant flatsedge			_		+	+				+	+	+	+	+	
Cyperus polystachyos	Manyspike flatsedge		+	+	+	+	+	+			+				+	
Cyperus retrorsus	Pinebarren flatsedge		+	+	+	+	+	+							+	
Cyperus stenolepis	Strawcolored flatsedge					+	+				+	+	+	+	+	<u>-</u>
Cyperus strigosus	Strawcolored flatsedge					+	+				+	+	+	+	+	
Cyperus surinamensis	Tropical flatsedge					+	+				+	+	+	+	+	
Cyperus tetragonus	Fourangle flatsedge					+	+				+	+	+	+	+	
Dalea pinnata	Summer farewell		+	+	+	+	+									.
Desmodium floridanum	Florida ticktrefoil		+	+	+	+	+	+								
Desmodum paniculatum	Panicledleaf ticktrefoil		+	+	+	+	+	+			+					

<sup>+</sup> Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Table 3															ſ
GROUNDCO	GROUNDCOVER & VINES						PLA	PLANT COMMUNITY	NWW.	YTIN					
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP 6	SB N	MR PF	HC	E	MS.	×	M	VA/EAA	<u> </u>			1
Dichanthelium aciculare	Needlwleaf witchgrass		+	+	+	+	+	;	í		IA IAA	5	2	\$	
Dichanthelium	Variable witchgrass		-	+	+	•	.			4					
commutatum		·		·		-				<b>-</b>	÷	+	+	+	 
Dichanthelium	Cypress witchgrass		-		-				1	-					
dichotomum										<b>-</b>	F	+	+	+	
Dichanthelium ensifolium	Witchgrass	+	+	+	+	+	+								
Dichanthelium	Erectleaf witchgrass			+	+	$\perp$				4	-				
erectifolium								\	·	<del></del>	<b>+</b>	+	+	+	
Dichanthelium laxiflorum	Openflower witchgrass	+	+	+	+	+	+	1							
Dichanthelium leucothrix	Witchgrass	+	+	+	+	+									
Dichanthelium	Witchgrass	+	+	+	+	+	+		1						
portoricense		· .					-								 
Dichanthelium strigosum	Witchgrass	+		-	+		+								<del>-</del>
Dichondra carolinensis	Carolina ponysfoot	-	+	-	+					+	+			+	
Digitaria ciliaris	Southern crabgrass	+	+	+	+	+			$\dagger$						
Digitaria filiformis	Slender crabgrass	+	+	+	+	+		-							
Digitaria serotina	Blanket crabgrass	+	+	+	+	+	+							+	
Diodia virginiana	Virginian buttonweed		-	-	+				+	+	+	+		. +	1
Drosera brevifolia	Dwarf sundew		-		+			İ						+	<del>-  -</del>
Drosera capillaries	Pink sundew	<u> </u>		-	+									+	1
			-						1	1			7		$\neg$

<sup>+</sup> Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Table 3		-														
GROUNDCO	GROUNDCOVER & VINES						_	PLAN	PLANT COMMUNITY	MMC	Y LIN					
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	PP	SB	MR	PF	오	H	SW	LK	WH	WFM	ζ	FM	WP	
Drymaria cordata	Drymaria					+	+	+			+	+	+		+	
Dryopteris Iudoviciana	Southern wood fern								+		+	+	+	+		
Dryopteris Iudoviciana	Southern wood fern	-	ļ				+	+	+		+	+	+			
Dyschoriste oblongifolia	Twinflower		+	+	+	+	+									
Echinochloa walteri	Coast cockspur								+					+	+	
Eclipta prostrata	False daisy					+					+	+	+	+	+	
Eleocharis baldwinii	Baldwin's spikerush		+	+	+	+					+	+	+	+	+	
Eleocharis spp.	Spikerushes					+					+	+	+	+	+	
Elephantopus elatus	Elephant's foot		+		+	+	+	+	`		+					
Encyclia tampensis	Florida butterfly orchid						+	+	+		+	+	+			
Eragrostis elliottii	Eliott's lovegrass		+	+	+	+	+	+								
Eragrostis hypnoides	Teal lovegrass					+			+	+	+	+	+	+		
Eragrostis spectabilis	Purple lovegrass		+	+	+	+	+	+								
Eragrostis virginica	Meadow lovegrass		+	+	+	+	+	+								
Erechtites hieracifolius	Fireweed		+	+	+	+	+	+						+	+	
Erigeron quercifolius	oakleaf fleabane		+	+	+	+	+	+								
Erigeron vemus	Fleabane					+					+	+			+	
Eriocaulon spp.	Pipeworts					+					+	+	+	+	+	
Eryngium aromaticum	Fragrant eryngium		+	+	+	+	+									
Eryngium yuccifolium	Button snakeroot		+	+	+	+									+	
		ľ														

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Table 3															
GROUNDCO	GROUNDCOVER & VINES														
Colontific No							71	PLANT COMMUNITY	N N N N	NIT√					
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	PP	SB	MR P	PF HC	<u>1</u>	SW	¥	MH.	WEN	>	70	0,41	T
Eulophia alta	Wild coco		+	+	+		+-						Ž L	} }	
Eupatorium capillifolium	Dogfennel		+	+		+	-			<b>.</b>	+	+	+	+	
Eupatorium	Yankeeweed				$\top$	-	+			+	+	+	+	+	
compositifolium					<del></del>					+	+		+	+	
Eupatorium leptophyllum	Falsefennel		+	+	+										
Eupatorium mohrii	Mohr's hoarhound		+	+	+	_								+	
Eupatorium rotundifolium	False hoarhound			+	+	-  -								+	
Euphorbia polyphylla	Lesser Florida spruge			+		+   +	1	9							
Eustachys glauca	Saltmarsh fingergrass	1	+		+	-   -		`\							
Eustachys petraea			$\dagger$	+		-  -	F -								
Euthamia caroliniana	Flat-topped anidenral		1	$\dagger$		-	+							+	
Fimbristylis autumnalis	Slender fimbry		Ť											+	
Fimbristvlis nuherula	Hairy fimbar			+	+					+			+	+	1
Froelichia floridana	Cottoning Illingly		+	+	+								+	+	T -
Enirone soirroide	Contoliweed		+	+	+	+									T-
r un ena scripordea	Southern umbrellasedge					-		+					+	+	T
Galactia elliottii	Elliott's milkpea		+	+	+	+	+			-				+	- Ţ-
Galactia regularis	Florida milkpea		+	+	+	+	+		+						1
Galactia volubilis	Downy milkpea	+	+	+	+	+	+		+						Τ
Galium hispidulum	Coastal bedstraw		_	+-	-	+	+		+						η
Galium pilosum	Hairy bedstraw		-	-			+		Ť						Τ-
				-	-				-						

<sup>+</sup> Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Table 3																
GROUNDCO	GROUNDCOVER & VINES						PLA	PLANT COMMUNITY	MM	NITY NITY						
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP S	SB N	MR P	PF HC	H	SW	LK	MH	WFM	ζ	FM	W		
Gamochaeta falcata	Narrowleaf purple		+	+	+	+									+	
	everlasting			<u>.                                    </u>												
Gamochaeta	Pennsylvania		+	+	+	+	+							+		
pensylvanica	everlasting															
Gelsemium	Carolina jessamine			+	+	+	+			+						
sempervirens																
Glandularia tampensis	Tampa mock vervain				+	+	+	ì		+						
Gratiola hispida	Rough hedge-hyssop		+	+	+	+	+									
Gratiola pilosa	Shaggy hedge-hyssop		+	+	+	+		<u>.  </u>								
Gratiola ramosa	Branching hedge-		+	+	+	+	<u>,</u>									
	hyssop															
Gymnopogon	Chapman's		+	+	+						- <u></u> ,					
chapmanianus	skeletongrass											_				
Habenaria spp.	Rein orchids				+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+		
Helenium amarum	Bitterweed		+	+	+	+	+									
Helenium pinnatifidum	Southeastern				+									+		
	sneezeweed											_				
Helianthemum	Clustered rockrose		+	+	+	+	+									
corymbosum							-						_			
Helianthemum nashii	Florida scrub frostweed		+	+	+	+ +	+	_				_				

<sup>+</sup> Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

GROUNDCC	GROUNDCOVER & VINES							PLANT COMMUNITY	T C0	MMC	ΥĮ					
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP	SB	MR	PF	U.H.	=======================================	1410	2	1 1 1 1					
Helianthus agrestis	Southeastern sunflower		$\dagger$			:	2	5	3	4	L	WFM	3	Σ Σ	W	
Helianthus angustifolius	Narrow+leaved		-	-										+	+	
	sunflower	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+	+								+	+	
Helianthus radula	Rayless sunflower		+	1+	+	+	+									
Heterotheca subaxillaris	Camphorweed		+	+	+	+	.   +		1		1				+	
Hibiscus grandiflorus	Swamp hibiscus			$\top$			-									
Hieracium	Hawks beard		+	+	+	+		$\dashv$		+	_	<b>+</b>	+	+		
megacephalon							<del>-</del>		ď,							
Houstonia uniflora	Clustered diamond-		+	+	+	+	+	+	1							
	flower					-									+	
Hydrocotyle umbellata	Water pennywort		+	$\dagger$		+		+		+	1	+	1	-		
Hydrolea corymbosa	Skyflower			-	<u> </u>	+	-			$\dashv$	+		-	<b>.</b> -	+	
Hypericum	Coastalolain St. John's			+	-			+	$\dashv$		+			+	+	
brachyphyllum	wort		<del></del>		<del></del>	<u>·</u>		·						+	+	
Hypericum gentianoides	Pineweeds		-	1	<del> </del>	+	-	+	+		+		+	+	-	
Hypericum myrtifolium	Myrtleleaf St. John's		+	+	+	+	+		_ _	+		+			-   4	
	wort		<del></del>		•	<del></del>			<u>-</u>		<u> </u>	<del></del>			<b>.</b>	
Hypolepis repens	Creeping bramble fern				-		$\dagger$	+	1	+	+		+			
Hypoxis curtissii	Common yellow			+	+	+	-			+	+	+	+	1	+	
	starorass															

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Table 3			; [												-	
GROUNDCOVER & VINES	/ER & VINES						<u>-</u>	PLANT COMMUNITY	CO	AMU!	ΣLIZ					
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP	SB	MR	PF	웃	H	SW	논	MH	WFM	ζ	FM	WP	
Hypoxis juncea	Yellow stargrass					+					+	+	+		+	
Hyptis alata	Musky mint					+	+				+	+	+	+	+	
Indigofera caroliniana	Carolina indigo				+	+	+									
Ipomoea alba	Moonflower						+	+		:						
Ipomoea cordatotriloba	Tievine		+	+	+	+	+	+								
Ipomoea hederifolia	Scarletcreeper	<u> </u>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+							
Ipomoea pandurata	Man-of-the-earth	-		+	+	+	+	+								
Iris hexagona	Prairie iris										+	+	+	+	+	
Iva microcephala	Piedmont marshelder													+		
Isoetes flaccida	Florida quillwort	<u> </u>											+	+		
Juncus dichotomus	Forked rush		+	+	+	+					+	+		+	+	
Juncus effusus subsp.	Soft rush								+		+	+	+	+	+	
solutus	•	•				_										
Juncus elliottii	Elliott's rush								+		+	+	+	+	+	
Juncus marginatus	Grassleaf rush		+	+	+	+					+	+		+	+	
Juncus megacephalus	Bighead rush											ļ		+	+	
Juncus repens	Lesser creeping rush												+	+		
Juncus scirpoides	Needlepod rush										+	+	+	+ ·	+	
Lachnanthes caroliniana	Redroot					+					+	+.	+	+	+	
I achnocaulon anceps	Bog buttons					+		<u>-</u>			+	+_	+	+	+	
Lacinicadure	•		-	_												

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Table 3															
GROUNDC	GROUNDCOVER & VINES	•													
	OVEN & VINES						PLA	PLANT COMMUNITY	MMU	NITY					
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP 6	SP	MD DE	<del> </del>									
Lachnocaulon minus	Small's bogbutton		+		-+	5	5	AMS	۲	MH NH	WFM	СУ	FM	ΜM	
Leersia hexandra	Southern cutgrass	-	$\frac{\perp}{\parallel}$	+	-					+	+	+	+	+	
Lemna spp.	Duckweed		+	-	1			+		+	+	+	+	+.	
Liatris chapmanii	Chapman's blazing star	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		
Liatris garberi	Garber's blazing star	+	+	+	+	+	- +		+						
Liatris gracilis	Slender blazing star	+	+	+	+	+	.   1		1					-	
Liatris spicata	Spiked blazing star	+	+	+	+	.   4	.   4								
Liatris tennuifolia	Shortleaf blazing star	+	+	+	-   +	- 4	-   -	٠						+	
Licania michauxii	Gopher apple	+	+	+	.   +	-	-	` '							
Lilium catesbaei	Pine lily	+		-	-   4	-	j								
Limnobium spongia	Frog's-bit		+		-	-								+	
Lindernia dubia	Yellowseed false	+	_	-	-				+	_		+	+		
	pimpernel	<del></del>			+									+	-
Linum grandifforum	Flowering flax	+	+	+	+	+	-								
Linum medium	Stiff yellow flax	+	+	+	+		_		+		+			+	
Lobelia glandulosa	Glades lobelia	+	+	+	+				+						
Lobelia paludosa	White lobelia	+	+	+	+	1	+			+			-	+	_
Ludwigia alata	Winged seedbox		+	.			-		+	$\dashv$			_	+	_
Ludwigia arcuata	Piedmont seedbox		+						+				+	_	
Ludwigia curtissii	Curtiss' seedbox		-						+	+		+	+ +		
		-	-	_					+	+		+	+		_
														_	

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community
MANATEE COUNTY - PHOSPHATE MINING RECLAMATION MANUAL

Table 3																
GROUNDCO	GROUNDCOVER & VINES			1				LAN	PLANT COMMUNITY	MMU	¶T.	ļ		1	ř	
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP	SB	MR	PF	오	H	SW	, 본	WH	WFM	СУ	FM	WP	
Ludwigia erecta	Yerba de jicotea										+	+	+	+	+	
Ludwigia lanceolata	Lanceleaf seedbox										+	+	+	+	+	
Ludwigia leptocarpa	Anglestem seedbox								+		+	+	+	+	+	
Ludwigia linearis	Narowleaf seedbox										+	+	+	+	+	
Ludwigia maritima	Seaside primrose willow		+	+	+	+									+	·
Ludwigia microcarpa	Smallfruit seedbox						<u>                                     </u>				+	+	+	+	+	
Ludwigia octovalvis	Mexican seedbox								+		+	+	+	+	+	
Ludwigia palustris	Marsh seedbox								\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		+	+	+	+	+	
Ludwigia repens	Creeping seedbox					+			`\		+	+	+	+	+	-
Ludwigia suffruticosa	Shrubby primrose willow					+								+	+	
Lupinus diffusus	Skyblue lupine		+	+	+	+	+	+								
Luziola fluitans	Southern watergrass												+	+	+	
Lycopodiella	Foxtail club-moss					+	<u>-</u>				<u>-</u>	+	+	+	+	
alopecuroides					_										+	
Lycopodiella appressa	Southern club-moss					+						+	+	+	+	
Lycopodiella cernua	Nodding club-moss					+						+	+	+	+	
Lycopodiella prostrata	Feather-stem club-moss					+						+	+	+	+	
Lycopus rubellus	Waterhorehound				·				+	,	+	+	+	+	+	
Lygodesmia aphylla	Roserush		+	+	+	+	+								+	
I vthrum flagellare	Florida loosestrife										-			+	+	
			1													

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Table 3															
GROUNDCC	GROUNDCOVER & VINES					٩	LANT	COMU	PLANT COMMUNITY						
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG DP	P SB	MR	PF I	L U H	I III CIVI	1	, ,	-	⊢	_ <i>-</i>	- 1	1	
Mecardonia acuminata	Purple medardonia			+-		-+-	<del></del>	۲ ۲	¥	WFM	<u>ک</u>	FM	ĕ		
Melothria pendula	Creeping cucumber		_	-	$\dashv$	+	+	_	_		-	+	+		
Mikania cordifolia	Florida keys hempvine		-				$\frac{1}{1}$	-		-					
Mirnosa quadrivalis	Sensitive briar	+	+	+	+		-	-	<u> </u>	+	+	+			
Mitchella repens	Partidgeberry				+	+					_				
Mitreola sessifolia	Miterwort		+		+	+	+	+	-		$\downarrow$				
Muhlenbergia capillaris	Muhly grass	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	_				+		
Myriophyllum	Variable-leaf milfoil				+	+	- 1	<del>.  </del>				+	+		_
heterophyllum			<del></del>			<del></del>	+ .	+							
Nuphar advena	Spatterdock					+	-								
Nymphaea odorata	Francont waterilly	-	$\downarrow$					+				+			
Numphoides action	יישן מווי אמוכווווץ			-				+			+	+			
ivyripiloldes aqualica	big floatingheart					-	+	+			+	+			T
Ophioglossum	Bulbous adder's-tongue	+	+	+	+	-	_	+			-		1.	1	$\neg$
crotalophoroides							<u> </u>	<del></del>			<b>+</b>	+	<u>.                                    </u>		
Ophioglossum	Stalked adder's-tongue	+	+	+	+	+	_	-			$\int$				$\neg$
petiolatum		· · ·						-					+		
Oplismenus hirtellus	Basketgrass					-		-							
Onintia humifusa	Driptle				+   +	+			+	+					
obanina natrilinoa	rickly pear	+	+	+	+	+									T
Opuntia stricta	Prickly pear				+	+		-							1
Orontium aquaticum	Goldenclub						+					+			
			T			-									

Table 3																	
GROUNDCO	GROUNDCOVER & VINES							LAN	PLANT COMMUNITY	NMU	ΥLIN						
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	OP	SB	MR	PF	오	H	SW	LK K	WH	WFM	CΥ	FM	WP		
Osmunda cinnamomea	Cinnamon fern							1	+		+	+	+				$\Box$
Osmunda regalis	Royal fern					1			+	1	+	+	+				$\mathbf{I}^{-}$
Oxalis corniculata	Common yellow		+	+	+	+	+		1.						+		$\top$
	woodsorrel									:					•		
Oxypolis filiformis	Water dropwort					+	+-	1		-				+	+		T
Palafoxia feayi	Feay's palafox		+	+	+	+	+	+		-							T
Palafoxia integrifolia	Coastalplain palafox		+	+	+	+	+	+	,	<del> </del>							T
Panicum anceps	Beaked panicum					+	<del>  -</del>				+	+	+	+	+		T
Panicum	Fall panicgrass					+	-	-		T			+	+			T
dichotomiflorum																	
Panicum hemitomon	Maidencane		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		T
Panicum hians	Gaping panic grass		+	+	+	+								+	+		Τ-
Panicum Iongifolium	Panic grass		+	+	+	+					+	+		+	+		Τ-
Panicum rigidulum	Redtop panicum		+	+	+	+	+		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	+	+	+	+	+		T
Panicum tenerum	Bluejoint panicum					+				<u> </u>	+	+	+	+	+		Г
Panicum verrucosum	Warty panic grass	·	+	+	+	+					+	+		+	+	1	<del></del>
Panicum virgatum	Switch grass		+	+	+									+	+		1
Parthenocissus quinquefolia	Virginia creeper		+	+	+	+	+		+		+	+	+				T
Paspalum caespitosum	Blue crowngrass		+	+	+	+			1	+					+	-	<del></del>
	A																-,

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Table 3																
GROUNDCO	GROUNDCOVER & VINES															
							PLA	PLANT COMMUNITY	MWC	NITY						T
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP	SB	MR   PF	HU	1	VIO	2	1,41		-				
Paspalum conjugatum	Sour paspalum				-+-		+	<u>}</u>	۲	E A	WFM	ζ	FM	WP		
Paspalum distichum	Knotgrass			-	-  +	-	_			+	+	+		+		
Paspalum floridanum	Florida paspalum			+	-   +	$\perp$	_					+	+	+		
Paspalum laeve	Field paspalum		+	+					1			+	+	+		
Paspalum praecox	Early paspalum		+	+	<del></del>	1				+	+		+	+		
Paspalum setaceum	Thin paspalum		-		-   4	-	-						+	+		
Passiflora incarnafa	Passion flower		+	+	-   -	-   -	<b>-</b> -			+	+			+		1
Pecluma ptilodon	Comb polypody			$\vdash$	-	<b>-</b>	+	1	$\top$							Ţ
Peltandra virginica	Green arrow arum					-		`			+	+				
Penstemon multiflorus	Manyflower		-	$\top$	- -	<u> </u> .				+	+	+	+			Γ
	beardtonque			+ 	+	+										Π-
Phlebodium aureum	Golden nolynody		+										<u>,</u>			
Phoebanthus	Dhoch-#				+	+	+			+	+	<u> </u>				1
grandiflorus	rioepaninus	+	+	+	+	+			-						1	<u> </u>
Phyla nodiflora	Fogfruit	+	+	+	+				+	$\neg$			$\dashv$		$\neg$	
Physalis arenicola	Ground cherry	+	+	+	+	+	+						+	+	+	
Physostegia purpurea	Purple dragonhead	1.	_		+			+	-	1		$\dashv$		+		
Piloblephis rigida	Pennyroyal	+	+	+	+	+				F		+	+	+	+	
Pinguicula lutea	Yellow butterwort		<u> </u>	+	+							-			$\dashv$	<del></del> -
Pinguicula pumila	Small butterwort	-	<u> </u>	-	+				+				$\top$		$\dagger$	
				-	-				$\dashv$	-						

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community
MANATEE COUNTY - PHOSPHATE MINING RECLAMATION MANUAL

Table 3																
GROUNDCON	GROUNDCOVER & VINES							LAN	PLANT COMMUNITY	MMU	<u></u> ₹				!	
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP	SB	MR	PF	H H	HN	SW	LK K	WH	WFM	ζ	FM	WP	
Piriqueta cistoides	Piriqueta		+	+	+	+	+								+	
Pityopsis graminifolia	Silver-leaved		+	+	+	+	+									
	goldenaster					<u> </u>										
Pleopellis polypodioides	Resurrection fern						+	+	+	:	+	+	+			
Pluchea foetida	Stinking camphorweed					<u> </u>					+	+	+	+	+	
Pluchea odorata	Saltmarsh										+	+	+	+	+	
	camphorweed								•			İ				
Pluchea rosea	Rosy camphor weed		+	+	+	+								+	+	
Polygala cruciata	Drumheads		+	+	+	+	+	`								
Polygala lutea	Orange milkwort		+	+	+	+									+	
Polygala rugellii	Yellow milkwort		+	+	+	+									+	
Polygala setacea	Coastalplain milkwort		+	+	+	+	+									
Polygala spp.	Milkworts		+	+	+	+	+							+	+	
Polygonella polygama	October flower					+	+	+			Ì					
Polygonella robusta	Sandhill wireweed					+	+	+								
Polygonum punctatum	Dotted smartweed	_							+		+	+	+	+	+	
Polygonum hydronineroides	Swamp smartweed	٠.				+			+		+	+	+	+	+	
Pontederia cordata	Pickerelweed					- "						+	+	+		
Potamogeton illinoensis	Illinois pondweed								+	+				+		
Proserpinaca palustris	Marsh mermaidweed											į	+	+	+	
												ļ	ĺ			į

<sup>+</sup> Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Table 3															
GROUNDCO	GROUNDCOVER & VINES						PLI	PLANT COMMUNITY	ОММ	UNITY					
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP	SB	MR	PF HC	HOLO	MS I	۲ ج	WH	WFM	ζ	F	WP	
Proserpinaca pectinata	Combleaf mermaidweed			-		-	-	$\downarrow$					+	4	
Pseudognaphalium	Rabbit tobacco		+	+	+	+	+		1				-	-	
obtusifolium					·			<del></del>		<del>.</del>				+	
Psilotum nudum	Whisk fern			$\top$			+			+	+	+			
Pteridium aquilinum	Bracken fern		+	+	+	+	+		_						
Plerocaulon	Blackroot		+	+	+	+	+	_							
pycnostachyum			···			····	·								
Pleroglossaspis ecristata	Giant orchid		+	+	+	+	+								
Rhexia cubensis	Meadowbeauty			+	+	-		\_		+	+		+	+	
Rhexia mariana	Pale meadowbeauty			-	<u> +</u> 				_					+	
Rhexia nashii	Meadowbeauty	<u> </u>		<del> -</del>	+									+	
Rhexia nuttallii	Meadowbeauty		-		+		1	_						+	
Rhexia petiolata	Meadowbeauty	1.			+									+	
Rhynchosia michauxii	Michaux's rhynchosia	-		+	+	+	+	ļ							
Rhynchospora caduca	Anglestem beaksedge			-	+	+	+			+	+			+	
Rhynchospora cephalantha	Bunched beaksedge		-	-			1						+	+	
Rhynchospora ciliaris	Fringed beaksedge		-	-	+	-		1					+	+	
Rhynchospora colorata	Starrush				+	<u>  .</u>							+	+	
Rhynchospora	Shortbristle horned		<u> </u>	-	<u> </u> 			+		+	+	+			
corniculata	beaksedge														
		-		1						]		1	1	1	7

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Table 3																
GROUNDCO	GROUNDCOVER & VINES	···					_	PLAN	PLANT COMMUNITY	MMU	Σİ					
Sclentific Name	Common Name	AG	DP	SB	MR	PF	HC	H	SW	LK	WH	WFM	CY	FM	WP	
Rhynchospora divergens	Spreading beaksedge					+					+	+	+	+	+	1
Rhynchospora	Fascicled beaksedge		+	+	+	+								+	+	
fascicularis								-								<del></del>
Rhynchospora fernaldii	Fernald's beaksedge		+	+	+	+				:				+	+	<del>                                     </del>
Rhynchospora filifolia	Threadleaf beaksedge					+		<del>                                     </del>		-	+	+	+	+	+	†
Rhynchospora globularis	Globe beakrush					+	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	+	+	+	+	+	-
Rhynchospora	Pinebarren beaksedge		+	+	+	+	+									
intermedia									i ,	· · ·					·	
Rhynchospora inundata	Narrowfruit horned beaksedge							-		-	+	+	+	+	+	
Rhynchospora latifolia	Giant whitetop					+		<u> </u>		T	+	+	+	+	+	
Rhynchospora	Sandyfield beaksedge		+	+	+	+	+			-					-	
megalocarpa					-											
Rhynchospora	Southern beaksedge					+				+		+	+	+	+	<del> </del>
microcarpa															<del></del>	
Rhynchospora	Bunched beaksedge					+					-			+	+	
microcephala					—· · · ·				<del></del>	<del></del>		-				
Rhynchospora miliacea	Millet beaksedge									+	-	+	+			+-
Rhynchospora nitens	Shortbeak beaksedge					+								+	+	
Rhynchospora plumosa	Plumed beaksedge					+		! 						+	+	
Rhynchospora spp.	Beaksedges		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Table 3																
GROUNDC	GROUNDCOVER & VINES						PLA	PLANT COMMUNITY	MMU	) L						
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP 6	SALMB	DO 0	-		Č								
Rhynchospora tracyi	Tracy's beaksedge	<del> -</del> -		<del>-</del>		5	5	AN No.	<u>۲</u>	¥ 	WFM	СУ	FM	WP		
Rubus argutus	Sawtooth blackberry			+	_ -								+	+		
Rubus cuneifolius	Sand blackberry		+	-   -	-   -					+	+	+	+	+		
Rubus trivialis	Dewberry	+			+  -	+  -	+								-	$L^-$
Ruellia caroliniensis	Carolina wild petunia	+		+	+ +	+   -	+  -									
Sabatia brevifolia	White sabatia	+		+	-   +	-	-			7						
Sabatia grandiflora	Large-flower marsh pink	+		+	. +	4			+	+				+		1
Saccharum giganteum	Sugarcane plumegrass			+	+	• ]		1		1			+	+		
Sacciolepis striata	Cupscale		+		-   4			\			+	+	+	+		
Sagittaria graminea	Grassy arrowhead	$\frac{1}{1}$	1	-	-   -					+	+	+	+	+		<del></del>
Sagittaria lancifolia	Bulltongue arrowhead		-	_	F					+	+	+	+	+		Г
Sagiltaria latifolia	Broadleaf arrowhood	+	-								-	+	+	-		T
Salvia lurata	cadical allowifeau											+	+	+	+	_
Saivid iyidid	Lyre-leaved sage	+	+	+	+	+	+					-	+		+	<del>-</del>
Samolus ebracteatus	Water pimpernel	<u>                                     </u>		-	+		$\dagger$		+	+		-   -	$\dashv$	-	$\dashv$	
Samolus valerandi	Pineland pimpernel	-	+		+		+		- -			-	-			
subsp. parvillorus			<del></del>			<del></del>			<del>-</del>	<u></u>				<del>,</del> .		
Saururus cernuus	Lizard's tail		-				$\top$	1.	+				$\dashv$			
Schizachyrium	Little bluestem	+	+	-	-	1.		+	+	+		+	+			
scoparium		• 		<u></u>	+ -	+				<del></del> -			+			
Schwalbea americana	Chaffseed	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+		+				<del></del>
		-		_			-	$\dashv$		•			+			

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Table 3																
GROUNDCO	GROUNDCOVER & VINES						_	PLANT COMMUNITY	1 CO	NIM	ΣĮ					
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	ОР	SB	MR	PF	HC HC	H	SW	LK	MH	WFM	ζ	FM	WP	T
Scirpus lineatus	Drooping bulrush								+		+	+	+			$\overline{}$
Scirpus pungens	Threesquare bulrush								+	+				+	+	T
Scirpus tabernaemontani	Softstem bulrush	-					$\top$		+	+				+		1
Scleria ciliata	Nutrush	-	+	+	+	+				:					+	<del></del>
Scleria georgiana	Nutrush		+	+	+	+									+	T
Scleria reticularis	Nutrush		+	+	+	+			-		+	+	+	+	+	ī
Scleria triglomerata	Nutrush		+			+					+	+	+	+	+	
Scleria verticillata	Low nutrush					+	İ				+	+	+	+	+	T
Scoparia dulcis	Sweetbroom		+	+	+	+									+	1
Scutellaria arenicola	Skullcap		+	+	+	+	+	+								Τ
Scutellaria integrifolia	Helmet skullcap		+	+	+	+	+	+								1
Sesbania herbacea	Danglepod		+	+	+	+	+	+			+	+	+	+	+	1
Sesbania vesicaria	Bladderpod					+					+	+	+	+	+	1
Setaria parviflora	Knotroot foxtail		+	+	+	+	+	+						·	+	<del></del>
Seymeria pectinata	Piedmont blacksenna		+	+	+	+	+	+								
Sida acuta	Common fanpetals		+	+	+	+	+	+			+	+			+	
Sida rhombifolia	Cuban jute		+	+	+	+	+	+			+	+			+	
Sisyrinchium	Blue-eyed grass		+	+	+	+								+	+	
Sisyrinchium	Scrub blue-eyed grass		+	+	+	+	+	+								
					1			1	·  -							1

<sup>+</sup> Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

GROUNDCC	GROUNDCOVER & VINES							LAN	PLANT COMMUNITY	MON	<u></u>				
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP	SB	MR	PF	UH.	1	7410	2	⊢	-	}	}	
xerophyllum						-	+		<del></del>  -	<u>&gt;</u> ا ا	M I	WFM	CY FM	M M M	
Smilax auriculata	Greenbrier	.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-   1	-	<del>- </del>	+	_	
Smilax bona+nox	Saw greenbriar					+		.		-   -	+  -	+  -	+		$\dashv$
Smilax glauca	Cat greenbrier					+				1.	<u> </u>	+	-		_
Smilax laurifolia	Laurel greenbriar			$\top$		$\top$	+		-	F   4	+  -	+  -			_
Smilax pumila	Sarsaparilla vine				+	+	+	+	+	-   -	-	+	+		
Smilax smallii	Lanceleaf greenbrier			$\dagger$		+		_	+	-	$\frac{1}{1}$	-	_		
Solidago canadensis	Canada goldenrod	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	1	- -		$\dashv$	-	_	
Solidago fistulosa	Goldenrod		+	+	+	+	$\dashv$		+			-			
Solidago odora	Chapman's goldenrod		+	+	+	+	+	_	-	$\frac{1}{1}$	_		_	+	_
Solidago stricta	Slender goldenrod		+	+	+	+	+	-		-	_	-	1		_
Sorghastrum secundum	Lopsided indiangrass		+	+	+	+	-	_ _	+	+			-	+	
Spartina bakeri	Sand cordgrass		+	+	+	$\vdash$	-	-	-	$\frac{1}{2}$	+	$\dashv$		+	$\perp$
Sphagnum spp.	Sphagnum moss		$\dagger$	1		-	$\perp$	+	+	1.			+	+	
Sporobolus junceus	Pineland dropseed		+	+	+	+	+	$\perp$	-	+	+		+	+ -	
Stillingia sylvatica	Queen's delight		+				+	+	+						
Stipulicida setacea	Pineland scalypink		+	+	+	+-	+		<u> </u>	+	1	-	-		
Symphyotrichum	Climbing aster		$\dagger$		+	-	+	+	+	-	4	-	_ .		
carolinianum				· ·			<del></del>	• 	·	-	+	<u>+</u>	+		
Symphyotrichum	Bush aster		+		<u> </u>	1	+	-		1	+	1	$\downarrow$		

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Table 3								-						.		
GROUNDCC	GROUNDCOVER & VINES						PL	PLANT COMMUNITY	ОММ	TIND						
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP	SB	MR	PF H	H H	+ SW	/ LK	MH	WFM	λ	EM	ďΜ		
dumosum					1											
Symphyotrichum	Annual saltmarsh aster	·	Ì		Ť	+		_					-			
subulatum			- · · <u>- · ·</u>										<b>-</b>	+		
Syngonanthus flavidulus	Bantam buttons					+	-		_					-		$\top$
Tephrosia hispidula	Spreading hoary-pea		+	+	+	+			:					-		
Thalia geniculata	Alligator flag			-		+		-	-			_				
Thelypteris dentata	Downy maiden fern		1			.	$\frac{\parallel}{+}$	<u> </u> -	1	_		<b>-</b>	-			
Thelypteris hispidula	Hairy maiden fern				_		<u> </u>	+ 1	-	+	+	+	+	+		
	ian's march left							+>		+	+	+				<u> </u>
i neiypieris inierrupia	Hottentot fern					<u> </u> 		+	_	+	+	+	+	$\dagger$		<del>-</del>
Thelypteris kunthii	Southern shield fern				1-		_	+		+	+	+				$\overline{}$
Thelypteris palustris	Marsh fern				+	+		+		+	+	+	+	+		
Thelypteris palustris	Marsh fern				-	_	-	+		+	+	+		+		<del></del>
Tillandsia bartramii	Bartram's airplant	.		+	-	+	+			+	+	+		-		
Tillandsia fasciculata	Cardinal airplant		+-		-	+	+			+	+	+		-		
Tillandsia recurvata	Ball moss			+	+	+	+			+	+	+				
Tillandsia setacea	Southern needleleaf				+	+	+			+	+	+			+	
Tillandsia usneoides	Spanish moss			+	+	+	+			+	+	+				
Tillandsia utriculata	Giant airplant				+	+	+			+	+	+	+		+	
Toxicodendron radicans	Eastern poison ivy			-	+	+	+			+	+	+		+-	+-	
Triadenum virginicum	Virginia marsh St. John's						-			+	+	+	+	+	+	
												1		_	1	7

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community
MANATEE COUNTY - PHOSPHATE MINING RECLAMATION MANUAL

Table 3																}
GROUNDCC	GROUNDCOVER & VINES						PI	ANT	COM	PLANT COMMUNITY	>					
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP	SB	MR	PF F	HC U	UH SW	N LK	YM Y	+ WFM	A CY	FM	Q/W	-	  -
	wort					+	-	-	+		<del></del>	-	-	<del></del>	$\downarrow$	$\dashv$
Trichostema	Blue curls		+	+	+	+	1	+	$\perp$			_	1			
dichotomum		,									<del>-</del>	<del></del>	-			
Triplasis purpurea	Purple sandgrass		+	+	+	+	+	+	.				_ _	$\perp$		+
Tripsacum dactyloides	Eastern gamagrass				<del> </del>	+		+	-	+	+	+	_	_		+
Typha domingensis	Southern cattail				+	<u> </u>	1	+	+	+	.   4	-   -	- -			-
Typha latifolia	Broadleaf cattail		†	1		+		+	•   +	.   4	-   4	-   -	<u>-</u>			$\dashv$
Ulricularia spp.	Bladderpod				+		-	•   +		.	-	-	+  -			$\dashv$
Utricularia subulata	Zigzag bladderwort				1	+	+	1	+	$\frac{1}{2}$		+	+	+	_	$\dashv$
Vallisneria americana	Eel grass			T	-		-	+	+			+	1	+		$\dashv$
Verbesina virginica	White crownbeard					+	+	-	-	+	+	.	•			_
Viola lanceolata	Lanceleaf violet		+			+	-		-			$\perp$		-		$\dashv$
Viola palmata	Southern coast violet		+		+	+	_		_	1				<u> </u>		$\dashv$
Viola sororia	Common blue violet				+	<del> </del>	-	-	-	+	+	+		+ 4		
Vilis aestivalis	Summer grape		-	-	+	+	+	-	-							_
Vilis rotundifolia	Muscadine grape			+	+	+	+			+	+					
Vitis shuttleworthii	Calloose grape			+	+	+	+	_								
Vittaria lineata	Shoestring fern			-	-	+	+	-		+	+					
Wolffiella gladiata	Florida mudmidget					+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+			T
Wolffiella oblonga	Saber mudmidget			1	-	-		+	+	+	+	+	+			
			-	1	1	-	-	-	-							$\Box$

+ Species occurrence within the denoted plant community

Table 3															
GROUNDCO	GROUNDCOVER & VINES						PLA	PLANT COMMUNITY	MMU	NITY					
Scientific Name	Common Name	AG	DP S	SB M	MR PF	HC	HI	SW	LK	MH	WFM	ζ	FM	WP	
Woodwardia areolata	Netted chain fern		-	-	·			+		+	+	+			
Xyris ambigua	Yellow-eyed grass				+									+	
Xyris brevifolia	Yellow-eyed grass		<u> </u> 	-	+									+	
Xyris caroliniana	Yellow-eyed grass			-	+				:				+	+	
Xyris elliottii	Yellow-eyed grass			_	+									+	
Xyris platylepis	Yellow-eyed grass			<u> </u>	+								+	+	
Xyris spp.	Yellow-eyed grass	+			+			,		+	+	+	+	+	
Yucca filamentosa	Yucca	+	+	+	+	+	+								
Zamia pumila	Coontie	+	+	+	+	+	+								
Zephyranthes atamasca	Treat's zephyrlily				+				-				+	+	
Zephyranthes simpsonii	Simpson's zephyrlily				+								+	+	مريا

Plant Community		Strata	
	Canopy	Shrub	Ground Cover & Vines
	Trees/acre avg.	Shrubs/acre avg.	% areal coverage
Dry Prairie	< 5% total cover	≥20 shrubs/ac	≥50 – 100% areal coverag
		(5-8 spp)	(40 spp)
Shrub & Brushland/	< 5% total cover	≥100 shrubs/ac	≥50% areal coverage
Mixed Rangeland		(5-8 spp)	(40 spp)
Pine Flatwoods	15 - 100 trees/ac	≥100 shrubs/ac	≥50% areal coverage
	(3-4 spp)	(5-8 spp)	(40 spp)
	10% closure		
Upland Hardwood	≥200 trees/ac \	\≥50 shrubs/ac	≥50% areal coverage
	(8-10 spp)	(5 spp)	(30 spp)
	30% closure		(
Hardwood/Conifer Mix	≥100 trees/ac	≥50 shrubs/ac	≥50% areal coverage
	(8-10 spp)	(5 spp)	(30 spp)
	30% closure		(
Stream & Waterways	NA	NA NA	NA NA
akes & Reservoirs	NA	NA	NA NA
Netland Hardwood	≥200 trees/ac	≥50 shrubs/ac	≥75% areal coverage
	(8-10 spp)	(5 spp)	(30 spp)
	30% closure		
Vetland Forest Mixed	≥200 trees/ac	≥50 shrubs/ac	≥35% areal coverage
	(10 spp)	(5 spp)	(30 spp)
	30% closure		
ypress Swamps	≥200 trees/ac	≥50 shrubs/ac	≥35% areal coverage
	(3-4 spp)	(4 spp)	(20 spp)
	30% closure		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
reshwater Marsh	< 5% total cover	< 5% total cover	≥75% areal coverage
			(20 spp)
et Prairie	< 5% total cover	< 5% total cover	>75% areal coverage
			(40 spp)

Table. Native Vertebrates Known or Suspected to Occur in Manatee Co. on Existing or Future Phosphate-Mined Lands.

計画器 から 計画 (A) (A)	The state of the s					
Class	Class / W                 Order	Family	Scientific Name	Common Name	Fulsied Status	Prev Base
AMPHIBIA	Anura	Bufonidae		Oak toad		
AMPHIBIA	Anura	Bufonidae	Bufo terrestris	Southern toad		-
AMPHIBIA	Anura	Hylidae	Acris gryllus dorsalis	Florida cricket frog		_
AMPHIBIA	Anura	Hylidae	Hyla cinerea	Green treefrog		-
AMPHIBIA	Anura	Hylidae	Hyla femoralis	Pine woods treefrog		-
AMPHIBIA	Anura	Hylidae	Hyla gratiosa	Barking treefrog		_
AMPHIBIA	Anura	Hylidae	Hyla squirella	Squirrel treefrog		_
AMPHIBIA	Anura	Hylidae	Limnaoedus ocularis	Little grass frog		
	Anura	Hylidae	rrucosa	Florida chorus frog		_
	Anura	Microhylidae	1	Eastern narrowmouth toad		
	Anura	Pelobatidae	Г	Eastern spadefoot toad		_
AMPHIBIA	Anura	Ranidae	Rana capito aesopus	Florida gopher frog	FL SSC	4
	Anura	Ranidae	Rana catesbelana	Bullfrog		_
AMPHIBIA	Anura	Ranidae		Pig frog		
AMPHIBIA	Anura	Ranidae	laria	Southern leopard frog		
AMPHIBIA	Caudata	Amphiumidae	Amphiuma means	Two-toed aniphiuma		H.
AMPHIBIA	Caudata	Plethodontidae .	Eurycea quadridigitata	Dwarf salamander		
AMPHIBIA	Caudata	9	Notophthalmus viridescens	Red-spotted newt		-
AMPHIBIA	Caudata	Sirenidae		Dwarf siren		_
AMPHIBIA	Caudata	Sirenidae	Siren intermedia intermedia	Eastern lesser siren		-
IBIA	Caudata	Sirenidae	Siren lacertina	Greater siren		_
AVES	Anseriformes	Anatidae /	Aix sponsa	Wood duck		Ь
	Anseriformes	Analidae		Northern pintail		۵
AVES	Anseriformes	Analidae	Anas americana	American wigeon		d.
AVES	Anseriformes	Anatidae	Anas bahamensis	White-cheeked Pintall		۵
AVES	Anseriformes	Anatidae	Anas clypeata	Northern shoveler		<b>a</b>
AVES	Anseriformes	Analidae		Green-winged teal		d.
AVES	Anseriformes	Anatidae	Anas cyanoptera	Clnnamon teal		۵
AVES	Anseriformes	Anatidae	Anas discors	Blue-winged teaf		٩
AVES	Anseriformes	Anatidae	Anas fulviguta	Mottled duck		d.
AVES	Anseriformes	Anatidae	Anas penelope	Euraslan wigeon		d
AVES	Anseriformes	Anatidae	Anas platyrhynchos	Mallard		Ь
AVES	Anseriformes	Anatidae	Anas rubripes	American black duck		Ь
	Anseriformes	Anatidae	Anas strepera	Gadwall		Ь
AVES	Anseriformes	Anatidae	Anser albifrons	Greater White-fronted Goose	·	Ь
AVES	Anseriformes	Anatidae	Aythya affinis	Lesser scaup		Ь

Table 5. Native Vertebrates Known or Suspected to Occur in Manatee County on Existing or Future Phosphate-Mined Lands.

			THE WAY DESCRIPTION	2 0	2 (		2 (	2 (	ماد	2 6	7 0	L G				L		- -	-   -	-   u	- 14	-   a	_   a			P. Nectar	P. Nectar	P Nactar		-	_							
ands.	161	T. Islediala																																FLT, Fed T				
Occur in Managee County on Existing or Future Phosphate-Mined Lands.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Common Name 41	Redhead	Ring-necked duck	Greater scaup	Canvasback	Brant	Canada goose	Bufflehead	Common goldeneye	Snow goose	Ross's Goose	Oldsquaw	Tundra Swan	Fulvous whistling duck	Hooded merganser	White-winged Scoter	Black Scoter /	Surf Scoter	Common Merganser	Red-breasted merganser	Masked Duck	Ruddy duck	Chlmney swift	Vaux's Swift	Black-chinned Hummingbird	Ruby-throated hummingbird	Rufous Hummingbird	Chuck-will's-widow	Whip-poor-will	Lesser Nighthawk	Common nighthawk	Snowy Plover	Piping plover	Mountain Plover	Semipalmated plover	Killdeer	Wilson's plover
ccul iii ivianalee County on	7	BLUB	Ayinya americana	Ayınya collaris	Aytliya marila	Aythya valisineria	Branta bernicia	Branta canadensis	Bucephala albeola	Bucephala clangula	Chen caerulescens	Chen rossii	Clangula hyemalis	Cygnus columbianus	Dendrocygna bicolor	Lophodytes cuculiatus	Melanilla fusca	ivieraniita nigra	Melanilta perspicillata	Mergus merganser	Mergus serrator	Nomonyx dominicus	Oxyura jamaicensis	Chaetura pelagica	Cilabiura Vauxi	Archilochus alexandri	Alchilochus colubris	Selasphorus rufus	Caprimulgus carolinensis	Charlette vocalerus	Charles acuipennis	Criotuelles minor	Charadrius alexandrinus	Charadrius melodus	Charadrius montanus	Charadrius semipalmatus	Charadrius vociferus	Charadrius wilsonia
	Family Family	Analic	Analidae	Applied	Analida	Application	Apolidos	Applied	Arialidae	Analidae	Anatidae	Anatidae	Analidae	Anatidae	Applian	Applian	Anatidae	Apatidoo	Analidae	Applided	Applied	Applian	Apodidos	Apodidae	Trochilidae	Trochildae	Trochilidae	Caprimulaidas	Caprimuloidae	Caprimuloidae	Caprimuloidae	Obstadelidas	Characticae	Charatillae	Charadriidae	Charadriidae	Charadriidae	Charadriidae
3.00	(	Anseriformes	Anseriformes	Anseriformes	Anseriformes	Anseriformes	Anseriformes	Anseriformes	Anseriformes	Anseriformes	Anseriformes	Anseriformes	Anseriformes	Anseriformes	Anseriformes	Ansertformes	Ansertformes	Anseriformes	Anseriformes	Anseriformes	Anseriformes	Anseriformes	Apodiformes	Apodiformes	Apodiformes	Apodiformes	Apodiformes	Caprimulaiformes	Caprimulgiformes	Caprimulgiformes	Caprimulgiformes	Charadriiformes	Charadrifformes	Charadriformos	Charadriformes	Charadriiformes	Charadriformes	indiacimonina)
	C.F. CIRSS HOLL	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES		AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES /		AVES /		AVES			AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES		AVES	AVES	AVES						

' A = amphibians, B = birds, F = fish, I = invertebrates, M = mammals, P = plants

on Existing or Future Phosphate-Mined Lands. lative Vertebrales Known or Suspected to Occur in Manatee Cou Table,

Class	All Colonia	Family	Scientific Name	Common Name (E)	Cisted Status (C. P.	DE Prèy Bâse PE
AVES	Charadriiformes	Charadriidae		erican golden plover		-
AVES	Charadriiformes	Charadriidae	a	Black-bellied plover		_
AVES	Charadriiformes	Charadriidae		Northern Lapwing		_
AVES	Charadriiformes	Haematopodidae	Haematopus palliatus	American oystercatcher	FL SSC	
AVES	Charadriiformes	Laridae		Black tern		F
AVES	Charadriiformes	Laridae		Herring gull		F.I
AVES	Charadriiformes	Laridae		Laughing gull		H.
AVES	Charadriiformes	Laridae	Larus delawarensis	Ring-billed gull		F. I
AVES	Charadriiformes	Laridae		Lesser black-backed gull		F
AVES	Charadrilformes	Laridae	Larus marinus	Great Black-backed Gull		F
AVES	Charadriiformes	Laridae	Larus minutus	Little Gull		F. I
AVES	Charadriiformes	Laridae	Larus philadelphia	Bonaparte's gull		F. I
AVES	Charadriiformes	Laridae	Larus pipixcan	Franklin's Gull		F,1
AVES	Charadriiformes	Laridae	Larus ridibundus	Black-headed Gull		F, I
	Charadriiformes	Laridae	Rynchops niger	Black skimmer	FL SSC	L
	Charadriiformes	Laridae	Sterna antillarum	Least tern	FLT	4
	Charadriiformes	Laridae	Sterna caspia	Caspian tern		<u></u>
	Charadriiformes	Laridae		Forster's tern		Ŀ
AVES	Charadrilformes	Laridae	Sterna hirundo	Common tern		Ŀ
AVES	Charadriiformes	Laridae	Sterna maxima	Royal tern		U.
AVES	Charadriiformes	Laridae	Sterna nilotica	Gull-billed Tern		Ŀ.
AVES	Charadriiformes	Laridae	Sterna sandvicensis	Sandwich tern		tı_
AVES	Charadrilformes	Recurvirostridae	Himantopus mexicanus	Black-necked stilt		_
AVES	Charadriiformes	Recurvirostridae	Recurvirostra americana	American avocel		_
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae	Actitis macularia	Spotted sandpiper		
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae	Arenaria interpres	Ruddy Turnstone		_
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae	Bartramia longicauda	Upland sandpiper		-
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae	Calidris acuminata	Sharp-tailed Sandpiper		_
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae	Calidris alba	Sanderling		
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae	Calidris alpina	Dunlin .		_
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae	Calidris bairdii	Baird's sandpiper		_
AVES	Charadrilformes	Scolopacidae	Calidris canulus	Red Knot		-
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae	Calidris ferruginea	Curlew Sandpiper		_
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae	Calidris fuscicollis	White-rumped sandpiper		_
AVES	Charadriiformes		SINS	Stilt sandpiper		-
	Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae	Calidris maritima	Purple Sandpiper		-

Table 5. Native Vertebrates Known or Suspected to Occur in Manatee County on Existing or Future Phosphate-Mined Lands.

	I WOUNT CONTROL OF	or Specied to Occ	ur in Manalee County on Fx	isting or Enture Discellete Mina	•	
	4			The state of the s	ands.	ESTALA CONTRACTOR
AVES	Charadrillormes	R. E. CRamily St.	Fill 1. Scientific Name (F. 12)	Common Name	STATE OF STATES	
AVES	Charadriformos	Scolopacidae	Calidris mauri	Western sandpiper	Sanita Annual Property of the Party of the P	THEY PASE
AVES	Charactering	Scolopacidae	Calidris melanotos	Pectoral Sandoiner		-
AVES	Charadhillormes	Scolopacidae	Calidris minutilla	Least sandniner		-
AVES	Charadrillormes	Scolopacidae	Calidris pusilla	Seminated sandoing		
AVES	Charadrilformes	Scolopacidae	semipalmatus	Wilet		_
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae	Gallinago gallinago	Common soice		-
AVES	Charadrilformes	Scolopacidae	Si	Short hilled demitable		
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae	31103	Chock billion of the control of the		-
AVES	Charadrilformes	Scolopacidae	T	Loug-billed dowilcher		_
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae	oction .	Marbled Godwit		_
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolonacidae	ģ	Hudsonian Godwit		-
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolongidae	Ca	Bar-tailed Godwit		
AVES	Charadriformes	Colonacidae		Black-talled Godwit		
AVES	Charadrliformes	Scolopacidae	SI	Long-billed Curlew		- -
AVES	Oral autilionings	Scolopacidae	S	Whimbrel		- -
AVER	Charadrillormes	Scolopacidae	Phalaropus fulicaria	Red Phalarona &		- -
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae		Rad-nackad Displaces		L.
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae		Wilson's physician		ц
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae	*	Tiledil a printer pa		ш.
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolopacidae		Vali		_
AVES	Charadriiformes	Scolonacidae		American woodcock		_
AVES	Charadeliformes	ocolopacidae		Lesser yellowlegs		
AVES	Original III III III	Scolopacidae	enca	Greater yellowlegs		
A // C	Charadrillormes	Scolopacidae	Tringa solitaria	Solitary sandoiner		_ .
AVES	Charadriiformes		ficollis	Buff-broached candelogs		_
AVES	Clconiiformes			an cleasion saliupipel		
AVES	Ciconliformes		çi	Gleat egret		F, A, R, I
AVES	Ciconiiformes			Great blue heron		F, A, R, I
AVES	Ciconiiformes		SI	American bittern		F, A, I
AVES	Ciconiiformes		sus	Green heron		F, A, I
AVES	Ciconiformos		Ilea	Lille blue heron	FL SSC	L.
AVEC	Ciconiiioniiic			Snowy egret	FL SSC	u
27,75	Ciconiitormes		Egretta tricolor	Tricolored heron	FI SC	
AVES	Ciconiiformes	Ardeidae	is	east hiltern	200	-
AVES	Ciconliformes	Ardeidae	693	follow ground aight house		<u>_</u>
AVES	Ciconiiformes			ו פונטא-בוטאוופת ווולונוו-נופנטט		H, I
AVES				black-crowned night-heron		ш.
				Turkay vulture		_
		e		Black vulture		Carlon
	Cicolillolilles	Ciconiidae	Mycteria americana M	Wood stork	FL E, Fed E	Carion

<sup>1</sup> A = amphibians, B = birds, F = fish, I = invertebrates, M = mammats, P = plants

Cas	THE WOODS A	Family	Scienting Names (E)	WAY TOO SHIP IN A WAY TO THE REAL	History Collections	Prev Basang
	Clconiiformes	Thresklornithidae	√jaia ajaja	Roseate spoonbill	SS 14	LL.
AVES	Ciconiiformes	Threskiornithidae	Eudocimus albus	White ibis	FL SSC	1, 5
AVES	Clconiiformes	Threskiornithidae	Eudocimus ruber	Scarlet Ibis		1, F
AVES	Ciconiiformes	Threskiornithidae	Plegadis chihi	White-faced lbls		H.,
AVES	Ciconiiformes	Threskiornithidae	Plegadis falcinellus	Glossy ibis		L.
AVES	Columbiformes	Columbidae	Columba leucocephala	White-crowned Pigeon		Fruit/P
AVES	Columbiformes	Columbidae	Columbia passerina	Common ground dove		P/Seed
AVES	Columbiformes	Columbidae	Zenaida macroura	Mourning dove		P/Seed
AVES	Coracliformes	Alcedinidae	Ceryle alcyon	Belled kingfisher		L.
	Cuculiformes	Cuculidae .	aricanus	Yellow-billed cuckoo		_
	Cuculiformes	Cuculidae	snu	Black-billed cuckoo		_
	Cuculiformes	Cuculidae		Smooth-billed Ani		_
	Cuculiformes	Cuculidae	Crotophaga sutcirostris	Groove-billed Ani		
	Falconiformes	Accipitridae	Accipiter cooperii	Cooper's Hawk		8
	Falconiformes	Accipitridae	Accipiter gentilis	Northern Goshawk		Z
	Falconiformes	Accipitridae	Accipiter striatus	Sharp-shinneg hawk		В
	Falconiformes	Accipitridae	Aquila chrysaetos	Golden Eaglé		M
	Falconiformes	Accipitridae		Short-tailed hawk		В
	Falconiformes	Accipitridae	Buteo jamaicensis	Red-tailed hawk		M, B
AVES	Falconiformes	Accipitridae	Buteo lagopus	Rough-legged Hawk		W
AVES	Falconiformes	Accipitridae	Buteo lineatus	Red-shouldered hawk		I, A
	Falconiformes	Accipitridae	Buteo platypterus	Broad-winged hawk		æ
AVES	Falconiformes	Accipitridae	Buteo regalis	Ferruginous Hawk		M
AVES	Falconiformes	Accipitridae	Buteo swainsoni	Swainson's hawk		M
AVES	Falconiformes	Accipitridae	Circus cyaneus	Northern harrier		M
AVES	Falconiformes	Accipitridae	Elanoides forficatus	Swallow-tailed kite		œ
AVES	Falconiformes	Accipitridae	Elanus leucarus	White-tailed kite		œ
AVES	Falconiformes	Accipitridae	Haliaeetus teucocephalus	Bald eagle	FL T, Fed T	F, B, Carion
AVES	Falconiformes	Accipitridae	Ictinia mississipplensis	Mississippi kite		
AVES	Falconiformes	Accipitridae	Pandion haliaetus	Osprey	FL SSC	u.
AVES	Falconiformes	Accipitridae	Rostrhamus sociabilis	Snail kite	FL E, Fed E	1
AVES	Falconiformes	Falconidae	Caracara plancus auduboni	Crested caracara	Fed T, FL T	Carlon
AVES	Falconiformes	Falconidae	Falco columbarius	Merlin		В, М
AVES	Falconiformes	Falconidae		Peregrine falcon	peregrinus	В, М
AVES	Falconiformes	Falconidae	tundrius ·	Arctic peregrine falcon	FLE	В, М
AVES	Falconiformes	Falconidae	Falco sparverius	Annerican kestrel		M, S

<b>"小","你们是一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个</b>	· 新新年 100 ·	ASSESSED COURTY OF EACH	The state of the	nds.	
Single Colder	:::;≡	Scientific Name (1984)	U.S. T. WOOMHONINGHERS TO SEE		
Falconiformes	Falconidae	Falco sparverius paulus		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	HEFT (EVAPOSO SY
Falconiformes	Falconidae	Fatco tinnunculus	Eurasian Kestrel		Z .
Galliformes	Odontophoridae .	Colinus virginianus	Northern bobwhite		X
Galliformes	Phasianidae	Meleagris gallopavo	Wild turkey		r/seeu
Gavilformes	Gaviidae	Gavla immer	Common Loon		7
Gavilformes	Gaviidae	Gavia stellata	Red-throated foon		٠. ا
Gruiformes	Aramidae	Aramus quarauna	Impkin		4
Gruiformes	Gruldae	Grus americana	Whoolpa Crass	rt ssc	
Gruiformes		Grus canadensis	Sandrill grand		
Gruiformes		Grus canadensis pratensis	Florida candhill crans	1	
Gruiformes		Colurnicons novehoracensis	Vellow roll	FL 1	
Grulformes	Rallidae	Fulica americana	American Control		_
Gruiformes		,	Aniencan cool		Ф.
Gruiformes		910	Common moornen		٩
Gruiformes			DidCK Nail		-
Gruiformes			Purple gallinule		-
Gruiformes			Sora		-
Gruiformes		Reflue limitals	Ning rail		-
Gruiformes		trie	Virginia rail		-
Passeriformes	illidae		Ciappel Nail		_
Passerlformes			Cedal Waxwilly		٩.
Passeriformes			Notified Cardinal		P, I
Passeriformes			biue grosbeak		<u>q</u>
Passeriformes		ella	Lazuli Bunting		P,I
assemblines if			Painted bunling		P,q
Passeriformes			Indigo bunting		- d
Passeriformes	Cardinalidae	Pheucticus Iudovicianus	Rose-breasted grosbeak		- d
Passeriformes	Cardinalidae	Pheucticus melanocephalus [	Black-headed Grosbeak		a
Passeriformes	Cardinalidae	Spiza americana	Dickcissel		- 0
Passeriformes	Certhidae	Certhia americana	Вгомп сгеерег		
Passeriformes	Corvidae /	Aphelocoma coerulescens	Florida scrub-jay	FL T. Fed T	d
Passeriformes	Corvidae	Т	Anterican crow		Eventhing
Passeriformes	Corvidae		Fish crow		Everything
Passeriformes	Corvidae		Blue lay		G
Passeriformes	Entberizidae	Aimophila aestivalis	Bachman's sparrow		d
Passeriformes	Emberizidae	owii	Henslow's sparrow		- 0
Passeriformes	Emberizidae		LeConte's sparrow		- d

¹ A = amphiblans, B = birds, F = fish, I = invertebrates, M = mammals, P = plants

Table,

¹ A = amphibians, B = birds, F = fish, I = invertebrates, M = mammals, P = plants

Table 5. Native Vertebrates Known or Suspected to Occur in Manatee County on Existing or Future Phosphate-Mined Lands.

		משליטיים	ul III Ivialiatee Courily on Ex	to Occur in Mariatee County on Existing of Future Phosphate-Mined Lands	
				THE PARTY OF THE P	SAN LIVERINGE LIVING
AVES	Description of the property of	A Wind of Smill Winds	The Iscientific Name of	In the late of the month of the same of the late of th	
20,00	Fassenformes	Icteridae	Molothrus aeneus	Bronzed cowbird	
AVES	Passeriformes	Icteridae	Molothrus ater	Brown-headed cowhird	
AVES	Passeriformes	Icteridae	Quiscalus major	Boat-tailed grackte	
AVES	Passeriformes	Icteridae	Quiscalus ouiscula	Common orackle	
AVES	Passeriformes	Icteridae	Sturnella magna	Fostern moodoularb	-
AVES	Passeriformes	Icteridae	xanthocenhalus	Vollow honded blookkid	-
AVES	Passeriformes	Laniidae	Lanius Indovicianus	I enow rigation blackuitu	
AVES	Passeriformes	Mimidae	Dumetella carolinensis	Con political	, R
AVES	Passeriformes	Mirnidae		Nothern markinghid	_
AVES	Passeriformes	Mimidae		Promother Alberta	
AVES	Passeriformes	Motacillidae		DOWN UITASIIEI	-
AVES	Passeriformes	Paridae		American pipit	d.'
AVES	Passeriformes	Paridae		i ulied tilmouse	-
	Passeriformes	Parulidae		Carolina chickadee	
	Paccariformoc	Domina of	seus	Black-Ihroaled blue warbler	_
	Descriformes	rarulidae	ga	Bay-breasted warbler	_
	r dasaminiminas	Faruildae		Cerulean warblér	-
	Passeritormes	Parulidae	Dendroica chrysoparia	Golden-cheeked Warbler	-   -
	Passeriformes	Parulidae	e e	Yellow-rumped warbler	
	Passerlformes	Parulidae	Dendroica discolor	Pralrie warbler	
	Passeriformes	Parulidae	Dendroica dominica	Yellow-throated warbler	
	Passerlformes	Parulidae	·	Blackburnian warbler	
	Passeriformes	Parulidae	ilþi	Kirland's Warbler	-   -
	Passeriformes	Parulidae		Magnolia warhler	- -
AVES	Passeriformes	Parulidae	S	Black-throated gray warbler	-   -
	Passeriformes	Parulidae		Palm warbler	-   -
	Passeriformes	Parulidae	ica	Chestout-sided warbler	-   -
	Passeriformes	Parulidae		Yellow warbler	
AVES	Passeriformes	Parulidae		Pine warhler	
AVES	Passeriformes	Parulidae		Blacknoll warblar	
AVES	Passeriformes			Cane May warhler	
AVES	Passeriformes		endi	Townsend's Warbler	-   -
AVES	Passeriformes	Parulidae		Black-throated oreen warbler	
	Passeriformes	Parulidae	8	Common vellowthroat	
	Passeriformes	Parulidae	vorus	Worm-eating warbler	
	Passeriformes	Parulidae		Yellow-breasted chal	
AVES	Passeriformes	Parulidae	swainsonii	Swainson's warbler	- -

<sup>1</sup> A = amphibians, B = birds, F = fish, I = invertebrates, M = mammals, P = plants

Table 5. Native Verlebrates Known or Suspected to Occur in Manatee County on Existing or Future Phosphate-Mined Lands.

Spi		Listed Status Proprey Basen Co.			-										_		_																					
Suspected to Occur in Manatee County on Existing or Future Phosphate-Mined Lands	12. [15] 1. [	Commonivament of the state of t	Black-and-while warbler	Kentucky warbler	Connecticut warbler	Mourning warbler	MacGillivray's Warbter	Northern parula	Prothonotary warbler	Ovenbird	Lousiana waterthrush	Northern waterthrush	American redstart	Orange-crowned warbler	Golden-winged warbler	l ennessee warbler	Blue-winged warbter	Nashville warbler	Canada warbler	Hooded warbler	Wilson's warbler	Ruby-crowned kinglet	Golden-crowned Kinglet	Red-breasted Nuthalch	White-breasted Nuthatch	Brown-headed nulhatch	Blue-oray onatcatcher	Western Tanager	Scarlet tanager	Summer languar	Marsh wren	Sedoe wron	Bouict's Mes	Demons Wiell	House week	Winds wilding	Veen	vociy
ır in Manatee County on Exis	Scientification	Z 7 Z	0130	- in		əiphia				lus		ensis	a ■		ra	rina			ensis			ıla	Regulus satrapa			Sitta pusilla	erulea	9			alustris			pulic	T	lyfoe	T	
	A Family - T		Parulidae	Parulidae	Parulidae	Parulidae			Daniidae														ae		Sittidae	Sittidae	Sylviidae	Thraupidae P	Thraupidae	Thraupidae	Troglodytidae C							
ס י כו וכבו מוכם ועווטאיוו טו	LEAN DEGREE	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passariformas	Passariformes	Passariformes	Dogozifozne	rasseriiorines Doggetie	Fasserllormes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passeriformes	Passariformes
17.47	Classille		AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES																	AVES	AVES	AVES	AVES

¹ A = amphibians, B = birds, F = fish, I = invertebrates, M = mammals, P = plants

Table. Native Vertebrates Known or Suspected to Occur in Manatee Co., on Existing or Future Phosphale-Mined Lands.

a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a			Family	Scientific Name IV	The state of the s	Lisied/Silaus	Elbrav Basalist
Swainson's thrush Wood thrush Wood thrush Eastern bluebild American robin Olive-sided Flycatcher Eastern wood-pewee Alder Flycatcher Teals flycatcher Acadian flycatcher Acadian flycatcher Acadian flycatcher Ash-throated Flycatcher Great crested flycatcher Ash-throated Flycatcher Ash-throated Flycatcher Care acrested flycatcher Ash-throated Flycatcher Care acrested flycatcher Ash-throated Flycatcher Care acrested flycatcher Eastern phoepe Say's Phoebe Care flood flycatcher Eastern kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Western kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Belfs Vireo Waruling vireo White-eyed vireo Philadelphia vireo Blue-headed vireo Anhinga Magnificent frigatebird chos American white pelican Say American white pelican			Cathar		Gray-cheeked thrush		-
Wood thrush Eastern bluebild American robin Olive-sided Flycatcher Eastern wood-pewee Alder Flycatcher Yellow-bellied flycatcher Yellow-bellied flycatcher Visiow Flycatcher Acadian flycatcher Ash-throated Flycatcher Great crested flycatcher Ash-throated Flycatcher Carastern phoepe Say's Phoebe Cay Kingbird Eastern phoepe Say's Phoebe Cay Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Western kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Belfs Vireo Verllow-throated vireo Vellow-throated vireo Warbuling vireo Warbuling vireo Marbuling vireo Philadelphia vireo Blue-headed vireo Anhinga Magnificent frigatebird chos American white pelican Sisson-pelican American white pelican Sisson-pelican American white pelican Sisson-pelican American white pelican Sisson-pelican Sisson-pelican American white pelican	Passeriformes Turdidae Cathar		Cathar		Swainson's thrush		-
rastern bluebild  Manerican robin Olive-sided Flycatcher Eastern wood-pewee Alder Flycatcher Alder Flycatcher I cast flycatcher Acadian flycatcher Acadian flycatcher Ash-lincated Flycatcher Ash-lincated Flycatcher Great crested flycatcher Eastern phoope Say's Phoeba Gray Kingbird Scissor-tailed Flycatcher Eastern kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Bell's Vireo Yellow-throated vireo Yellow-throated vireo Warbling vireo White-eyed vireo Philadelphia vireo Blue-headed vireo Anhinga Magnificent frigatebird Cchos American white pelican Fr. SSC	Turdidae		Hylocic		Wood thrush		- -
Olive-sided Flycatcher Eastern wood-pewee Alder Flycatcher Yellow-bellled llycatcher Least llycatcher Acadian llycatcher Acadian lycatcher Acadian lycatcher Ash-livoated Flycatcher Great crested llycatcher Cerat crested llycatcher Sissor-tailed llycatcher Scissor-tailed llycatcher Eastern phoepe Say's Phoebe Say's P	Passeriformes Turdidae Turdus mio		Turdus		American robin		-
Eastern wood-pewee Alder Flycatcher Yellow-bellied flycatcher Least flycatcher Acadian flycatcher Acadian flycatcher Ash-Ihroafed Flycatcher Great crested flycatcher Great crested flycatcher Eastern phoebe Say's Phoebe Gray Kingbird Cray Kingbird Fork-tailed Flycatcher Eastern kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Western kingbird Veallow-throated vireo Yellow-throated vireo Warbling vireo Warbling vireo Warbling vireo Warbling vireo Warbling vireo Philadelphia vireo Blue-headed vireo Anhinga Magnificent frigatebird Cchos American white pelican Selow-pressor	Tyrannidae		Contop		Olive-sided Flycatcher		
Alder Flycatcher         Yellow-bellied flycatcher         Least flycatcher         Acadian flycatcher         Acadian flycatcher         Ash-Ihroated Flycatcher         Great crested flycatcher         Great crested flycatcher         Eastern phoepe         Say's Phoebe         Gray Kingbird         Scissor-tailed flycatcher         Eastern Kingbird         Cassin's Kingbird         Western kingbird         Vallow-throated vireo         Yellow-throated vireo         Warbling vireo         Warbling vireo         Warbling vireo         Blue-headed vireo         Anhinga         Anhinga         Magnificent frigatebird         chos         American white pelican         FL SSC	Tyrannidae		Contor		Eastern wood-pewee		-
Yellow-bellied flycatcher         Least flycatcher         Acadian flycatcher         Ash-throated Flycatcher         Great crested flycatcher         Great crested flycatcher         Eastern phoepe         Say's Phoeba         Gray Kingbird         Scissor-tailed flycatcher         Fork-tailed Flycatcher         Fork-tailed Flycatcher         Fork-tailed Flycatcher         Eastern kingbird         Western kingbird         Vestern kingbird         Western kingbird         Vellow-throated vireo         Yellow-throated vireo         Vellow-throated vireo         Warbling vireo         Red-eyed vireo         Red-eyed vireo         Philadelphia vireo         Blue-headed vireo         Rad-eyed vireo         Philadelphia vireo         Blue-headed vireo         Anhinga         Magnificent frigatebird         Chos         Anhinga         Brown pelican         Ft. SSC	Tyrannidae		Empid	Empidonax alnorum	Alder Flycatcher		-
Least flycatcher Willow Flycatcher Acadian flycatcher Ash-throated Flycatcher Great crested flycatcher Great crested flycatcher Great crested flycatcher Eastern phoepe Say's Phoeba Gray Kingblird Scissor-tailed flycatcher Fork-tailed Flycatcher Eastern kingblird Cassin's Kingblird Western kingblird Western kingblird Cassin's Kingblird Western kingblird Western kingblird Cassin's Kingblird Warbulling vireo Warbling vireo Warbling vireo Warbling vireo White-eyed vireo Philadelphia vireo Blue-headed vireo Philadelphia vireo Blue-headed vireo Bue-headed vireo Buen white pelican FLSSC	Passeriformes Tyrannidae Empid		Empid	Empidonax flaviventris	Yellow-bellied flycatcher		-
Willow Flycatcher Acadian flycatcher Ash-Ihroated Flycatcher Great crested flycatcher Great crested flycatcher Vermilion Flycatcher Eastern phoepe Say's Phoebe Gray Kingbird Scissor-tailed flycatcher Eastern kingbird Vestern kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Western kingbird Beil's Vireo Yellow-green Vireo Warbling vireo Warbling vireo Philadelphia vireo Philadelphia vireo Blue-headed vireo Philadelphia vireo Blue-headed vireo Anhinga Magnificent frigatebird Cchos American white pelican FL SSC	Passeriformes Tyrannidae Empldo		Empldo	Empldonax minimus	east flycatcher		-
Acadian flycatcher Ash-Ihroated Flycatcher Great crested flycatcher Vermillon Flycatcher Eastern phoepe Say's Phoeber Gray Kingbird Scissor-tailed flycatcher Eastern kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Wastern kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Wastern kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Wastern kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Wastern kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cas	Passeriformes Tyrannidae Empido		Empido	Empidonax traillii	Willow Flycatcher		-
Ash-Ihroated Flycatcher Great crested flycatcher Vermilion Flycatcher Eastern phoepe Say's Phoebe Gray Kingbird Gray Kingbird Fork-tailed Flycatcher Eastern kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Vestern kingbird Vestern kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Wastern kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Wastern kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Wastern kingbird Rell's Vireo Yellow-green Vireo Yellow-green Vireo Marbiling vireo Philadelphia vireo Blue-headed vireo Blue-headed vireo Anhinga Anhinga Magnificent frigatebird chos Brown pelican FE SSC	Passeriformes Tyrannidae Empidon		Empidon		Acadian flycatcher		-
Great crested flycatcher Vermilion Flycatcher Eastern phoebe Say's Phoebe  Say's Phoebe  Say's Phoebe  Scissor-tailed flycatcher Eastern Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Western Kingbird Western Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Western Kingbird Western Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Western Kingbird Western Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Western Kingbird Western Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Western Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Western Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Western Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Western Kingbird Western Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Methorated vireo  White-eyed vireo Philadelphia vireo Blue-headed vireo Blue-headed vireo Anhinga Magnificent frigatebird Magnificent frigatebird Magnificent frigatebird Inchos Brown pelican Red-eyed Annerican white pelican Red-eyed vireo Brown pelican Red-eyed vireo	Passeriformes Tyrannidae Myiarchu		Myjarchu		Ash-throated Flycatcher		-
Vermilion Flycatcher         Eastern phoebe         Say's Phoebe         Scissor-tailed liycatcher         Scissor-tailed liycatcher         Eastern kingbird         Western kingbird         Vestern kingbird         Wastern kingbird         Vallow-throated vireo         Yellow-green Vireo         Warbling vireo         White-eyed vireo         Philadelphia vireo         Blue-headed vireo         Anhinga         Magnificent frigatebird         nchos         American white pelican         is	Passeriformes Tyrannidae Myiarchu		Myiarchu		Great crested flycatcher		-
Eastern phoepe Say's Phoebe Say's Phoebe Scissor-tailed liycatcher Us Tropical Kingbird Eastern kingbird Western kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Wastern kingbird Warbling vireo Yellow-throated vireo Yellow-throated vireo Warbling vireo Warbling vireo Warbling vireo Warbling vireo Warbling vireo Anhinga Magniticent frigatebird nchos Anhinga Magniticent white pelican Is Brown pelican Red-Sayed vireo Anhinga Magniticent frigatebird Nchos Anerican white pelican Red-Sayed vireo Anerican white pelican Red-Sayed vireo Anerican white pelican Red-Sayed vireo Anerican white pelican Red-Sayed vireo Anerican white pelican Red-Sayed vireo Anerican white pelican Red-Sayed vireo Anerican white pelican Red-Sayed vireo Anerican white pelican Red-Sayed vireo Anerican white pelican Red-Sayed vireo Anerican white pelican Red-Sayed vireo Anerican white pelican	Tyrannidae		Pyroceph		Vermilion Flycalcher		-
Say's Phoebar  S Gray Kingbird  Scissor-tailed liycatcher  US Tropical Kingbird  Fork-tailed Flycatcher  Eastern kingbird  Western kingbird  Cassin's Kingbird  Cassin's Kingbird  Wastern kingbird  Warbling vireo  Warbling vireo  White-eyed vireo  White-eyed vireo  Philadelphla vireo  Blue-headed vireo  Anhinga  Magnificent frigatebird  nchos  Brown pelican  FL SSC	Passeriformes Tyrannidae Sayornis		Sayornis		astem phoepe		-
s Gray Kingbird Scissor-tailed flycatcher us Tropical Kingbird Fork-tailed Flycatcher Eastern kingbird Western kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Sell's Vireo Yellow-throated vireo Yellow-green Vireo Warbling vireo White-eyed vireo Philadelphla vireo Blue-headed vireo Anhinga Magnificent frigatebird nchos American white pelican Is Brown pelican	Passeriformes Tyrannidae Sayornis saya	٠	Sayornis		Say's Phoebe		_
Scissor-tailed flycatcher  Fork-tailed Flycatcher Eastern kingbird Western kingbird  Western kingbird  Western kingbird  S Cassin's Kingbird  S Hell's Vireo Yellow-green Vireo Warbling vireo White-eyed vireo White-eyed vireo  White-eyed vireo  Whita-eyed vireo  Anhinga  Anhinga  Magnificent frigatebird  Is Magnificent white pelican  Hynchos  Brown pelican  FL SSC	Passeriformes Tyrannidae Tyrannu		Tyrannu	S	Gray Kingbird		_
Tropical Kingblid Fork-tailed Flycatcher Eastern kingbird Western kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Cassin's Kingbird Bell's Vireo Yellow-throated vireo Yellow-throated vireo Warbling vireo White-eyed vireo Philadelphia vireo Blue-headed vireo Anhinga Magnificent frigatebird nos American white pelican Brown pelican FL SSC	Passeriformes Tyrannidae Tyrannı		Tyrann	Tyrannus forficatus	Scissor-tailed flycalcher		-
Fork-tailed Flycatcher Eastern kingbird Western kingbird S Cassin's Kingbird S Cassin's Kingbird S Hell's Vireo Yellow-throated vireo Yellow-green Vireo Warbling vireo White-eyed vireo Blue-headed vireo Anhinga Anhinga Nagniticent frigatebird hynchos American white pelican Holles Brown pelican Hynchos American white pelican Hell's Eastern Kingatebird Hynchos American white pelican Hynchos American white pelican Hynchos	Tyrannidae		Tyrannı		Tropical Kingbird		-
Eastern kingbird  Western kingbird  Sassin's Kingbird  Bell's Vireo Yellow-throated vireo Yellow-green Vireo Warbling vireo White-eyed vireo Blue-headed vireo Anhinga  Magnificent frigatebird hynchos American white pelican Italis Brown pelican  FL SSC	Passeriformes Tyrannidae Tyrannu		Tyrann		Fork-tailed Flycatcher		-
Western kingbird  S Cassin's Kingbird  Bell's Vireo Yellow-throated vireo Yellow-green Vireo Warbling vireo White-eyed vireo White-eyed vireo Red-eyed vireo Blue-headed vireo Anhinga  S Anhinga  Hynchos American white pelican Hynchos Brown pelican  FL SSC	Passeriformes Tyrannidae Tyrann		Tyrann	Tyrannus tyrannus	Eastern kingbird		-
Cassin's Kingbird  Bell's Vireo Yellow-throated vireo Yellow-green Vireo Warbling vireo White-eyed vireo Red-eyed vireo Philadelphia vireo Blue-headed vireo Anhinga Anhinga Magnificant frigatebird ynchos American white pelican alls Brown pelican FL SSC	Passeriformes Tyrannidae Tyran		Tyran	Tyrannus verticalis	Western kingbird		-
Bell's Vireo         Yellow-throated vireo         Yellow-green Vireo         Warbling vireo         White-eyed vireo         Icus         Philadelphia vireo         Blue-headed vireo         a         Anhinga         eens         Magnificant frigatebird         rorhynchos         American white pelican         FL SSC	Tyrannidae		Tyranr	Tyrannus vociferans	Cassin's Kingbird	_	-
Yellow-throated vireo Yellow-green Vireo Warbling vireo White-eyed vireo Red-eyed vireo Philadelphla vireo Blue-headed vireo  A Anhinga  a Anhinga Anhinga Anhinga Brown pelican FL SSC	Passeriformes Vireonidae Vireo bellii		Vireo b		Bell's Vireo		-
Yellow-green Vireo Warbling vireo White-eyed vireo Red-eyed vireo Red-eyed vireo Blue-headed vireo  Anhinga Anhinga Anhinga Brown pelican FL SSC	Vireonidae		Vireo	Vireo flavifrons	Yellow-throated vireo		-
Warbling vireo         White-eyed vireo         Red-eyed vireo         Philadelphia vireo         Blue-headed vireo         pa       Anhinga         icens       Magnificent frigatebird         hrorhynchos       American white pelican         dentalls       FL SSC	Passeriformes Vireonidae Vireo f		Vireo f	Vireo flavoviridis	Yellow-green Vireo		_
White-eyed vireo  Red-eyed vireo  hicus Philadelphla vireo  Blue-headed vireo  Ja Anhinga icens Magnificent frigatebird hrorhynchos American white pelican dentalls Brown pelican	Passeriformes Vireonidae Vireo gilvus		Vireo g		Warbling vireo		
hicus Philadelphia vireo Blue-headed vireo Blae Anhinga icens Magnificent frigatebird hrorhynchos American white pelican dentalis Brown pelican FL SSC	Passeriformes Vireo griseus		Vireo g		White-eyed vireo		-
Philadelphia vireo Blue-headed vireo Anhinga Magnificent frigatebird nchos American white pelican Ills Brown pelican FL SSC	Passeriformes Vireonidae Vireo olivaceus		Vireo ol		Red-eyed vireo		-
Blue-headed vireo Anhinga Magnificent frigatebird ynchos American white pelican Ils Brown pelican FL SSC	Vireonidae		Vireo p	Vireo philadelphicus	Philadelphia vireo		_
Anhinga Magnificent frigatebird Inchos American white pelican Ills Brown pelican FL SSC	Vireonidae		Vireo	Vireo solitarius	Blue-headed vireo		-
Magnificent frigatebird  nchos American white pelican  lls Brown pelican FL SSC	s Anhingidae		Anhir	Anhinga anhinga	Anhinga		<b>L</b>
Anchos American white pelican Ils Brown pelican FL SSC	Fregatidae		Freg	ens	Magnificent frigatebird		L.
Brown pelican FL SSC	Pelecanidae		Pele	/nchos	American white pelican		ŭ.
	Pelecanidae		Peleca	Pelecanus occidentalis	Brown pelican	FL SSC	4

1 A = amphibians, B = birds, F = fish, I = invertebrates, M = mammals, P = plants

lative Vertebrates Known or Suspected to Occur in Manatee Cou. In Existing or Future Phosphate-Mined Lands. Table t

				配工的基本的一个	學。是一個	持約首號標準
Classif		Family	Scientific Name	A Common Name of Carlo	Füsleb/Sialis	F. Praylbása
AVES	Pelecaniformes	Phalacrocoracidae	Phalacrocorax auritus	Double-crested cormorant		Ŧ
AVES	Pelecaniformes	Phalacrocoracidae	Phalacrocorax carbo	Great Cormorant		
AVES	Phoenicopteriformes	Phoenicopteridae	Phoenicopterus ruber	Greater Flamingo		Ł.
AVES	Piciformes	Picidae	Colaptes auratus	Northern flicker		_
AVES	Piciformes	Picidae	Dryocopus pileatus	Pileated woodpecker		1
AVES	Piciformes	Picidae ·	Melanerpes carolinus	Red-bellied woodpecker		-
AVES	Piciformes	Picidae	Melanerpes erythrocephalus	Red-headed woodpecker		_
AVES	Piciformes	Picidae	Picoides borealis	Red-cockaded Woodpecker		-
AVES	Piciformes	Picidae	Picoides pubescens	Downy woodpecker		_
AVES	Piciformes	Picidae	Picoldes villosus	Hairy woodpecker		_
AVES	Piciformes	Picidae	Sphyrapicus varius	Yellow-bellied sapsucker		-
AVES	Podicipediformes	Podicipedidae	Aechmophorus occidentalis	Western grebe		u.
AVES	Podicipediformes	Podicipedidae	Podiceps auritus	Ногпед дгере		F
AVES	Podicipediformes	Podicipedidae	Podiceps dominicus	Least grebe		u.
AVES	Podicipediformes	Podicipedidae	Podiceps grisegena	Red-necked grebe		T.
AVES	Podicipediformes	Podicipedidae	Podiceps nigricollis	Eared grebe,		F
AVES	Podicipediformes	Podicipedidae	Podilymbus podiceps	Pied-billed grebe		Œ.
AVES	Strigiformes	Strigidae	Asio flammeus	Short-eared Owl		Σ
AVES	Strigiformes	Strigidae	Asio otus	Long-eared Owl		M
AVES	Strigiformes	Strigidae	Bubo virginianus	Great horned owl		¥
AVES	Strigiformes	Strigldae	Otus asio	Eastern screech-owl		I, M
AVES	Strigiformes	Strigidae	Speotyto cunicularia	Burrowing owl	FL SSC	I, R, M
AVES	Strigiformes	Strigidae	Strix varia	Barred owl		M. I, R, A
AVES	Strigiformes	Tytonidae	Tyto atba	Barn owl		W
MAMMALIA	Artiodactyla	Cervidae	Odocoileus virginianus	White-tailed deer		Ь
MAMMALIA	Carnivora	Canidae ·	Urocyon cinereoargenteus	Gray fox		M, I, P
MAMMALIA	Carnivora	Felidae	Lynx rufus	Boloat		M, B
MAMMALIA	Carnivora	Mustelidae	Lutra canadensis	River otter		F, I, A, R
MAMMALIA	Carnivora	Mustelidae	Mephilis mephilis	Striped skunk		M, 1, Carion
MAMMALIA	Carnivora	Mustelidae	Mustefa frenafa	Long-tailed weasel		M, A, R, I
MAMMALIA	Carnivora	Mustelidae	Mustela vison	Mink		F, A, I
MAMMALIA	Carnivora	Mustelidae	Spilogale putorius	Spotted skunk		M, I, Carlon
MAMMALIA	Carnivora	Procyonidae	Procyon lotor	Raccoon		I, F, A, R
MAMMALIA	Chiroptera	Molossidae	Tadarida brasiliensis	Mexican freetail bat		-
MAMMALIA	Chiroplera	Verpertilionidae	Eptesicus fuscus fuscus	Big Brown Bat		-
MAMMALIA	Chiroplera	Vespertilionidae	Lasiurus Intermedius	Eastern Yellow Bat		-

<sup>1</sup> A = amphibians, B = birds, F = fish, I = invertebrates, M = manmals, P = plants

Table 5. Native Vertebrates Known or Suspected to Occur in Manatee County on Existing or Future Phosphate-Mined Lands.

		naherien	u in Manatee County on Ex	to Occur in Manatee County on Existing or Future Phosphate-Mined Lands	nds.	
	State Order	Had				Part of the same o
	Chiropter	Vespertilionidae	l asintris seminolis	Programmer and Marie of Marie	STORING DELICIES	M. Prev. Basell F. 1
MAMMALIA	Chiroptera	Vespertilionidae	Myotis austrorioarius	Seminole bat		-
MAMMALIA	Chiroptera	Vespertilionidae	Nycticolus humoralis	Mississippi myotis		-
MAMMALIA	Chiroptera	Vespertilionidae	Pipietrellus euchtonius	Evening Bat		-
MAMMALIA	Insectivora	Soricidae	Rigging carolingaries	Eastern pipistrei		_
MAMMALIA	Insectivora	Soricidae	Contains calculated as	Shortfail shrew		_
MAMMALIA	Insectivora	Taloidae	Colonis parva	Least shrew		_
MAMMALIA	Lagomorpha	l enoridae	Scalopus aqualicus	Eastern mole		_
MAMMALIA	Lagomorpha	Loopidas	Sylvilagus lioridanus	Eastern cottontail		۵
MAMMALIA	Marsupiala	9	Sylvilagus palustris	Marsh rabbit		_
MAMMALIA	Rodentia		ana	Opossum		l d
MAMMAI IA	Podontia	lae	S	Southeastern pocket gopher		۵
MAD MARADITA	Loueinia		Neofiber alleni	Round-tailed muskrat		. 6
MINIMACIA	Kodenlia		Neotoma floridana	Eastern woodrat		
MAMIMALIA	Rodentia		Ochrotomys nuttalli	Golden mouse		
	Rodentia	Muridae		Rice rat		2
	Rodentia	Muridae	Sinis	Collon mouse		ما
MAMMALIA	Rodentia	Muridae	T	Oldfield mouse		۵
MAMMALIA	Rodentia			Clorido monos	i	<b>a</b>
MAMMALIA	Rodentia		ailie		FL SSC	٩
MAMMALIA	Rodentia		1	castern narvest mouse		Ь
	Rodentia			Cotton rat		۵
	Dodontia			Southern flying squirrel		م
T	בוווופ	0		Gray squirrel		d
Т	Kodentia	Sciuridae	Sciurus niger shermani	Sherman's fox squirrel	FI SSC	.   a
7	Amilformes	Amiidae /		Bowfin		-
Т	Anguilliformes	Anguillidae /	Anguilla rostrata	American eel		
	Atheriniformes	Alherinidae	Labidesthes sicculus	Brook silverside		- -
$\neg \neg$	Atheriniformes	Cyprinodontidae		Golden toominnow		
$\neg$	Atheriniformes	Cyprinodontidae		Banded topminnow		_
	Atheriniformes		8	Marsh killifish		- -
	Atheriniformes			Starboad tormionow		-
OSTEICHTHYES /	Atheriniformes	T		Oppless together		-
OSTEICHTHYES /	Atheriniformes			Somingle Fillifich		-
OSTEICHTHYES /		1.		Settiniole Kininsii		-
$\top$				Fiagush		٩
1			nmata	Pygmy killitish		-
Т				Bluefin killifish		Ь
7		Cyprinodoniidae	Lucania parva	Rainwater killifish		Ъ
-						

 $^{1}$  A = amphibians, B = birds, F = fish, I = invertebrates, M = mammals, P = plants

on Existing or Future Phosphate-Mined Lands. Native Vertebrates Known or Suspected to Occur in Manatee Co.

The colors and	TOTAL CORES COME TO SOCIETY OF STREET	E Family	Scienting Name 1	The Continon Name West of High Edisoled Sta	distants of Previous
OSTEICHTHYES A	Atheriniformes		Gambusia holbrooki		
	Atheriniformes	Poeciliidae	Heterandria formosa	Least killifish	
_	Atheriniformes	Poeciliidae	Poecilia latipinna	Sailfin molly	_
	Clupeiformes	Clupeidae	Dorosoma cepedianum	American gizzard shad	
	Clupaiformes	Clupeidae	Dorosoma petenense	Threadlin shad	
	Cypriniformes	Catostomidae	Erimyzon sucetta	Lake chubsucker	-
	Cypriniformes	Cyprinidae	Notemigonus crysoleucas	Golden shiner	
	Cypriniformes	Cyprinidae	Notropis chalybaeus	Ironcolor shiner	_
$\neg \neg$	Cypriniformes	Cyprinidae	Notropis maculatus	Taillight shiner	_
	Cypriniformes		Notropis petersoni	Coastal shiner	
	Cypriniformes		Opsopoeodus emiliae	Pugnosa minnow	
	Cypriniformes		Pteronotropis hypselopterus	Sailfin shlner	
	Percilormes	Centrarchidae	Enneacanthus gloriosus	Bluespotted sunfish	_
$\neg$	Perciformes	Centrarchidae	Enneacanthus obesus	Banded sunfish	
$\neg \neg$	Perciformes	Centrarchidae	Leponis auritus	Redbreast sunfish	
	Perciformes	Centrarchidae .	Lepomis gulosus	Warmouth ,	4,1
		Centrarchidae	Lepomis macrochirus	Bluegill	F 1
			Lepomis marginatus	Dollar sunfish	_
$\neg$	Perciformes	Centrarchidae	Lepomis microlophus	Redear sunfish	
$\neg$	Perciformes	Centrarchidae	Lepomis punctatus	Spotted sunfish	-
OSTEICHTHYES P	Perciformes	Centrarchidae	Micropterus salmoides	Largemouth bass	F, A, I
	Perciformes	Centrarchidae	Pomoxis nigromaculatus	Black crappie	F.,
	Perciformes	Elassomatidae	Elassoma evergladei	Everglades pygmy sunfish	_
OSTEICHTHYES P	Perciformes	Percidae	Etheostoma fusiforme	Swamp darter	
$\neg$	Percopsiformes	Aphredoderidae	Aphredoderus sayanus	Pirate perch	1, F
OSTEICHTHYES P	S	Soleidae	Trinectes maculatus	Hogchoker	
_	rnes	Acipenseridae ·	Lepisosteus platyrhincus	Florida gar	u.
_	Siluriformes	Iclaluridae /	Ameiurus catus	White catfish	· .
OSTEICHTHYES SI	Siluriformes	lctaturidae ·	Ameiurus natalis	Yellow bullhead	u.,
OSTEICHTHYES SI	Siluriformes	lctaluridae /	Ameiurus nebulosus	Brown bullhead	H, ,
OSTEICHTHYES SI	Siluriformes	lctaturidae /	Ameiurus punctatus	Channel catfish	۱,۶
OSTEICHTHYES SI	Siluriformes	1ctaluridae	Noturus gyrinus	Tadpole madtom	
REPTILIA	Crocodylia	Alligatoridae /	Alligator mississippiensis	American alligator	T(S/A) F, R, I
REPTILIA		Amphisbaonidae	Rhineura floridana	Worm lizard	
REPTILIA		Anguidae	tongicaudus	Eastern stender glass lizard	_
REPTILIA		Anguidae	Ophisaurus compressus	Island glass lizard	-
				2	

<sup>1</sup> A = amphibians, B = birds, F = fish, I = invertebrates, M = mammals, P = plants

Table 5. Native Verlebrates Known or Suspected to Occur in Manatee County on Existing or Future Phosphate-Mined Lands.

では、 一般の情報をあった。 かっと	The state of the s	Sound	a in manage county on Ex	Second in manage County on Existing or Future Phosphate-Mined Lands.	ds.	
	A Soldary	Family	Scientific Control of the Control of	是一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个		
	Squamata	Anguidae	Ophisaurus ventralis	THE STATE OF THE S	PLISTORICISTUS.	<b>PPIOVIBASO</b>
REPTILIA	Squamata	Colubridae	coccinea	Elocido conclot mode		-
REPTILIA	Squamata	Colubridae	Coluber constrictor prizons	Figure scallet shake		æ
REPTILIA	Squamata	Colubridae	punclatus	Southern black racer		R, M, A
REPTILIA	Squamata	Colubridae	Drymarchon corals compari	Southern ringheck snake		-
REPTILIA	Squamata	Colubridae	Elanha quittata quittata	Castern ingo snake	FLT, Fed T	R, M, A, F
REPTILIA	Squamata	Colubridae	Flanke obsolota guidaia	Corn snake		M, B
REPTILIA	Squamata	Colubridae	Forencia abaciera quadilivillata Tellow rat snake	Tellow rat snake		М, В
REPTILIA	Squamata	Colubridae	Heterodon olatirhinas	Easiern mud snake		A
REPTILIA	Squamata	Colubridae	Heterodon simils			4
REPTILIA	Squamata	Colubridae	Lampropellis gelula floridasa	Source Florida Linguista Shaka		٧
REPTILIA	Squamata	Colubridae	alancoides	riolida kingsnake		R, M, B
REPTILIA	Squamata	Colubridae	flacellum	Scarlet Kingsnake		R
REPTILIA	Squamata	Colubridae	Nerodia facciata alatinasti	Easiern coachwhip		R, M
REPTILIA	Squamata	Colubridae	Norodio floridos	riorida water snake		F, A
REPTILIA	Squamata	Colubridge	Neroula Horidana	Florida green water snake		LL
REPTII IA	Souranata	Coluctidad	Neroula taxispilota	Brown water snake		ш
REPTII IA		Colubridae	rys aestivus	Rough green shake		-
PEDTII IA		Colubridae		Florida pine snake	FL SSC	×
מבטבוו וא		Colubridae		Striped crayfish snake		-
אביר וורוא מכמבו		Colubridae	Rhadinea flavilata	Pine woods snake		-   -
KEPIILIA		Colubridae	Seminatrix pygaea	Black swamp snake		
REPTILIA		Colubridae	E	Short-tailed snake	1 11	ξ.
REPTILIA	Squamata	Colubridae		Florida brown Snake	- L	۲ -
REPTILIA		Colubridae		Peninsula crowned snake	-	- -
REPTILIA			Thamnophis sauritus sackeni Peninsular ribbon snake	Peninsular ribbon snake	<u> </u>	- 1
REPTILIA		Colubridae	Thannophis sirtalis sirtalis	Eastern garter snake		ξ
REPTILIA				Eastern coral snake		3
REPIILIA		natidae	undulatus	Southern fence lizard		- اء
REPTILIA		Polychridae	Anolis carolinensis	Green anole		- -
REPTILIA		Scincidae	Eumeces egreglus onocrepis	Penisula mole skink		- -
REPTILIA		Scincidae	-	Southeastern five-lined skink		- -
REPTILIA		Scincidae		Ground skink		-1-
REPTILIA		Teiidae	neata	Slx-lined racerunner		-
REPTILIA		Viperidae	conanti	Florida cottonmouth		- u
REPTILIA		Viperidae		Eastern diamondback rattlesnake		C. 2
REPTILIA	Squamata	Viperidae	Sistrurus miliarius barbouri	Dusky pigmy rattlesnake		M A
			1			

¹ A = amphibians, B = birds, F = fish, I = invertebrates, M = mammals, P = plants

on Existing or Future Phosphate-Mined Lands. Native Vertebrates Known or Suspected to Occur in Manatee Co. Table

I WAS BASE WE	F, A, I	Ь	Ь	ط	Ь	F.,	н,	Р	F. I. A
Small beising								FL SSC	
AN MENGAMMON NAMBER	lorida snapping turtle	Florida chicken turlle .	Peninsula cooter	Florida redbelly turtle	Florida box turtle	Florida mud turtle	Stinkpot	Gopher tortoise	Florida softshell
Scientific Name	Chelydra serpentina osceola Florida snapping turtle	clirysea	peninsularis	Pseudemys nelsoni	Terrapene carolina bauri	steindachneri	Sternotherus odoratus	Gopherus polyphemus	
Family	Chelydridae	Emydidae	Emydidae	Emydidae	Emydidae	Kinosternidae	Kinosternidae	Testudinidae	Trionychidae
Tablo Si	Testudines	Testudines	Testudines	Testudines	Testudines	Testudines	Testudines	Testudines	Testudines
Colass	REPTILIA	REPTILIA	REPTILIA	REPTILIA	REPTILIA	REPTILIA	REPTILIA	REPTILIA	REPTILIA

# IV. REFERENCES

## HABITAT RECLAMATION

- Bureau of Mine Reclamation. 1992. A regional conceptual reclamation plan for the Southern Phosphate District of Florida. FDEP, Tallahassee, Florida.
- Bureau of Mine Reclamation. 1995. <u>Guidelines for the reclamation, management, and disposition of lands within the Southern Phosphate District of Florida.</u>

  <u>DRAFT.</u> FDEP, Tallahassee, Florida.
- Central Florida Regional Planning Council (CFRPC). 1997. <u>Strategic regional policy plan of the Central Florida Regional Planning Council</u>. Bartow, Florida.

## **RECLAMATION STANDARDS**

The 2002 F.S., Part III: Phosphate Land Reclamation (Sec. 378.201 - 378.212).

The 2002 F.S., Part IV: Resource Extraction Reclamation (Sec. 378.401 - 378.901).

- The 2002 F.S. Additional Criteria for Activities in Surface Waters and Wetlands (ss. 373.414).
- The 2002 F.S. Ch. 373. Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Mitigation Plan; mitigation for mining activities within the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt (Sec. 373.41492).
- Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP). Guidelines for the Reclamation, Management, & Disposition of Lands within the Southern Phosphate District of Florida. 28 February 1995.

- FDEP. Rules. Bureau of Mine Reclamation Mandatory Phosphate Mine Reclamation. Chapter 62C-16. 22 February 1987.
- FDEP. Rules. Limestone Reclamation Requirements. Ch. 62C-36. 16 July 1987.
- FDEP. Rules. Heavy Mineral Reclamation Requirements. Ch. 62C-37. 22 January 2002.
  - FDEP. Rules. Fuller's Earth Reclamation Requirements. Ch. 62C-38. 29 November 1990.
  - FDEP. Rules. Reclamation 'Requirements for Solid Resources Other than Phosphate, Limestone, Heavy Minerals, and Fuller's Earth. Ch. 62C-39. 19 January 1989.
  - Florida Institute of Phosphate Research (FIPR). <u>Ecosystem restoration workshop</u> <u>proceedings</u>. Sponsored by FIPR and the Society for Ecological Restoration. Bartow, FL.1996.
  - Hardee County Code of Ordinances, No. 1999-02, Sec. 2.06.06, C. 1-17.
  - Hernando County Code of Ordinances. No. 93-13. Sec. 19-71 and 19-72.
  - Hillsborough County Comprehensive Plan. Conservation Element. Mineral Resources & Land Excavation. Obj. 10, 11. Mar. 1999.
  - Hillsborough County Land Development Code. Land Excavation. Part 8.01.00. Sec.8.01.06. 13 November 2002.
  - Hillsborough County Land Development Code. Phosphate Mining Regulations. Part 8.02.00. Sec. 8.02.01-Sec. 8.02.10. 13 November 2002.

- Lee County Land Development Code. No. 01-03 and 02-20. Sec. 34-1674. 7-8. 25 June 2002.
- Manatee County Land Development Code. Earthmoving. Sec. 732. 1 September 1998. Code of Ordinances. No. 81-22. Sec. 2-20-22. 19. 22 October 1981.
- Pasco County Land Development Code. No. 01-24. Sec. 609.5 and Sec. 609.8 (D). 18 December 2001.
- Polk County Code of Ordinances. No. 88-19. Article IV. Reclamation Requirements.
- Sumter County Code of Ordinances. No. 96-23 & 2000-19. Sec. 13-771. 25 July 2000.
- Sarasota County Code of Ordinances. No. 82-111. Sec. 54-289 and Sec. 54-301. 1 March 1983.

### CLIMATE

- Chen, Ellen and John F. Gerber. 1991. "Climate." <u>Ecosystems of Florida</u>. University of Central Florida Press. Orlando, Florida. Pages 11-34.
- Winsberg, Morton, D. 1990. <u>Florida Weather</u>. University of Central Florida Press. Orlando, Florida. 171 Pp.

#### SOILS

Bissett, Nancy J., Robert A. Garren, Vimala D. Nair, Kenneth M. Portier, Donald G. Graetz, and Debra S. Segal. June 11-15, 2000. "Testing the Efficacy of Seed and Plant Transfer by Topsoil Augmentation on Reclaimed Phosphate-Mined

- Uplands" <u>Proceedings of the Seventeenth Annual Meeting, American Society of Surface Mining and Reclamation</u>. Pages 13-34.
- Brown, Randall B., Earl L. Stone, and Victor W. Carlisle. 1991. "Soils." <u>Ecosystems</u> of Florida. Orlando: University of Central Florida Press. Pages 35-69.
- Carlisle, V. W., et al. 1995. Hydric Soils of Florida Handbook. Florida Association of Environmental Soil Scientists, Gainesville, Florida. 409 pp.
- Erwin, K.L., and S.J. Doherty, M.T. Brown, and G.R. Best (eds.). 1997. <u>Evaluation of constructed wetlands on phosphate mined lands in Florida. Volume 2 Hydrology, soils, water quality, aquatic fauna.</u> Report prepared for FIPR, FIPR Publication No. 03-103-139. Bartow, Florida.
- Lin, Zhongyan, Ph.D. Soil Scientist. 1998. Personal Communication.
- Miller, Harvey, A. James G. Sampson, and Carol S. Lotspeich. 1988. "Wetlands Reclamation using sand-clay mix from phosphate mines: Results after three years" Proceedings of the 15<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference on Wetlands Restoration and Creation. Tampa, Florida. Pages 197-207
- Segal, D. S., V. D. Nair, D. A. Graetz, N. J. Bissett, and R. A. Garren. 2001. <u>Post-mine reclamation of native upland communities</u>. FIPR Publication No. 03-122-159. Bartow, Florida.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1989. <u>Soil Survey of Hillsborough County, Florida</u>. Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Government Printing Office. 168 pp.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1990. <u>Soil Survey of Polk County, Florida</u>. Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Government Printing Office. 184 pp.

## **VEGETATION**

- Abrahamson, Warren G. and David C. Hartnett. 1991. "Pine Flatwoods and Dry Prairies." <u>Ecosystems of Florida.</u> Orlando: University of Central Florida Press. Pages 103-149.
- Aldrich, James H. Spring 2002. "Factors and Benefits in the Establishment of Modest-Sized Wildflower Plantings: A Review." <u>Native Plants Journal</u>.: Pages 67-73, 77-85.
- Bard, Alice, John Lynch, and Kathryn S. Buchanan. July 10, 1999. Restoration of Sandhill Vegetation on Abandoned Agricultural Land at Lake Louisa State Park.

  Lake County, Florida. Prepared for Florida Pollution Recovery Program Memorandum of Agreement No. CD-02097, Unpublished.
- Bissett, Nancy J. 1995. "Bald Mountain, A Sandhill and Sand Scrub Restoration."

  <u>Proceedings of the 22<sup>nd</sup> Annual Conference on Ecosystems Restoration and Creation.</u> Pages 5-11.
- Bissett, Nancy J. Summer, 1995. "Upland Restoration Challenge: Direct Seeding of Wiregrass and Associated Species." <u>The Palmetto</u>. Pages 8-11.
- Bissett, Nancy J. and Robert A. Garren. 2002. Quantitative Monitoring and Evaluation of the Reedy Creek Mitigation Bank Phase II Upland Restoration Site. Osceola and Polk Counties. Florida. Prepared for South Florida Water Management District. Unpublished.
- Bissett, Nancy J., Robert A. Garren, Vimala D. Nair, Kenneth M. Portier, Donald G. Graetz, and Debra S. Segal. June 11-15, 2000. "Testing the Efficacy of Seed and Plant Transfer by Topsoil Augmentation on Reclaimed Phosphate-Mined

- Uplands". <u>Proceedings of the Seventeenth Annual Meeting, American Society of Surface Mining and Reclamation</u>. Pages 13-34.
- Bissett, N. J. 2004. Personal Communication.
- Brown, M.T., R.E. Tighe, and T.R. McClanahan, 1991. "Landscape Reclamation at Gardinier's Ft. Meade Mine" <u>Techniques and Guidelines for Reclamation of Phosphate Mined Lands</u>. FIPR. Bartow Florida. FIPR Publication No. 03-044-095.
- Canfield, D. E., Jr. and Hoyfield, M.V. 1992. <u>Aquatic macrophytes and their relation</u> to the limnology of Florida lakes. Submitted to the Bureau of Aquatic Plant Management, Florida Department of Natural Resources.
- Clewell, Andre F. 1989. "Natural History of Wiregrass (*Aristida stricta* Michx., Gramineae)." Natural Areas Journal. Pages 223-233.
- Clewell, Andre F. "Autumn, 1994. "Table 7 of Dogleg Preserve Control Wetland"

  Vegetational Restoration at Dogleg Reclamation Area Twentieth Semi-Annual

  Report. Unpublished.
- Clewell, Andre F., Mary M. James, and Christina A. Raymond. March, 2001. Ephemeral Marshes at Ona and Their Restoration. Unpublished.
- Clewell, A. F. 1996. "Strategy for restoring wiregrass ecosystems" <u>Ecosystems</u>

  Restoration Workshop Proceedings. FIPR. Bartow Florida. Pages 11-12.
- Crisman, T. L., W. J. Streever, J. H. Kiefer, and D. L. Evans. 1997. An evaluation of plant community structure, fish and benthic meio- and macrofauna as success criteria for reclaimed wetlands. FIPR. FIPR Publication No. 03-086-135. Bartow, Florida.

- Doherty, S., 1991. "Landscape Organization and Community Structure of Naturally Reclaimed Lands" <u>Techniques and Guidelines for Reclamation of Phosphate Mined Lands</u>. FIPR. Bartow Florida. FIPR Publication No. 03-044-095.
- Erwin, K.L., and S.J. Doherty, M.T. Brown, and G.R. Best (eds.). 1997a. <u>Evaluation of constructed wetlands on phosphate mined lands in Florida</u>. Volume 1 <u>Project summary</u>. Report prepared for FIPR. FIPR Publication No. 03-103-139. Bartow, Florida.
- —. 1997c. <u>Evaluation of constructed wetlands on phosphate mined lands in Florida.</u>
  <u>Volume 3 -Vegetation, wildlife, ecosystem and landscape organization.</u>
  Report prepared for FIPR. FIPR Publication No. 03-103-139. Bartow, Florida
- Florida Chapter of the Soil and Water Conservation Society. 1989. <u>Twenty-six</u> <u>Ecological Communities of Florida.</u> Soil and Water Conservation Society. Gainesville, Florida. 145 Pp.
- Florida Department of Transportation. 1999. <u>Florida Land Use. Cover and Forms Classification System, Third Edition.</u> 91 pp.
- Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission. August 1997. <u>Florida's Endangered Species</u>. Threatened Species and SSC. Official Lists. 15 pp.
- Florida Natural Areas Inventory and Florida Department of Natural Resources. 1990.
- Guide to the Natural Communities of Florida. 111 Pp.

- Godfrey, Robert K. and Jean W. Wooten. 1979 <u>Aquatic and Wetland Plants of Southeastern United States Monocotoledons.</u> The University of Georgia Press Athens, Georgia.
- Godfrey, Robert K. and Jean W. Wooten. 1979. <u>Aquatic and Wetland Plants of Southeastern United States Dicotoledons.</u> The University of Georgia Press. Athens, Georgia.
- Gordon, Doria R., Amy A. Miller, Michael T. Renda, Jodi L. Slapcinsky, and David A. Jones. Feb, 2001. <u>Florida Native Turfgrass Investigation II.</u> Florida Department of Transportation, Contract No. BC-354, Unpublished.
- Gordon, Doria R., Amy A. Miller, Michael T. Renda, Jodi L. Slapcinsky, and David A. Jones. Feb, 2000. <u>Florida Native Turfgrass Investigation.</u>. Florida Department of Transportation, Contract No. BC-354, Unpublished.
- Gordon, Doria R., Anne C. Cox, Greg S. Seamon, Jodi L. Slapcinsky, and David A. Jones. 2000 Native Sandhill Species Revegetation Techniques. Florida Department of Transportation, Contract No.BB937, Unpublished.
- Gordon, Doria R., Meryl J. Hattenbach, Greg S. Seamon, Kathy Freeman, and David A. Jones. 2000. <u>Establishment and Management of Upland Native Plants on Florida Roadsides.</u> Florida Department of Transportation, Contract No. BA523, Unpublished.
- Hainds, Mark J. 2003. "Determining the Correct Planting Depth for Container-Grown Longleaf Pine Seedlings" <u>Proceedings of the Fourth Longleaf Alliance Regional Conference in Southern Pines, North Carolina</u>. To be published.
- Hall, David W. "Is it Wiregrass?" Natural Areas Journal. October, 1989: 219-222

Hardin, Dennis E. and Deborah L. White. October, 1989. "Rare Vascular Plant Taxa Associated with Wiregrass (*Aristida stricta*) in the Southeastern United States" Natural Areas Journal. Pages 234-245.

Harnett, D. 1986. Plant Ecology.

- Harrell, James B. June, 1987. <u>The Development of Techniques for the Use of Trees in the Reclamation of Phosphate Lands</u>. Bartow, Florida, FIPR, Publ. No. 03-001-049.
- Hart. R., J.R. Newman. 1995. The Importance of Isolated Wetlands to Fish and Wildlife in Florida. Nongame Wildlife Program, Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission. Tallahassee, Florida.145 pp.
- Havens, Kayri. Summer, 1998. "The Genetics of Plant Restoration." Restoration & Management Notes. Pages 68-72.
- Hawkins, William H. and Kevin Ruesch. 1988."Reclamation of small streams and their watersheds at Mobil's central Florida phosphate mines" <u>Proceedings of the 15<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference on Wetlands Restoration and Creation</u>. Tampa, Florida. Pages 106–121.
- Howard, Gene, S., and Marilyn J. Samuel. 1978. "The value of fresh-stripped topsoil as a source of useful plants for surface mine revegetation" Journal of Range Management. 32:1 76-77.
- Jenkins, A.M. 2003. <u>Seed Banking and Vesicular-Arbuscular Mycorrhizae in Pasture Restoration in Central Florida</u>. Unpublished Master Thesis. University of Florida. 90 pp.

- Kabir, Z., I.P. O'Halloran, and C. Hamel. 1999. <u>Combined effects of soil disturbance</u> and fallowing on plant and fungal components of mycorrhizal corn (*Zea mays* <u>L.</u>). Soil Biology and Biochemistry 31:307-314.
- Kiefer, John H., and Elizabeth Wertschnig. Winter, 1998. "Trade Up, Not Off, A Guide to Mutual-Gains Negotiation" Restoration & Management Notes. Pages 196-206.
- King, Tim, Brian Toland, and Jim Feiertag. 1992. An Evaluation of Xeric habitat Reclamation at a Central Florida Phosphate Mine. Final Report to IMC Fertilizer.

  Inc. Lakeland, FL: Office of Environmental Services Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission, Unpublished.
- Kluson, R.A., S.G. Richardson, D.B. Shibles, and D.B. Corley. June 11-15, 2000. "Responses of Two Native and Two Non-Native Grasses to Imazapic Herbicide on Phosphate Mined Lands in Florida" <u>Proceedings of the Seventeenth Annual Meeting, American Society of Surface Mining and Reclamation</u>. Pages 49-57.
- Light, Helen M., Melanie R. Darst, Maureen T. MacLaughlin, and Steven W. Sprecher. 1993. <u>Hydrology. Vegetation. and Soils of Four North Florida River Flood Plains with an Evaluation of State and Federal Wetland Determinations.</u>
  U.S. Geological Survey. Water-Resources Investigation Report 93-4033. Tallahassee, Florida. 94 Pp.
- Lippincott, Carol L. 2000. "Effects of *Imperata cylindrica* (L.) Beauv. (Cogongrass) Invasion on Fire Regime in Florida Sandhill (USA)." Natural Areas Journal. April 2000: 140-149.
- Miller, Deborah, Greg MacDonald, Donn Shilling, and Barry Brecke. <u>Integrated Management of Invasive Weeds as a Component of Native Plant Restoration.</u>
  Final Report FIPR, Unpublished.

- Mulligan, Maureen K. and L. Katherine Kirkman. June, 2002. "Burning Influences on Wiregrass (*Aristida beyrichiana*) Restoration plantings: Natural Seedling Recruitment and Survival." Restoration Ecology. Pages 334-339.
- Mulligan, Maureen K., L. Katherine Kirkman, and R.J. Mitchell. March 2002. "Aristida beyrichiana (Wiregrass) Establishment and Recruitment: Implications for Restoration." Restoration Ecology. Pages 68-78.
- Mushinsky, Henry, R., Earl D. McCoy, Robert A. Kluson, and Danyel D. Schmutz. 1996. "Establishing, Describing, Explaining, and Testing the Differences between Vertebrate assemblages of disturbed and undisturbed upland fragments in central Florida" Ecosystem Restoration Workshop Proceedings. FIPR. Bartow Florida. Pages 27-28.
- Myers, Ronald L. 1991. "Scrub and High Pine." <u>Ecosystems of Florida</u>. University of Central Florida Press. Orlando, Florida. Pages 150-193.
- Myers, R.L. and J. J. Ewel. 1991. <u>Ecosystems of Florida</u>. University of Central Florida Press. Orlando, Florida. 765 Pp.
- Nair, Vimala D., Nancy J. Bissett, Kenneth M. Potier, Donald A. Graetz, Debra S. Segal, and Robert A. Garren. June 11-15, 2000. "Soil Conditions and Plant Establishment on Reclaimed Phosphate-Mined Uplands." <a href="Proceedings of the Seventeenth Annual Meeting">Proceedings of the Seventeenth Annual Meeting</a>. American Society of Surface Mining and Reclamation. Pages 35-48.
- Orzell, Steve. "Total Flora of Sampled Dry Prairie Sites at Avon Park Bombing Range" Unpublished.

- Packard, Stephen and Cornelia F. Mutel, eds. 1997. <u>The Tallgrass Restoration Handbook.</u> Washington, D.C.: Island Press,
- Pfaff, S.L. and C. Maura, Jr. June 11-15, 2000. "Seeding Two Native Grass Species on Reclaimed Phosphate Minedlands" <u>Proceedings Seventeenth Annual Meeting American Society of Surface Mining and Reclamation</u>. Pages 6-12.
- Pfaff, Sharon, Mary Anne Gonter, and Clarence Maura. June 2002. <u>Florida Native Seed Production Manual.</u> Brooksville, FL: United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service.
- Platt, William J. 1991. "Temperate Hardwood Forests." <u>Ecosystems of Florida</u>. University of Central Florida Press, Orlando, Florida pages 194-229.
- <u>Proceedings of the Longleaf Pine Ecosystem Restoration Symposium.</u> Nov 1997. Longleaf Alliance, Auburn University School of Forestry.
- <u>Proceedings of the Upland Restoration Workshop.</u> May 16 and 17, 2000. Prepared by The Disney Wilderness Preserve, The Nature Conservancy. Unpublished.
- Rokich, D. P., K. W. Dixon, K. Sivasithamparam, and K.A. Meney. 2000. <u>Toosoil Handling and Storage Effects on Woodland Restoration in Western Australia</u>. Restoration Ecology. Vol 8. No. 2:196-208.
- Seamon, Greg. Summer, 1998 "A longleaf Pine Sandhill Restoration in Northwest Florida" Restoration & Management Notes. Pages 46-50.
- Segal, D.S., V.D. Nair, D.A. Graetz, N.J. Bissett, and R.A. Garren. 2001. <u>Post-mine reclamation of native upland communities</u>. Report prepared for FIPR Publication No. 03-122-159. Bartow, Florida.

- Shilling, D.J., T.A. Bewick, J.F. Gaffney, S.K. McDonald, C.A. Chase, and E.R.R.L. Johnson. May 1997. <u>Ecology, Physiology, and Management of Cogongrass</u> (*Imperata cylindrica*). Bartow, Florida. FIPR Publ. No. 03-107-140.
- Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1981. <u>26 Ecological Communities of Florida</u>. Gainesville, Florida. 145 P.
- Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1987. Range Management for Important Native Grasses of Florida. Gainesville, Florida. 168 pp.
- Tanner, George W. and Christine G. Hatcher. 1996. Restoration of Wet Praires

  Within the Kissimmee River Riparian Zone. Prepared for South Florida Water

  Management District Contract No. C-4206. Unpublished.
- Taylor, Walter Kingsley. 1998. Florida Wildflowers in Their Natural Communities. University Press of Florida. Gainesville, Florida.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1978. The potential effects of clearing and snagging on stream ecosystems. United States Department of the Interior. FWS/OBS 78/14. 23 Pp.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1999. <u>South Florida multi-species recovery plan</u>. Atlanta, Georgia. 2172 Pp.
- Violi, Helen. 1999. <u>Evaluation of Restoration Techniques in an Abandoned Bahiagrass Pasture.</u> Prepared for Disney Wilderness Preserve, The Nature Conservancy, Orlando, Florida. Unpublished.

- Weber, Scott. Winter 1999 "Designing Seed Mixes for Prairie Restorations: Revisiting the Formula" Ecological Restoration. Pages 96-201.
- Wunderlin, Richard P. 1998. <u>Guide to the Vascular Plants of Florida</u>. University Press of Florida. Gainesville, Florida. 806 Pp.
- Wunderlin, R. P., and B. F. Hansen. "Atlas of Florida Vascular Plants" 2003. (http://www.plantatlas.usf.edu/).
- Zhang, Z. Q., W.S. Shu, C.Y. Lan, and M.H. Wong. 2001. "Soil Seed Bank as an Input of Seed Source in Revegetation of Lead/Zinc Mine Tailings" Restoration Ecology. Vol. 9 No. 4: 378-385.

#### WATERSHEDS

- Southwest Florida Water Management District. 2000. "Alafia River Comprehensive Watershed Management Plan, 2001" <u>District Water Management Plan</u>. Brooksville, Florida.
- Southwest Florida Water Management District. 2001. "Little Manatee River Comprehensive Watershed Management Plan, 2002" <u>District Water Management Plan</u>. Brooksville, Florida.

#### WILDLIFE

- Allen, M. Wildlife survey methodology quidelines for Section 18.D of the Application for Development Approval. Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission, Office of Environmental Services. 1988. 14 Pp.
- Barrett, K. A. 2003. <u>Microhabitat preferences of Eumeces inexpectatus</u>, the southeastern five-lined skink: explanations for its rarity on reclaimed phosphate-

- mined lands. Unpublished Masters Thesis. University of South Florida. Tampa, Florida.
- Beever, J. W. III. 2002. <u>Standard state-listed animal survey procedures for SFWMD</u>

  <u>ERP projects</u>. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission. Tuckers


  Grade, Florida.
- Beever, J. III. 2003. Personal communication.
- Brown, M. T., J. Schaefer, J., and K. Brandt. 1990. <u>Buffer Zones for Water.</u>

  <u>Wetlands. and Wildlife in East Central Florida</u>. East Central Florida Regional Planning Council. CFW Publication #89-07. 71 Pp.
- Caruso, K. A. 2002. Microhabitat preferences of the oak toad (Bufo guercicus) and explanations for the species' absence on reclaimed phosphate mined lands.

  Unpublished Masters Thesis. University of South Florida. Tampa, Florida.
- Cox, J., D. Inkley, and R. Kautz. 1994. <u>Ecology and habitat protection needs of gopher tortoise (Gopherus polyphemus) populations found on lands slated for large-scale development in Florida</u>. Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission, Nongame Wildlife Program Technical Report No. 4. Tallahassee, Florida. 75 Pp.
- Crisman, T. L., W. J. Streever, J. H. Kiefer, and D. L. Evans. 1997. <u>An evaluation of plant community structure</u>. fish and benthic meio- and macrofauna as success criteria for reclaimed wetlands. FIPR. FIPR Publication No. 03-086-135. Bartow, Florida.
- Erwin, K. L., S. J. Doherty, M. T. Brown, and G. R. Best (eds.). 1997a. <u>Evaluation of constructed wetlands on phosphate mined lands in Florida. Volume 1 Project summary</u>. FIPR. FIPR Publication No. 03-103-139. Bartow, Florida.

- —. 1997b. Evaluation of constructed wetlands on phosphate mined lands in Florida. Volume 2 Hydrology, soils, water quality, aquatic fauna. FIPR. FIPR Publication No. 03-103-139. Bartow, Florida.
- —. 1997c. Evaluation of constructed wetlands on phosphate mined lands in Florida. Volume 3 -Vegetation, wildlife, ecosystem and landscape organization. FIPR. FIPR Publication No. 03-103-139. Bartow, Florida.
- Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission. August 1997. <u>Florida's Endangered Species, Threatened Species and SSC, official lists.</u> 15 Pp.
- FIPR. 1996. <u>Ecosystem restoration workshop proceedings</u>. Sponsored by FIPR and the Society for Ecological Restoration. Bartow, Florida.
- Layne, J. J., A. Stallcup, G. E. Woolfenden, M. N. McCauley, and D. J. Worley. 1977. Fish and wildlife inventory of the seven-county region included in central Florida phosphate industry area-wide environmental impact study. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Contract No. 14-16-0009-77-005.
- Macdonald, L. 1996. <u>Reintroduction of gopher tortoises to reclaimed lands</u>. FIPR. FIPR Publication No. 03-105-126. Bartow, Florida.
- Macdonald, L. and D. Small. 2001. Reproduction and growth in relocated and resident gopher tortoises on reclaimed phosphate-mined lands. FIPR. FIPR Publication No. 03-105-145. Bartow, Florida.
- McCoy, E. and Rey. 1983. "The biogeography of herbivorous arthropods: species accrual on tropical crops" <u>Ecological Entomology</u> 8: 305-313.

- Mushinsky, H. R. and E. D. McCoy. 1996. <u>Habitat factors influencing the distribution of vertebrates on unmined and phosphate-mined uplands in central Florida</u>. FIPR Publication No. 03-100-129. Bartow, Florida.
- ——. 2001. <u>Habitat factors influencing the distribution of vertebrates on unmined and phosphate-mined flatlands in central Florida</u>. FIPR. FIPR Publication No. 03-115-180. Bartow, Florida.
- Myers, R.L. and J. J. Ewel. 1991. <u>Ecosystems of Florida</u>. University of Central Florida Press. Orlando, Florida. 765 Pp.
- Sumpter, D. 1993. <u>Brooker Creek Preserve Resource Management Plan</u>. Pinellas County, Florida.
- ---- 1994a. <u>Cypress Creek Preserve Resource Management Plan</u>. Submitted by Hillsborough County to the FDCA. Tallahassee, Florida.
- ----. 1994b. <u>Blackwater Creek Preserve Resource Management Plan</u>. Submitted by Hillsborough County to the FDCA. Tallahassee, Florida.
- to the Department of Environmental Management Office of Environmental Services. Tallahassee, Florida.





STATE OF FLORIDA, COUNTY OF ALL MINISTER IN This is to certify that the foregoing is a irus and correct copy of the documents on file in my office.

Wilness my hand and official seal this Aday of the Control of the

Clerk of Circuit Court

By: Nase & Vollmenic




## FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF STATE

## Glenda E. Hood

Secretary of State DIVISION OF LIBRARY AND INFORMATION SERVICES

2005 JAN -3 PM 12: 13



December 29, 2004

Honorable R. B. "Chips" Shore Clerk of Circuit Court Manatee County Post Office Box 25400 Bradenton, Florida 34206

Attention: Diane E. Vollmer, Deputy Clerk

Dear Mr. Shore:

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 125.66, Florida Statutes, this will acknowledge receipt of your letter dated December 17, 2004 and corrected copy of Manatee County Ordinance No. 04-39, which was received in this office on December 28, 2004.

As requested, the date stamped copy is being returned for your records.

Sincerely,

Liz Cloud

Program Administrator

LC/kcs

Enclosure