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2.0 Executive Summary
The following summarizes the Assessment of Fair Housing (AFH) report, the issues identified, factors that contribute to discrimination, goals for achieving fair housing, and the analysis in support of those goals, including community participation.

Fair Housing Issues and Contributing Factors
The AFH identifies several fair housing issues and contributing factors in Manatee County, Florida. These issues and contributing factors include:

Contributing Factors of Segregation
- Lack of community revitalization strategies; High Priority
- Lack of private investments in specific neighborhoods; High Priority
- Lack of public investments in specific neighborhoods, including services or amenities; High Priority
- Location and type of affordable housing; High Priority
- Contributing factors of segregation include higher levels of poverty as well as lower levels of educational attainment, income, and language proficiency when compared to Manatee County as a whole, resulting in a lack of economic opportunity that limits housing choice. High Priority

Contributing Factors of R/ECAPs
- Deteriorated and abandoned properties; High Priority
- Lack of community revitalization strategies; High Priority
- Lack of private investments in specific neighborhoods; High Priority
- Lack of public investments in specific neighborhoods, including services or amenities
- Location and type of affordable housing; High Priority
- Contributing factors include higher levels of poverty and lower levels of educational attainment, income, and language proficiency in R/ECAP Census Tracts when compared to Manatee County as whole, resulting in a lack of economic opportunity for persons living in R/ECAPs that limits housing choice; High Priority

Contributing Factors of Disparities in Access to Opportunity
- Access to financial services; High Priority
- Lack of private investments in specific neighborhoods; High Priority
- Lack of public investments in specific neighborhoods, including services or amenities
- Location and type of affordable housing; High Priority
- Contributing factors of disparities in access to opportunity include poverty rates, low educational attainment, limited English language proficiency, and unemployment. Other factors include lack of access to job training, child care services, and other services that would assist in obtaining employment. These factors combined limit access to economic opportunity; High Priority
Contributing Factors of Disproportionate Housing Needs

- The availability of affordable units in a range of sizes; High Priority
- Lack of private investments in specific neighborhoods; High Priority
- Lack of public investments in specific neighborhoods, including services or amenities; High Priority
- Contributing factors include limited locations, quality, and types of affordable housing. The amount of affordable housing within Manatee County is an issue that was identified through the public participation process and the collection and analysis of housing data indicates that affordable housing is lacking especially for lower income households. Moreover, the quality of housing available for lower income households is poor, particularly rental housing, and further inspection and code enforcement is needed; High Priority

Contributing Factors of Publicly Supported Housing Location and Occupancy

- Lack of private investment in specific neighborhoods; High Priority
- Lack of public investment in specific neighborhoods, including services and amenities; High Priority
- Contributing factors include limited locations, quality, and types of publicly assisted housing. In general, the quality of housing available for lower income households in Manatee County is poor, particularly rental housing, and further inspection and code enforcement is needed; High Priority

Disability and Access Issues Contributing Factors

- Access to publicly supported housing for persons with disabilities; Medium Priority
- Lack of affordable, accessible housing in range of unit sizes; High Priority
- Lack of assistance for housing accessibility modifications; Medium Priority
- Location of accessible housing; Medium Priority
- Contributing factors include the lack of basic accessibility features (such as an entrance with no steps or homes with no stairs and/or elevators) in older housing developments and the lack of regular inspection and code enforcement of rental housing affordable to persons with a disability; Medium Priority

Fair Housing Enforcement, Outreach Capacity, and Resources Contributing Factors

- Lack of local private fair housing outreach and enforcement; High Priority
- Lack of local public fair housing enforcement; High Priority
- Lack of resources for fair housing agencies and organizations; High Priority
- Contributing factors include lack of outreach and education materials available and the absence of a fair housing department or agency with a primary of focus on fair housing issues and outreach. Currently, there is no enforcement, investigation, or conciliation of
the Manatee County Fair Housing Ordinance and it is unclear who the responsible Authority is for enforcing the ordinance. Presently, all complainants are referred to the HUD Atlanta office and the Florida Commission on Human Relations, and therefore are not monitored locally; High Priority

**Fair Housing Goals**
In response to the AFH, Manatee County and the Manatee County Housing Authority (MCHA) have established the following eight (8) goals for achieving fair housing in Manatee County. Goals are organized by highest priority:

1. Identify Opportunities to Reinvest in R/ECAPs to Eliminate Blighted Conditions and Spur Redevelopment
2. Address Contributing Factors of Poverty and Segregation
3. Strengthen Code Enforcement on Substandard Rental Units
4. Increase Availability of Affordable Housing in Manatee County
5. Expand Fair Housing Education within Manatee County
6. Provide Services that Improve Financial Literacy and Access to Financing for Minority and Low-Income Populations
7. Improve the Enforcement of Fair Housing Laws and Ordinances
8. Provide Better Access to Opportunity for Protected Classes through Public Services

These goals are designed to address the specific fair housing issues and contributing factors identified through community participation and the analysis of fair housing issues. Each of these goals is reinforced by prioritized metrics and milestones detailed in Section 6.0 of this AFH report. The overall timeframe for achieving these goals is five years (i.e., year 2021, the plan horizon), with some objectives to be met on an annual basis.

**Community Participation Process**
To inform the AFH, Manatee County Government in coordination with the Manatee County Housing Authority (MCHA) undertook a community participation campaign to educate residents and collect input on fair housing issues. To this end, the County conducted an online community survey and held five (5) face-to-face meetings with housing stakeholders, including non-profit housing agencies, public housing and R/ECAP residents, and the Board of County Commissioners. Through this campaign, approximately 20 agencies were involved and over 250 individuals participated.

Fair housing issues raised during face-to-face meetings included housing discrimination based on age, race, or familial status, housing discrimination based on past eviction or arrests that are not covered under the current Fair Housing Act, the need for a “fair housing hotline” or fair housing advocate system to receive and process complaints, concern about unsafe or substandard housing conditions, and landlords that do not comply with fair housing requirements.

Based on the survey results, 85 percent of respondents are aware of fair housing requirements and 61 percent of respondents feel either somewhat informed or well-informed about housing discrimination.
A quarter (26 percent) of survey respondents believe housing discrimination is an issue in Manatee County and nearly 30 percent of survey respondents have experienced housing discrimination or know someone that has experienced discrimination. Survey respondents cited race, color, and familial status as the most common basis for discrimination in Manatee County. While few survey respondents have reported incidents of discrimination in the past, nearly a quarter believe that reporting the incident would not make a difference. When asked what they would do if they encountered housing discrimination in the future, 55 percent of respondents said they would report it.

**Analysis**

In addition to the community participation campaign, an analysis of demographics, patterns of segregation and integration, racially/ethnically concentrated areas of poverty (R/ECAPs), disparities in access to opportunity, disproportionate housing needs, disability and access, and fair housing enforcement was conducted. The following provides a summary of the analysis.

**Demographics**

The racial/ethnic composition of Manatee County is 76% White, 7% Black or African/American, and 14% Hispanic. Other racial/ethnic groups comprise just 3% of the population. Since 1990, the percentage of minority racial/ethnic groups in Manatee County has increased. For example, the Hispanic population has nearly tripled over the past 20 years, from 4% of the population in 1990 to 14% in 2010. Similarly, the percentage of foreign-born individuals and those with limited English proficiency has also increased.

Approximately 20% of the population is under the age of 18 years, and 24% of the population is over the age of 65 years. Trends indicate that the County’s population is slowly getting younger and the number of families with children has increased since 1990. Today, nearly 34% of Manatee County’s population includes families with children.

**Patterns of Segregation and Integration**

In general, Manatee County experiences low to moderate segregation between racial groups. Using the index provided by the Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH) Data and Mapping Tool, racial/ethnic dissimilarity in Manatee County is highest between Black or African American and White populations; however, the degree of dissimilarity has decreased since 1990.

Geographic patterns of segregation and integration have stayed relatively constant since 1990, apart from southeast Bradenton and the unincorporated areas along and generally between U.S. 41 and U.S. 301, in which the Black or African American and Hispanic population has become increasingly concentrated since 1990. These areas correspond with the HUD-designated R/ECAP areas south of Bradenton and overlap with higher percentages of limited English proficiency and foreign-born population. Areas of segregation also overlap with higher percentages of renter-occupied units, except for the Palmetto area, where owner-occupied units are more common.

**Racially/Ethnically Concentrated Areas of Poverty (R/ECAPs)**

In Manatee County, there are three (3) R/ECAP census tracts. The northern R/ECAP area is located southeast of downtown Bradenton, between 1st St./U.S. 41 and 15th St. E. (south of U.S. 301 and north

Both R/ECAPs are urban neighborhoods in character and contain a greater concentration of Black and Hispanic population than the surrounding area. The racial/ethnic composition of the population living in Manatee County’s R/ECAPs 32% White, 48% Hispanic, and 18% Black or African/American. Uniquely, the southern R/ECAP contains a significant concentration of persons from Mexico and a Spanish-speaking population with limited English proficiency; whereas the northern R/ECAP does not. Over half (52%) of the population in Manatee County’s R/ECAPs are families with children.

Disparities in Access to Opportunity
The AFFH Data and Mapping Tool provides indices for access to opportunity by racial/ethnic group. Considering all opportunity indices for the total population of Manatee County, the Asian or Pacific Islander population has the least access to transit, low transportation cost, and jobs proximity. The Hispanic population has the least access to low poverty, labor market, and environmental health. This indicates a pattern of disparity in access to some but not all opportunities for the Asian or Pacific Islander population and Hispanic population. Comparatively, the Black or African American population has less access to school proficiency than other race/ethnicity groups.

Disproportionate Housing Needs
Areas where more than 50% of the housing units are renter-occupied include East Bradenton, South Bradenton, West Samoset, and east Palmetto, as well as the County’s north R/ECAP area and portions of the south R/ECAP area. The highest concentrations of owner-occupied housing units are located either east of I-75 or toward the barrier islands.

When these areas are compared to the percentage of households with housing burden, areas with higher percentages of renter-occupied units generally have higher percentages of households with housing burden. In general, given the overlap of areas with higher percentages of renter-occupied units, higher percentages of households with housing burden, and higher percentages of aging housing stock, this may indicate a need for renter-occupied housing rehabilitation or more affordable rental housing options.

Regarding race/ethnicity group and national origin, areas of greater segregation are characterized by a higher percentage of renter-occupied units; however, owner-occupied housing still accounts for at least half of the housing units in these areas. Given the data on disproportionate housing needs, these areas may require more multi-faceted housing rehabilitation and affordable housing options.

Considering countywide percentages of households by race/ethnicity, no race/ethnicity has a disproportionate need for publicly supported housing when compared to the jurisdiction; however, there is a higher percentage of Black or African American households of low-to-moderate income than Black or African American households of any income.

In unincorporated Manatee County, access to public housing is limited and distant from areas with housing needs. Project-based Section 8 opportunities are also limited in Manatee County, although
more proximate to R/ECAP areas. Housing Choice Vouchers (HCVs) do appear to be utilized in areas with corresponding housing needs, particularly in the areas along US 301 northeast of Palmetto and southwest of Bradenton. The areas where HCVs are most utilized correspond to areas of low- and moderate-income and greater racial/ethnic integration.

**Disability and Access to Housing**
In terms of location of disability by type, there is no apparent segregation by disability type in Manatee County. Persons with hearing, vision, or cognitive disabilities appear integrated in more developed areas of the County, as do persons with ambulatory, self-care, or independent living disabilities; however, ambulatory disabilities are most widespread. Lowered kitchen counters and appliances, widened doorways, modified bathrooms and showers, or other mobility devices are some of the features unique to accessible housing. Even basic accessibility features (such as an entrance with no steps or homes with no stairs and/or elevators) may be lacking in older housing developments.

**Fair Housing Enforcement**
The primary agencies/organizations devoted to fair housing issues are the Manatee County Redevelopment and Economic Opportunity Department and the Florida Commission on Human Relations. Additionally, the Manatee County Housing Authority (MCHA) is a primary resource regarding fair housing options and opportunities within Manatee County.

Several state and local fair housing laws pertain to Manatee County. Like the Federal Fair Housing Act, the state of Florida maintains the Florida Fair Housing Act. Additionally, the local government of Manatee County maintains a Manatee County Fair Housing Ordinance. Although these laws exist, there is no local enforcing authority or process for filing complaints, and it is unclear how information regarding Fair Housing is made available to the public.
3.0 Community Participation Process
This section of the AFH includes a description of the AFH community participation process. Manatee County Government along with the Manatee County Housing Authority (MCHA) undertook a number of activities to broaden and encourage meaningful community participation. Steps that were taken to ensure meaningful community participation are described herein.

3.1 Description of Outreach Activities
This section provides a description of outreach activities undertaken to encourage broad and meaningful community participation. This includes: (1) identification of media outlets used, including efforts to reach populations underrepresented in the planning process; (2) an explanation of how these efforts are designed to reach the broadest audience possible; and (3) for the MCHA, provides details regarding outreach to the Public Housing Authority (PHA) Resident Advisory Board.

Community Survey
A community survey was conducted to gain input from the public on issues pertaining to the topic of fair housing. In total, 212 responses were obtained the online survey monkey format and paper copies of the survey which were available in both English and Spanish. Copies of the survey were mailed to public housing residents, available at MCHA offices, Manatee County Government offices, and online.

Public Meetings/Hearings
Several public meetings were held to gather input on fair housing topics and issues in Manatee County. These meetings were advertised consistent with Manatee County’s Citizen Participation Plan and held with the following organizations and at the following times:

- Fair Housing Focus Group Meeting with People Assisting the Homeless (PATH) on September 15, 2016 at 9:00 a.m.
- Pine Village Residents’ Association (PHA Resident Advisory Board) Meeting on September 15, 2016 at 5:00 p.m.
- Board of County Commissioners (BOCC) Work Session on October 18, 2016 at 9:00 a.m.
- BOCC Public Hearing held on December 13, 2016 at 10:30 a.m.

R/ECAP Neighborhood Meetings
A neighborhood meeting was held for Manatee County’s three (3) HUD-designated Racially/Ethnically Concentrated Areas of Poverty (R/ECAPs). Although this meeting was held in the Samoset Community, the meeting location was in the vicinity of all of the County’s R/ECAPs. This meeting was advertised consistent with Manatee County’s Citizen Participation Plan and held at the following time:

- Samoset Community (R/ECAPs) Meeting on September 19, 2016 at 6:00 p.m.

Other Outreach
- Fair Housing Educational Brochures
- Facebook
- Neighborhood Newsletter
- Board of County Commissioner briefings
• Print Media – Advertisements were placed in the Bradenton Herald, 7Dias, and Tempo News
• Notices of hearings and meeting dates as well as links to Assessment of Fair Housing (AFH) were posted on www.mymanatee.org
• Draft of AFH Plan issued on October 29, 2016 through December 13, 2016 for a 45-day public comment period and placed on Manatee County Government and MCHA websites.

3.2 **List of Organizations Consulted**
This section of the AFH includes a list of organizations consulted during the community participation process. Below is a listing of organizations that participated in the development of the AFH:

- Bay Pines
- Career Source
- Family Resources
- Health Planning Council of Southwest Florida (HPCSWF)
- HOPE Family Services
- Jewish Family & Children's Service of the Suncoast (JFCS)
- Manatee County Board of County Commissioners
- Manatee County Housing Authority
- Manatee County Neighborhood Services Department
- Manatee Glens
- Manatee Religious Services
- People Assisting the Homeless (PATH)
- Pine Village Resident Association (PHA Resident Advisory Board)
- Samoset Neighborhood Association
- Selah Freedom
- Stillpoint
- Tidewell Hospice
- Turning Points
- United Way

3.3 **Evaluation of the Community Participation Efforts**
Community participation was advertised consistent with Manatee County’s Citizen Participation Plan and included a combination of direct mail and email invitation, social media, flyers/handouts, newsletters, newspaper advertisements, and website postings. In total, approximately 46 individuals participated in face-to-face meetings advertised during the AFH community participation process. The level of participation at these meetings was as expected. Excluding meeting facilitators, approximately 25 people attended the Fair Housing Focus Group Meeting with PATH, 11 people attended the PHA Resident Advisory Board Meeting with the Pine Village Residents’ Association, and 10 people attended the R/ECAP Meeting in the Samoset Community. Another 212 individuals participated in the community survey, either in paper format or online. The level of participation in the community survey was far greater than expected. Additionally, the BOCC work session and public hearing were advertised and open to the public, including the focused participation of the County’s elected and appointed officials.
3.4 Summary of All Comments

The following provides a summary of all comments received during the AFH community participation process:

Community Survey

The online community survey consisted of 13 multiple choice questions. Additionally, several questions included an open-ended “other” response option. Nearly half of the survey participants responded as White, non-Hispanic. Approximately 35 percent of survey participants responded as Black or African American and 10 percent responded as Hispanic or Latino. The remainder of participants responded as other races or a combination of races. Approximately 95 percent of survey participants were ages 21 to 74 years and the majority of survey participants were female. Approximately half of survey participants responded that their household’s income is less than $25,000 annually.

When asked if they are aware of the basic fair housing requirements that prohibit discrimination, approximately 85 percent responded “yes” they are aware. When asked if they believe housing discrimination is an issue in Manatee County, 36 percent responded “yes” it is an issue. Most of the reasons given for a positive (yes) response were that respondents have personal experience with discrimination, know people who have experienced discrimination, or have witnessed discrimination. Moreover, other reasons given for a positive (yes) response related to issues of affordability.

When asked if they have ever experienced housing discrimination, 20 percent responded positively with either “yes, I have” or “I think I may have”. Another 7 percent responded “I know someone who has” or “I think I may know someone who has” experienced housing discrimination. Most of the reasons given for a positive (yes) response were related to race or familial status.

When asked about the reasons that they believe or think that someone they know encountered housing discrimination, the most common responses were that housing providers refuse to rent or deal with a person (38.9 percent) and that housing providers falsely deny that housing is available (38.9 percent). Other common responses were that housing providers refuse to make reasonable accommodations for the disabled (12.5 percent), real estate agents direct people to certain neighborhoods (12.5 percent) or refuse to sell or deal with a person (11.1 percent), different terms and conditions are provided for renting based on race (12.5 percent), and discriminatory advertising (11.1 percent).

When asked on what basis they believe they or someone they know were discriminated against, the most common responses were race, color, and familial status. Other responses entered pertained to income and financial status, as well as background, age, and sexual identity. Half of respondents selected “not applicable”.

When asked if they have reported incidents of discrimination, the majority of respondents selected “not applicable”. Only four percent responded positively that “yes” they had reported the incident. Approximately 32 percent responded “no” they had not reported the incident. When asked why they had not reported the incident, 23 percent responded that they “do not believe it makes a difference”. Another six percent responded that they “do not know where to report,” four percent responded that
were “afraid of retaliation,” and four percent responded that it was “too much trouble” to report the incident. The majority of respondents selected “not applicable”.

When asked how well-informed they would say they were about housing discrimination, 29 percent responded that they were very informed, 32 percent responded they were somewhat informed, 18 percent responded that they were a little informed, and 20 percent responded that they were not at all informed. Several respondents selected “other” and indicated they only felt informed concerning rentals, or through the newspaper or a housing provider/non-profit.

When asked what they would do if they encountered housing discrimination, over half (55 percent) responded that they would report it. Approximately 17 percent responded that they would not know what to do and eight percent responded that would do nothing and seek other housing options. Approximately nine percent responded that they would tell the person that they believe they are discriminating. Of those that selected “other,” most responded that they would both tell the person and report it. One responded that evidence of discrimination would be difficult to obtain and another responded that they would contact a lawyer. One responded that their age makes reporting too much trouble, another responded that nothing can be done, and another responded that they would report it but did not know where to report it.

**Fair Housing Focus Group Meeting with People Assisting the Homeless (PATH)**

The Fair Housing Focus Group Meeting was held with PATH, the continuum of care for Manatee County, that is represented by individuals and agencies that work in law enforcement, mental health and substance abuse, employment services, social services, faith-based organizations, medical services, business, and housing services. Approximately 25 member-agency representatives attended the meeting. The meeting consisted of a fair housing presentation and open discussion about participant agency concerns pertaining to fair housing issues.

Participants identified several areas of concentrated poverty in Manatee County, including greater Palmetto, the Rubonia neighborhood, U.S. 41 and U.S. 301, and east County, where fair housing issues may occur.

Several participants in the meeting raised concerns that their clients have experienced discrimination. For example, one participating agency stated that many of their applicants have experienced age-based discrimination because they are young, not necessarily older; however, there is no immediate option to help them. Examples cited included discrimination against young single mothers with children. The participants discussed the current process to report discrimination and it was noted that the client/tenant must call to make a fair housing complaint and that the agency is not able to call on behalf of client.

Participating agencies suggested that there needs to be a “fair housing hotline” so that complaints can immediately be processed. Participants also suggested that there be a mentor or advocate system to help walk clients/tenants through the fair housing complaint process.
Multiple agencies stated that it is extremely difficult to find housing for people that have previously been arrested or evicted. These agencies are concerned that such clients/tenants are not a protected class and that such discrimination will continue to be a barrier for housing.

Participating agencies also stated that there are not enough affordable rental properties and that, of the available properties, most are in bad shape or have deplorable living conditions. Consequently, participants discussed the County’s housing code and the process for reporting code violations and enforcing housing standards. The meeting facilitators suggested that participating agencies contact Code Enforcement when they suspect a code violation.

**Pine Village Residents’ Association (PHA Resident Advisory Board)**
The PHA Resident Advisory Board Meeting was held with the residents in attendance at the monthly Pine Village Residents’ Association Meeting. Pine Village is a public housing subdivision in Manatee County. Seven residents, four staff from Housing Authority, and two staff from Manatee County attended the meeting. The meeting consisted of a fair housing presentation and open discussion about PHA resident concerns pertaining to fair housing issues.

The majority of public housing residents reported that they were pleased with the Housing Authority and did not feel discriminated against there. Residents also commented that the condition of the rental units and surrounding property were well-maintained and there were no complaints.

One resident commented that she was previously discriminated against because she was black, but that she felt she could not complain because she was afraid that she would not be able to get another unit in the future. Another resident commented that she, too, had felt she was discriminated against and that she contacted everyone she could, including the police, but did not get results. Other instances of previous discrimination included landlords advertising availability and then revoking availability upon meeting with the applicant or landlords charging “by the head” rather than by the unit. Some residents felt they were not informed of their rights with regard to fair housing.

The residents discussed the quality of the housing they had previously rented, and commented that it was not well-maintained. Four of the residents commented about the conditions of their prior housing situation and that the landlords refused to repair or fix broken items in the unit. It was felt that the landlords would rather kick them out and rent to someone else, than fix the problems. There is a need for better code enforcement. Some residents commented that there is a lack of affordable housing in Manatee County, and of the affordable housing, most units are unsafe or substandard.

**Samoset Community (R/ECAP) Meeting**
The R/ECAP Meeting was held in the Samoset Community to provide a forum on fair housing issues. Approximately 10 residents attended the meeting. The meeting consisted of an open discussion about community concerns, including fair housing issues.

During the meeting, residents voiced their concerns about the current condition of the community. Several community residents conveyed that they feel housing is “run-down” and that the government has “forgotten” them in lieu of other neighborhoods such as West Bradenton and East Bradenton.
There was also a comment about the need for street lighting to deter crime and keep the neighborhood safe. One resident commented that drug activity was more prevalent in the past, but recently declined. Another resident commented that there is a flooding problem since the Beall Distribution Center was constructed and that there is an issue with storm drains. Residents expressed the need for transportation to medical appointments, as well as sidewalks, stormwater maintenance (e.g. cleaning of drainage ditches), and a playground for children.

With regard to housing, there is a perception that the neighborhood had an older owner population but that rentals have taken over. Residents commented that housing should be held to a higher standard and that the County needs to enforce the housing standards and building code. Overall, the residents in attendance stated they chose to live in the area because their families have been there for many years, that homes are affordable, and they feel safe in the community.
4.0 Assessment of Past Goals and Actions

This section of the AFH includes an assessment of the County and MCHA’s past fair housing goals and actions. This look back provision is required to assess progress made towards those fair housing goals previously set. First, the identification of what fair housing goals were selected by program participant(s) in recent Analyses of Impediments, Assessments of Fair Housing, or other relevant planning documents. Following is an evaluation of past fair housing goals/actions includes:

1. A discussion of what progress has been made in their achievement
2. A discussion of how past goals have influenced the selection of current goals
3. A discussion of additional policies, actions, or steps that address fair housing issues

4.1 Progress Made Toward Achievement of Past Goals

Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (2010)
Seven barriers to fair and affordable housing were identified in the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice Report, prepared by J-Quad and Associates in 2005 and updated in 2010 by Manatee County’s Neighborhood Services Department. This report also identified corrective actions to overcome these barriers.

During 2010, Manatee County and the City of Bradenton held four joint focus groups to solicit input on impediments to fair housing. Subsequently, the County and City decided to provide individual analyses for their respective jurisdictions.

Manatee County staff continues to work on developing strategies to address the identified impediments. Efforts to eliminate and/or reduce the impediments will be taken during the next year.

It is important to note that Manatee County’s capacity to engage in corrective actions is based almost entirely on funds obtained from federal and state government. At the federal level, these funds come through CDBG and HOME. At the state level, resources have been provided in the past by the State Housing Initiatives Partnership (SHIP) Program funded through the State Housing Trust Fund; however, in recent years, these funds have been severely constrained due to the economic downturn.

Barriers to fair and affordable housing were identified in the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice Report as follows.

During 2015, the Fair Housing Continuum applied for a federal grant to do fair housing education and potentially to deal with fair housing violations in Manatee County. In support of the effort, Manatee County has made available meeting locations within the libraries.

Impediment 1: Development Costs for Housing

Corrective Actions: Manatee County should continue to support local affordable housing providers. The County should continue to support the Manatee County Affordable Housing Advisory Committee and Rapid Response Program to address the concerns and needs of developers.
The County should market various programs and services that can assist developers in the production of affordable housing units. The County has already initiated the Reduced Impact Fees schedule for affordable housing. In addition, Manatee County has developed an Impact Fee Credit Program. This program allows a developer/builder to apply for impact fee reimbursement upon the sale of an affordable housing unit to a low/mod income eligible, first-time homebuyer. Manatee County has begun land subsidies through the conveyance of County property to non-profit developers and should continue to explore the elimination of permitting fees. Tax abatements should also be explored as additional incentives for developers.

Achievements
- The Manatee County Affordable Housing Advisory Committee continues to make recommendations on regulatory barriers, incentives, and other additional methods to create and maintain affordable housing in Manatee County.
- The County adopted Ordinance 05-30 on May 3, 2005, which authorizes the conveyance of County owned property to nonprofit housing organizations to develop affordable housing as available.
- The County continues to support an Impact Fee Program for Affordable Housing that utilizes SHIP and General Revenue funds. The County used $66,360.73 of impact fees in the 2015-16 PY to pay developers of affordable housing.
- The County continues to support a program that allows for refunds on permit and review fees to developers of affordable housing. During PY 2015-16, permit fees of $9,632.31 were paid to developers of affordable housing.
- Two residential properties were acquired by Manatee County, to be conveyed to a non-profit agency and be utilized as affordable housing.

Impediment 2: Inadequate Financial Literacy Education
Corrective Actions: Manatee County should address the importance of financial literacy in the public-school system. The County should support an agency that can design a class that educates students on proactive financial planning, credit management, and debt-to-income ratio. The goal should be to provide education to students before they enter adulthood and make careless credit decisions.

The County should work with housing advocates to continue homeownership counseling and downpayment assistance for residents that addresses topics on credit worthiness, financing, and homeowner responsibilities. The emphasis should be to encourage residents to transition from renters to homeowners.

The County should continue to encourage lending institutions to do more to market alternative methods for qualifying residents for mortgages. Many lending institutions have qualifying programs that accept utility bills, car payments, and occupational longevity to establish credit and offer subprime mortgages for those with “less than perfect credit”. The County has added Spanish literature over the past few years and has a translator present at public meetings to communicate more effectively with the Hispanic population. The County’s website should be utilized more for financial literacy education and the County
should start advertising those opportunities for the Hispanic community through a local Hispanic newspaper.

Achievements

- During the 2015-2016 program year, a homebuyer and education program was administered through the Manatee Community Action Agency and Catholic Charities.

Impediment 3: Disparity of Loan Originations Among Racial Groups

Corrective Actions: The County should work with lending institutions to target ways to lessen the disparity among minority loan applicants. Part of the recommended strategy should be to share Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) data with lending institutions to determine where minorities fall short in their qualifications and help them remedy their issues. Lending institutions should continue to work on overcoming communication barriers with the Hispanic population.

Achievements

- During the 2015-2016 program year, down payment closing cost assistance to low- moderate income households to lower lender exposure on loan originations was provided.

Impediment 4: Lack of Local Enforcement of Fair Housing Law

Corrective Actions: The County should continue to seek ways to coordinate fair housing activities, outreach, and enforcement for residents in Manatee County.

Restructuring of County government since 2005 has resulted in the coordination of services, which eliminated duplication of efforts, confusion of reporting, and stretched the County budget for additional fair housing activity. The County continues to look for ways to streamline its processes and save money.

The County should continue to conduct outreach programs to educate the public on fair housing practices. These programs should target fair housing violations, education, and enforcement issues. Programs will continue to be scheduled on a regular basis and target all racial and income groups.

The County should consider seeking designation of a Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP) as a means of providing additional enforcement in fair housing for the region, once economic conditions improve.

Achievements

- Manatee County adopted a new Fair Housing Ordinance on 9/25/12 establishing County staff responsibilities for education, outreach, and complaint issues.
- During the 2012-13 program year, Manatee and Sarasota County staff met for a preliminary discussion on the formation of a joint Fair Housing Assistance Program (FHAP).
- There has been no further process due to staff re-assignment resulting from the recession.
Impediment 5: Predatory Lending
Corrective Actions: The County should continue to encourage lending institutions to locate in low-income census tracts. The emphasis should be to establish or reestablish checking, saving, and credit accounts for residents that commonly utilize check cashing services. Lending institutions should be encouraged to tailor products to meet past financial deficiencies of residents. The County has taken steps to ensure that potential homebuyers that are obtaining County financial assistance receive fixed rate loan products with the lowest interest rate possible, based upon the borrower’s qualifications, at the time of closing. In addition, the County has put policy into place allowing the refinance with subordination of SHIP or HOME liens to a fixed rate product with no “cash out” at closing.

Achievements
- Lenders providing Down Payment Closing Cost Assistance must be certified by Manatee County Housing and Community Development Manager, for participation in down payment closing cost assistance with loan products that deter predatory lending.

Impediment 6: Limited Income
Corrective Actions: The County should continue to support activities sponsored by the local Chamber of Commerce and the Economic Development Corporation to recruit more corporations and manufacturing firms to the area. These organizations recruit firms that typically pay higher wages than service industry jobs and offer additional training and educational opportunities for residents.

The County should continue to encourage expansion of existing businesses and local area employers to provide Employee Assisted Housing through down payment assistance, compensatory day with pay for closing activities, low interest rate loans, and homeownership education classes.

The County should continue to support agencies that provide workforce development programs and continuing education courses to increase the educational level of residents. The goal should be to increase the GED, high school graduation, technical training, and college matriculation rates among residents.

Since 2009, the County’s Economic Development Division’s (EDD) efforts have brought new companies to Manatee County and substantially increased the number of higher paying employment opportunities available within the community. The EDD continues to work with the business community to relocate new industry/companies to Manatee County.

Achievements
- The County provides financial support to the Manatee County Chamber of Commerce and its Economic Development Council from the County general fund.
- With CDBG program funding, the County supported Central Economic Development Center and Suncoast Community Capital for the purpose of promoting and supporting micro enterprises and entrepreneurs in Manatee County. This will aid in the development and growth of small businesses and provide employment opportunities within LMI neighborhoods.
• The Economic Development Division continues to relocate new industry/companies to Manatee County, creating new higher paying jobs.
• In FY 15/16 the Bradenton Area Economic Development Corporation (BAEDC) focused on existing industry in Manatee County, as 90% of new jobs created come from this sector. In addition, the BAEDC continued marketing and outreach to international prospects building on local resources such as Port Manatee, Sarasota-Bradenton International Airport, existing global companies, business friendly environment, and quality of life.
• The BAEDC’s efforts resulted in 9 companies being approved for expansion and/or relocation incentives, resulting in 825 jobs projected to be created through 2021.
• Manatee County provided staff and financial support to the BAEDC workforce liaison, CareerSource Suncoast, Career Edge, Central Economic Development Center and Suncoast Community Capital to provide workforce development programs.
• County staff supported economic development with contract management for 68 EDI grants; rapid response permitting for 59 companies, project scoping and assistance with information for 94 companies during FY 15/16.

Impediment 7: New Financing Requirements

Corrective Actions: Fear and excessive losses in the banking industry have caused lending institutions to go from very relaxed and perhaps irresponsible lending practices to extremely conservative, traditional underwriting. High risk loan products have been eliminated. Lending institutions need to stop overreacting to a crisis that they were partially responsible for creating, and go back to a more “middle of the road” type of loan approval process.

Progress in addressing the identified barriers to fair housing choice will be monitored by the Neighborhood Services Department and, in cooperation with the Equal Opportunity Administrator and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Liaison, will make annual reports to the Manatee County Affordable Housing Advisory Committee (AHAC) and the Board of County Commissioners regarding the status of fair housing in Manatee County.

Achievements
• No activities addressing this impediment occurred during the program year.
• 10/1/2016 - will pursue in subsequent years as staffing allows

Manatee County Housing Authority 2012-2017 Five-Year Plan
Manatee County Housing Authority has provided the following Fair Housing Activities to further Fair Housing for clients, during the 2012-2017 Five-Year Plan:

• Public Housing Residents on admission receive the publication Fair Housing it is your Right.
• The Family Self Sufficiency and Resident Opportunities for Self Sufficiency coordinators provide monthly Fair Housing articles in the resident newsletter. This is documented in the grant reporting model for HUD as a Family Self-sufficiency program goal.
The Public Housing Office displays Fair Housing posters and information at various locations and meeting rooms. When the Public Housing Office receives a telephone call it is received from any person requesting Fair Housing assistance the Atlanta complaint center telephone number is provided and available.

The MCHA office receives many walk-in clients looking for rental assistance and affordable rentals so the information is available to the public.

Housing Choice Voucher program participants received a fair housing flyer along with a current income census tract map during the orientation appointment. The flyer explains the fair housing rights provided by HUD and the income census tract gives the participant the areas of high concentration of poverty in a given area within Manatee County to encourage locating available rental units outside of the concentration of poverty. The Housing Choice Voucher program displays fair housing information within the lobby including the telephone number for fair housing complaints. The Housing Choice Voucher program office is open to the public, clients and Landlords that participate in our program. All visitors to our office lobby have access to the information on display within the office lobby. The Housing Choice Voucher office also receives telephone calls requesting assistance with Fair Housing and provides the Atlanta office telephone number for additional assistance.

4.2 Success/Shortfalls of Achieving Goals

Manatee County and the Manatee County Housing Authority have successfully implemented ongoing consultation, education and workshops for the community. Affirmatively furthering fair housing continues to be a priority and recent community surveys and outreach have shown a concern for several items which are fair housing issues. Past goals and issues are starting points for current and future goals and strategies.

**Manatee County**

**Successes:**

- Through the Homebuyer Education, potential homeowners were educated on their rights to Fair Housing
- Established the Affordable Housing Advisory Committee to assess barriers to affordable housing within Manatee County’s Land Development Code and Comprehensive Plan Housing Element
- Established and implemented the housing incentives to encourage affordable housing developments and impact fee refunds for development of affordable single family housing.
- Through utilization of the State Housing Initiative Partnership program, Manatee County has assisted low income households in realizing homeownership
- With CDBG program funding, the County supported the Central Economic Development Center and Suncoast Community Capital for promoting and supporting micro enterprises and entrepreneurs in Manatee County.
- The County has taken steps to ensure that potential homebuyers that are obtaining County financial assistance receive fixed rate loan products with the lowest interest rate possible, based upon the borrower’s qualifications, at the time of closing. In addition, the County has put policy
into place allowing the refinance with subordination of a SHIP or HOME lien to a fixed rate product with no “cash out” at closing.

Shortfalls:

- Due to lack of organizations to provide Financial Literacy education, Manatee County was not able to initiate this activity.
- Due to reduction of staff during the recession, outreach to lending institutions was limited, however, staff has now begun initiating building relationships with local lenders within CDBG blighted communities and in the implementation of its Down-Payment Assistance program.
- Manatee County pursued preliminary discussion in the establishment of a Fair Housing Assistance Program, however, due to loss of staff during the recession, was not able to move forward.

**Manatee County Housing Authority (MCHA)**

Successes:

- Providing housing assistance through HUD funding to all protected classes based on income and eligibility.
- Providing education of Fair Housing Laws through Resident interaction.
- Providing increased earned income through Self Sufficiency programs.
- Providing Housing Choice by use of vouchers and encouraging selection of housing outside of concentrated poverty areas.
- Encouraging home ownership and graduation from assisted housing.

Shortfalls:

- Diverse landlord participation in areas of low poverty that will accept HCV Vouchers.
- Increase the affordable rental unit inventory to assist more families.
- Limited funding from HUD to assist more families within the HCV program.
- Local Fair Housing office for enforcement of violations and discrimination complaints.

Additionally, the County and MCHA have conducted surveys, questionnaires and community meetings to develop a dialogue to identify current concerns and plan strategies to be implemented in planning documents. The MCHA successfully administers affordable housing units within the Manatee County region. Affordable units are limited to funding, and there is a limit on the number of quality units that are available at market rates.

### 4.3 Policies, Actions or Steps That Could Be Taken to Achieve Past Goals

Several steps could be taken to achieve past goals. Through outreach, data collection, and analysis, the following potential policies, actions and steps were identified:
• The adoption of a local fair housing ordinance that is substantially equivalent to the Fair Housing Act of 1968 would be a significant step in providing for local fair housing enforcement.
• More stringent enforcement and inspection of rental units
• Develop a more defined procedure and policy for the receipt of fair housing complaints

4.4 Influence of Past Goals on Selection of Current Goals
Past goals heavily influenced the development of current goals. Manatee County and MCHA work hard to reach established goals. A major problem with past goals is that goals are not always within the capability of the responsible participants. As goals become too difficult, performance suffers and thus the goals are perceived to be unreasonable and unattainable.

In the development of new goals, the County and MCHA focused on creating goals that are achievable, attainable, and within the power of the respective agencies to complete.

That said, given that past goals were set within the past five years, some goals still apply in 2016. When evaluating past goals, it has become apparent that some existing goals are still applicable today. Some fair housing issues that existed over the past five years are still prevalent today. That said, the County and MCHA have chosen to keep these goals with minor modifications to accommodate the new AFH format.
5.0 Analysis of Fair Housing Issues

This section contains an assessment of key fair housing issues. These topics will enable program participants to identify and discuss fair housing issues arising from the combined analysis of HUD-provided data, local data, and local knowledge. The Code of Federal Regulations defines a fair housing issue as “a condition in a program participant’s geographic area of analysis that restricts fair housing choice or access to opportunity, and includes such conditions as ongoing local or regional segregation or lack of integration, racially or ethnically concentrated areas of poverty, significant disparities in access to opportunity, disproportionate housing needs, and evidence of discrimination or violations of civil rights law or regulations related to housing." Some of the most common fair housing issues as identified by HUD include:

- Integration and segregation patterns based on race, color, religion, sex, familial status, national origin, and disability within the jurisdiction and region;
- Racially or ethnically concentrated areas of poverty (R/ECAPs) within the jurisdiction and region;
- Significant disparities in access to opportunity for any protected class within the jurisdiction and region; and
- Disproportionate housing needs for any protected class within the jurisdiction and region.

By identifying these issues, program participants will determine the significant contributing factors and related fair housing issues facing the jurisdiction and the region.

5.1 Demographic Summary

5.1.1 Demographic Patterns & Trends Over Time

The racial/ethnic composition of Manatee County and the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region is predominately White. According to HUD documentation, 76% of Manatee County’s total population is White and 80% of the region’s population is White (See Table 1). In Manatee County, the remaining racial/ethnic groups are measured as 7% Black; 14% Hispanic; 2% Asian or Pacific Islander; less than 1% Native American; and less than 1% Other.

The greater region of North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton has a smaller minority population than Manatee County with 19% minority compared to the County’s 23%. The minority population of the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region is composed of 6% Black; 11% Hispanic, 2% Asian or Pacific Islander; less than 1% Native American; and less than 1% Other.

In Manatee County, the most common countries of National Origin, outside of the United States of America, are Mexico (3.34%), Canada (1.29%), Cuba (0.73%), Haiti (0.68%), and Germany (0.65%). This varies only slightly to the greater North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region where the most common countries of National Origin are Mexico (2.44%), Canada (1.11%), Cuba (0.80%), Germany (0.65%) and Haiti (0.42%), with a higher percentage of Germans than Haitians.
An individual who does not speak English as their primary language can be limited English proficient, or “LEP”. The native language of those individuals is often referred to as a LEP Language. In Manatee County, the most common LEP Languages are Spanish (5.15%), French Creole (0.29%), French (0.24%), Vietnamese (0.19%), and Chinese (0.16%). In the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region, the most common LEP Languages are Spanish (3.98%), Vietnamese (0.19%), French Creole (0.18%), French (0.18%), and Polish (0.17%). Further information regarding the 10 most common countries of origin and the 10 most common LEP languages can be found in Table 1.

Ambulatory difficulty is the most common disability type in Manatee County and the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region, representing 7% and 8% of the population, respectively. In Manatee County this is followed by hearing difficulty (5%), independent living difficulty (5%), cognitive difficulty (5%), self-care difficulty (2%), and vision difficulty (2%). A similar distribution of disability types is found in the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region. Following ambulatory difficulty, the most common disabilities are hearing difficulty (5%), independent living difficulty (5%), cognitive difficulty (5%), self-care difficulty (3%), and vision difficulty (2%). Table 1 depicts the number of individuals affected by each disability type.

Table 1 also illustrates the population composition by sex, age, and family type for Manatee County and the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region. In Manatee County, 49% of the population is male and 52% of the population is female. The North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region reflects a similar distribution, where 48% of the population is male and 52% of the population is female. In Manatee County, 20% of the population is under the age of 18, and 24% of the population is over the age of 65. The North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region reflects similar numbers with 18% of the population younger than age 18 and 28% older than age 65. Nearly 34% of Manatee County’s population includes families with children, compared to 31% of the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region.
### Table 1: Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>(Manatee County, FL CDBG, HOME, ESG) Jurisdiction</th>
<th>(North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL CBSA) Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>197,249</td>
<td>75.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>17,955</td>
<td>6.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>35,511</td>
<td>13.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>4,747</td>
<td>1.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>0.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National Origin Country</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1 country of origin</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2 country of origin</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3 country of origin</td>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>Cuba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4 country of origin</td>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5 country of origin</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Haiti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6 country of origin</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>England</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#7 country of origin</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8 country of origin</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Other UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#9 country of origin</td>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>Poland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#10 country of origin</td>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>Jamaica</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Language</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1 LEP Language</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2 LEP Language</td>
<td>French Creole</td>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3 LEP Language</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>French Creole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4 LEP Language</td>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>French</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5 LEP Language</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>Polish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6 LEP Language</td>
<td>German</td>
<td>Russian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#7 LEP Language</td>
<td>Hungarian</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8 LEP Language</td>
<td>Gujarati</td>
<td>German</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#9 LEP Language</td>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>Other Slavic Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#10 LEP Language</td>
<td>Portuguese</td>
<td>Hungarian</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disability Type</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hearing difficulty</td>
<td>12,506</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>36,449</td>
<td>5.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision difficulty</td>
<td>5,609</td>
<td>2.24%</td>
<td>15,366</td>
<td>2.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive difficulty</td>
<td>11,596</td>
<td>4.64%</td>
<td>31,992</td>
<td>4.75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory difficulty</td>
<td>18,519</td>
<td>7.40%</td>
<td>55,125</td>
<td>8.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-care difficulty</td>
<td>6,075</td>
<td>2.43%</td>
<td>17,544</td>
<td>2.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent living difficulty</td>
<td>11,660</td>
<td>4.66%</td>
<td>34,282</td>
<td>5.09%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>125,898</td>
<td>48.50%</td>
<td>337,156</td>
<td>48.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>133,690</td>
<td>51.50%</td>
<td>365,125</td>
<td>51.99%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 18</td>
<td>51,941</td>
<td>20.01%</td>
<td>126,018</td>
<td>17.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-64</td>
<td>145,130</td>
<td>55.91%</td>
<td>382,927</td>
<td>54.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>62,517</td>
<td>24.08%</td>
<td>193,336</td>
<td>27.53%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family Type</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Families with children</td>
<td>24,417</td>
<td>33.58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: All % represent a share of the total population within the jurisdiction or region, except family type, which is out of total families.

Note 2: 10 most populous places of birth and languages at the jurisdiction level may not be the same as the 10 most populous at the Region level, and are thus labeled separately.

Note 3: Data Sources: Decennial Census; ACS

Note 4: Refer to the Data Documentation for details (www.hudexchange.info).
Manatee County and the greater North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region have both experienced demographic changes in their population over last 20 years. The percentage of White population decreased in both jurisdictions. In 1990 in Manatee County, 90% of the population was White, whereas in 2010 this group represented 76%. This decrease was less dramatic in the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region, where 90% of the population was White in 1990 and 80% was White in 2010.

Table 2 shows that all minority groups experienced some growth over the same 20-year period. The Hispanic population experienced the most growth in both Manatee County and the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region. In Manatee County, the Hispanic population accounted for just 4% of the population in 1990, but by 2010 it accounted for 14% of the population. Similarly, in the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region, the Hispanic population represented just 3% of the total population in 1990. Over the next 20 years the percentage of Hispanic population grew to 11%.

The percentages of foreign-born individuals and those with limited English proficiency have also increased in Manatee County and the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region. In 1990, just 5% of Manatee County’s population was foreign-born, but by 2010 the percentage increased to 13%. The North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region experienced a similar shift in the foreign-born population; in 1990, 6% of its population was foreign-born and, in 2010, 13% of its population was foreign-born. The proportion of foreign-born individuals has a close link to those with limited English proficiency. In Manatee County, approximately 3% of the population had limited English proficiency in 1990. This increased to 5% in 2000 and 7% in 2010. In the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region, approximately 3% of the population had limited English proficiency in 1990, 5% in 2000, and 6% in 2010.

The age profiles of the population in Manatee County and North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton are similar. The percentage of the population under age 18 in Manatee County was 19% in 1990 and 20% in 2010. From 1990 to 2010, the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region experienced a similar 1% increase in the population under age 18, from 17% to 18%. Table 2 displays a general decrease in the population over age 65. In Manatee County, the percentage of the population over age 65 was 29% in 1990, but decreased to 24% in 2010. Approximately 30% of the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region’s population was over age 65 in 1990, but decreased to 28% by 2010. A younger population in the region is supported by the trend that the proportion of families with children is increasing. In Manatee County, there were 13,622 families with children in 1990, or 29% of total families. This increased to 24,417 families in 2010, or 34% of total families. There were 41,486 families with children in the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region in 1990, or 28% of total families, but in 2010 this number increased to 60,731, or 31%.
5.1.2 Housing Tenure Location & Trends Over Time

According to data accessed from HUD CPD Maps, the majority of the County’s housing units are owner-occupied; however, renter-occupied units predominate in certain areas of the County. Areas where more than 50% of the housing units are renter-occupied include Bradenton’s eastern downtown (east of 14th St. W. and north of 20th Ave. E.), as well as the areas of West Samoset (east of DeSoto Square Mall) and South Bradenton (south of 50th Ave. W., east of 31st St. W., west of 14th St. W., and north of 57th Ave. W.). Additional areas of predominately renter-occupied units include the area west of Bennett Park; the area between 15th St. E. and 9th St. E. (south of 53rd Ave. E. and north of 63rd Ave. E.); and the area just north of the Manatee County Golf Course on Cortez Rd. The location of homeowners and renters has remained relatively constant since 1990.

5.2 General Issues

5.2.1 Segregation/Integration

Segregation Levels

It is important that individuals be able to choose where they prefer to live without regard to race, color, religion, national origin, sex, familial status or disability. An analysis of segregation and integration serves to ensure that communities provide open and fair access to residential neighborhoods. While individuals are free to choose where they prefer to live, the Fair Housing Act prohibits policies and actions by entities and individuals that deny choice or access to housing or opportunity through the segregation of protected classes.

A dissimilarity index is used to measure the degree to which two groups are evenly distributed across a geographic area. It is a tool used to assess residential segregation between two groups. The dissimilarity index provides values ranging from 0 to 100, where higher numbers indicate a higher degree of
segregation among the two groups measured. The table below, Table 3(A), demonstrates the general relationship between dissimilarity index values and the level of segregation.

### Table 3(A): Dissimilarity Index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dissimilarity Index Value (0-100)</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Level of Segregation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-39</td>
<td>Low Segregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-54</td>
<td>Moderate Segregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-100</td>
<td>High Segregation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, context is important in interpreting the dissimilarity index. The index does not indicate spatial patterns of segregation, just the relative degree of segregation; and, for populations that are small in absolute number, the dissimilarity index may be high even if the group’s members are evenly distributed throughout the area. The index measures only two groups at a time, and therefore it is less reliable as a measure of segregation in areas with multiple racial or ethnic groups.

**Segregation Levels and Trends over Time (Since 1990)**

In general, Manatee County experiences low to moderate segregation between different racial groups. The Black/White dissimilarity index is the highest with a value of 52.40. However, it is important to note that the Black/White dissimilarity index shows a decrease since 1990 when the value was at 73.57. While there is still room for improvement, Table 3(B) shows the dissimilarity index value for all racial group comparisons declined between 1990 and 2010, with the exception of Asian or Pacific Islander/White. The Asian or Pacific Islander/White dissimilarity index shows a slight increase over the past 20 years, with a value of 29.01 in 1990 and a value of 31.23 in 2010.

The North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region has similar values of dissimilarity to Manatee County, but likely struggles with more instances of segregation between Black/White racial groups. In 2010 the highest dissimilarity index value was between Black and White populations at 58.83. This value has slightly decreased from 1990 when it was recorded at 74.67. In 2010 the remaining dissimilarity index values suggested low to moderate segregation. Since 1990, segregation has decreased between Black and White and between Non-White and White populations, but increased between Hispanic and White and Asian or Pacific Islander and White populations. In 1990 the Hispanic/White dissimilarity index was 41.34 and in 2010 it increased to 44.25. Similarly, in 1990 the Asian or Pacific Islander/White dissimilarity index was 24.72 and in 2010 it increased to 28.94.
Table 3(B): Racial/Ethnic Dissimilarity Trends

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Racial/Ethnic Dissimilarity Index</th>
<th>(Manatee County, FL CDBG, HOME, ESG) Jurisdiction</th>
<th>(North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL CBSA) Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-White/White</td>
<td>58.48</td>
<td>49.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/White</td>
<td>73.57</td>
<td>63.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/White</td>
<td>46.72</td>
<td>47.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander/White</td>
<td>29.01</td>
<td>24.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: Data Sources: Decennial Census

Note 2: Refer to the Data Documentation for details (www.hudexchange.info).

Areas with Relatively High Segregation/Integration

Figures 1-3 show areas of segregation and integration by race/ethnicity, national origin, or LEP group, and indicates the predominant groups living in Manatee County.

Race/ethnicity segregation and integration patterns are evident in Figure 1. The County’s White population is distributed throughout Manatee County; however, other race/ethnicity groups are more concentrated in Bradenton’s downtown and the areas along and generally between U.S. 41 and U.S. 301. The County’s Black population has a greater presence in Palmetto and downtown Bradenton and the County’s Hispanic population is more represented southeast of Bradenton. In general, the population of other less represented race/ethnicity groups (i.e., Native American, Asian or Pacific Islander, and Other) is distributed throughout Manatee County.

Figure 1: Segregation/Integration by Race/Ethnicity (2010)
National Origin segregation patterns are evident in Figure 2 from the high concentration of individuals from Mexico in southeast Bradenton and within the County’s southernmost R/ECAP area, as well as Palmetto. Individuals from Canada are distributed throughout the urban County but are most concentrated in the southern County between SR 70 and University Parkway near I-75. In general, more integrated areas of National Origin generally include southeast Bradenton, Palmetto, and the area between SR 70 and University Parkway near I-75.

**Figure 2: Segregation/Integration by National Origin**

LEP segregation patterns are evident in Figure 3 from the concentration of Spanish-speaking individuals in southeast Bradenton and within the County’s southernmost R/ECAP area, as well as Palmetto and the area between SR 70 and University Parkway near I-75. The distribution of other languages is more limited but less concentrated in any particular area.
Housing Tenure and Segregation/Integration
Considering the HUD CPD Maps data and the AFFH Data and Mapping Tool, in general, areas of segregation are characterized by a higher percentage of renter-occupied units, with the exception of the Palmetto area, which has a higher percentage of owner-occupied units.

Renter-occupied units are concentrated near downtown Bradenton (generally east of 14th St. West and north of 20th Ave. E.) as well as in West Samoset and South Bradenton. Unlike owner-occupied units, areas with higher concentrations of renter-occupied units are not specific to any particular race/ethnicity, National Origin, or LEP group, but do correspond to more urban areas of the County.

Patterns of Segregation and Trends over Time (Since 1990)
According to the AFFH Data and Mapping Tool (Race/Ethnicity Trends, 1990 and 2000), patterns of segregation and integration have stayed relatively constant since 1990, with the exception of southeast Bradenton, in which the Black and Hispanic population has become increasingly concentrated since 1990. See Figure 1 compared to Figures 4-5.
Figure 4: Segregation/Integration by Race/Ethnicity (1990)
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Figure 5: Segregation/Integration by Race/Ethnicity (2000)
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Demographic Trends, Policies, or Practices That Could Lead to Segregation

Future population projections for Manatee County, as sourced from University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR), medium projections, indicate a significant change in population toward the year 2020. The projected population is expected to increase by 10% between the year 2015 and the year 2020, an increase that could influence segregation via changing development patterns. However, there are no specific zoning and land-use policies in Manatee County that encourage higher segregation. Current zoning regulations do not discriminate based on race/ethnicity, national origin, LEP, income level, or family status. Residential and related neighborhood commercial uses are permitted throughout the County. The current distribution of housing in Manatee County results from historical patterns of development as well as market demand. Existing demographic disparities result, in part, from a combination of market forces that produce lower home values in some areas and higher home values in others. These forces include aging housing stock and lack of access to desired amenities.

Contributing Factors of Segregation

- Lack of community revitalization strategies; High Priority
- Lack of private investments in specific neighborhoods; High Priority
- Lack of public investments in specific neighborhoods, including services or amenities; High Priority
- Location and type of affordable housing; High Priority

Contributing factors of segregation include higher levels of poverty as well as lower levels of educational attainment, income, and language proficiency when compared to Manatee County as a whole, resulting in a lack of economic opportunity that limits housing choice.
5.2.2 R/ECAPs
A racially or ethnically concentrated area of poverty (R/ECAP) is a geographic area with significant concentrations of poverty and minority concentrations. A large body of research has consistently found that the problems associated with segregation are intensified when combined with concentrated poverty. Neighborhoods of concentrated poverty may isolate residents from the resources and networks needed. Concentrated poverty has also been found to have a long-term effect on outcomes for children growing up in these neighborhoods related to a variety of indicators, including crime, health and education, future employment, and lifetime earnings. A R/ECAP analysis addresses concerns raised in the legislative history of the Fair Housing Act. The 1968 Kerner Commission on Civil Disorders acknowledged that “segregation” and poverty “create “a destructive environment”.

R/ECAP Census Tracts within the Jurisdiction
In Manatee County, there are three (3) R/ECAP census tracts (see Figures 1-3, area outlined in purple). The County’s current R/ECAPs are located near the City of Bradenton. The northern R/ECAP is located southeast of downtown Bradenton, between 1st St./U.S. 41 and 15th St. E. (south of U.S. 301 and north of 44th Ave. E./Cortez Rd.). The southern R/ECAP is located south of Bradenton, between 14th St. W. and 15th St. E. (south of 53rd Ave. E. and north of 63rd Ave. E.).

Protected Classes Disproportionately Residing in R/ECAPs
Both of these R/ECAPs are urban neighborhoods in character and contain a greater concentration of Black and Hispanic population than the surrounding area. With that, there is also a significant White population in these neighborhoods, indicating some integration.

In terms of National Origin and LEP, the southern R/ECAP contains a significant concentration of persons from Mexico and a Spanish-speaking population with limited English proficiency; whereas the northern R/ECAP does not.

Table 4 of the AFFH Data and Mapping Tool identities the R/ECAPs in Manatee County and the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region at the time of the decennial census. In Manatee County, the race/ethnicity of the population in R/ECAPs is predominately White (32%) and Hispanic (48%), with a significant Black population (18%). Compared to the region’s R/ECAPs, Manatee County’s R/ECAPs have a higher percentage of Hispanic population and a lower percentage of Black population. Other race/ethnicity groups comprise less than 1% of the R/ECAP population in both Manatee County and the region. Over half (52%) of the population in Manatee County’s R/ECAPs are families with children. Of the population in Manatee County’s R/ECAPs, approximately 13% originated in Mexico, 3% originated in El Salvador, and 1% originated in Guatemala.
Table 4: R/ECAP Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R/ECAP Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>(Manatee County, FL CDBG, HOME, ESG) Jurisdiction</th>
<th>(North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL CBSA) Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population in R/ECAPs</td>
<td>14,795</td>
<td>18,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>4,724</td>
<td>4,942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>2,592</td>
<td>5,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>7,156</td>
<td>7,401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R/ECAP Family Type</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Families in R/ECAPs</td>
<td>3,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Families with children</td>
<td>1,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R/ECAP National Origin</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population in R/ECAPs</td>
<td>14,795</td>
<td>18,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1 country of origin</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2 country of origin</td>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>El Salvador</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3 country of origin</td>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>Haiti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4 country of origin</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Guatemala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#5 country of origin</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Cuba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#6 country of origin</td>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#7 country of origin</td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>Vietnam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#8 country of origin</td>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>Honduras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#9 country of origin</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#10 country of origin</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: 10 most populous groups at the jurisdiction level may not be the same as the 10 most populous at the Region level, and are thus labeled separately.

Note 2: Data Sources: Decennial Census; ACS

Note 3: Refer to the Data Documentation for details (www.hudexchange.info).

**R/ECAPs and Trends over Time (since 1990)**

Looking back in time, no R/ECAPs were identified for the year 1990 or the year 2000.

**Contributing Factors of R/ECAPs**

- Deteriorated and abandoned properties; High Priority
- Lack of community revitalization strategies; High Priority
- Lack of private investments in specific neighborhoods; High Priority
- Lack of public investments in specific neighborhoods, including services or amenities
- Location and type of affordable housing; High Priority

Contributing factors include higher levels of poverty and lower levels of educational attainment, income, and language proficiency in R/ECAP Census Tracts when compared to Manatee County as whole, resulting in a lack of economic opportunity for persons living in R/ECAPs that limits housing choice.
5.2.3 Disparities in Access to Opportunity

An opportunity analysis promotes the purposes of the Fair Housing Act, as described in the legislative history and reflected in the statute and regulations. As Congress was working to pass the Fair Housing Act, Senator Phillip Hart emphasized the relationship between housing and opportunity stating, “where a family lives, where it is allowed to live is inextricably bound up with better education, better jobs, economic motivation, and good living conditions”.

Because housing is part of a community, an important component of fair housing planning is to assess how a person’s place of residence, public and private investment choices, and state and local policies relating to schools, transportation, employment, environmental health, and community development affect access to opportunity, and which individuals and groups with protected characteristics are most affected by a lack of, or inability to access, opportunity.

Addressing disparities in access to opportunity may involve a balanced approach that provides for both strategic investments in areas that lack key opportunity indicators, and also works to open up housing opportunities in areas with existing opportunity through effective mobility options and the preservation and development of affordable housing in high opportunity areas.

Educational Opportunities Analysis

The AFFH Data and Mapping Tool, accessed in July 2016, provides a map of school proficiency for Manatee County and the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region.

Disparities and Patterns in Access to Proficient Schools

As shown in Figures 6-7, school proficiency is highest in eastern Manatee County, generally south of SR 70 and east of I-75. School proficiency is also higher along Palma Sola Bay and Sarasota Bay toward the barrier islands. School proficiency is lowest east of Bradenton’s downtown and along US 41 and US 301, south of the Manatee River. In areas of greater segregation by race/ethnicity and national origin within Manatee County (i.e., southeast Bradenton, the southernmost R/ECAP area, and Palmetto), school proficiency is low-to-moderate. In general, the most urbanized areas of the County, including Palmetto and eastern Bradenton, have the lowest school proficiency; whereas suburban and rural areas have higher school proficiency.

Figure 8 shows school proficiency and family status. Across Manatee County, the percentage of families with children ranges from 0% to 80% and school proficiency is low-to-moderate. As shown in Figure 8, the percentage of families with children is higher in urbanized areas of the County such as Palmetto, Bradenton, and the unincorporated areas surrounding Bradenton, including the three (3) R/ECAP areas. The percentage of families with children is generally lower near Palma Sola Bay and Sarasota Bay toward the barrier islands, and in neighborhoods near I-75 that are more distant from the County’s urban core. Areas where there is a high percentage of children with families combined with a low index of school proficiency are located east of Bradenton’s downtown and along US 41 and US 301, south of the Manatee River.
Figure 6: School Proficiency and Race/Ethnicity (2010)
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Figure 7: School Proficiency and National Origin
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School Related Policies and Access to Proficient Schools

School-related policies have the potential to limit school choice or create situations where students living in concentrated areas of poverty are assigned to a less proficient school because of geography. Such situations could limit access to learning opportunities. Table 5 shows opportunity indicators by race/ethnicity. Of the general population, the Black population in Manatee County has less access to proficient schools than other race/ethnicity groups. When poverty level is considered, the Native American population below poverty level has the least access to proficient schools when compared to other race/ethnicity groups.

Employment Opportunities Analysis

The AFFH Data and Mapping Tool, accessed in July 2016, provides data and maps regarding job proximity and labor market for Manatee County and the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region.

Job Proximity

The Job Proximity Index measures the physical distances between the place of residence and jobs. As shown in Figures 9-10, job proximity is highest in areas abutting the County’s major highways and interstates (e.g. US 301, I-275, and I-75). Additionally, job proximity is lower in areas of greater segregation by race/ethnicity and national origin within Manatee County (i.e., south of downtown Bradenton, the three (3) R/ECAP areas, and Palmetto). Job proximity is also low in southwest Bradenton toward Palma Sola Bay and Sarasota Bay, and in rural areas of the County between I-75 and Parrish. These areas have low-to-moderate job proximity whereas the areas abutting US 301, I-275, and I-75 have moderate-to-high job proximity.
Figure 11 shows job proximity and family status. Across Manatee County, the percentage of families with children ranges from 0% to 80% and job proximity is low-to-high. As shown in Figure 11, the percentage of families with children is highest near Bradenton and the County’s urban core. The percentage of families with children is generally lower toward the barrier islands. Although there is no clear pattern of areas having a higher percentage of families with children and lower job proximity, 40% to 80% of households in the County’s three (3) R/ECAP areas are families with children and these areas have low-to-moderate job proximity.

Figure 9: Job Proximity and Race/Ethnicity (2010)
Figure 10: Job Proximity and National Origin

HUD Affirmatively Furthing Fair Housing Data and Mapping Tool

Legend
National Origin (Top 5 in Descending Order)
1 Dot = 50 People

- Mexico
- Cuba
- Haiti
- Germany

RECAP

Jobs Proximity Index

- 0 - 10
- 10.1 - 20
- 20.1 - 30
- 30.1 - 40
- 40.1 - 50
- 50.1 - 60
- 60.1 - 70
- 70.1 - 80
- 80.1 - 90
- 90.1 - 100

Name: Mep 10 - Demographics and Job Proximity
Description: Jobs Proximity Index for Jurisdiction and Region with race/ethnicity, national origin, family status and RECAPs
Jurisdiction: Manatee County (CDBG, HOME, ESG)
Region: North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL

Figure 11: Job Proximity and Family Status
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**Labor Market**

The Labor Market Index measures unemployment rate, labor-force participation rate, and percent of the population age 25 and above with at least a bachelor’s degree, by census tract. As shown in *Figures 12-13*, labor market engagement is low-to-moderate throughout Manatee County, with the lowest labor market engagement in the three (3) R/ECAP areas south of Bradenton. Additionally, labor market engagement is low in all census tracts north of I-275. Areas of greater segregation coincide with areas of lower labor market engagement, particularly in the R/ECAP areas and south of Bradenton along US 41 and US 301. Labor market engagement is generally higher in western Manatee County and along I-75 south of SR 70 or north of SR 64.

*Figure 14* shows labor market engagement and family status. Across Manatee County, the percentage of families with children ranges from 0% to 80% and labor market engagement is low-to-moderate. Areas with a higher percentage of families with children and lower labor market engagement correspond to areas of greater segregation in downtown Bradenton and the unincorporated areas south of downtown Bradenton. It is important to note that the areas of lowest labor market engagement (Index 0-10) correspond to the three (3) R/ECAP areas.

*Figure 12: Labor Market and Race/Ethnicity (2010)*
Figure 13: Labor Market and National Origin

HUD Affirmatively Furtherring Fair Housing Data and Mapping Tool

Legend
- National Origin (Top 6 in Descending Order)
- 1 Dot = 50 People
- Mexico
- Canada
- Cuba
- Haiti
- Germany
- R/E/CA/P

Labor Market Index
- 0 - 10
- 10.1 - 20
- 20.1 - 30
- 30.1 - 40
- 40.1 - 50
- 50.1 - 60
- 60.1 - 70
- 70.1 - 80
- 80.1 - 90
- 90.1 - 100
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Description: Labor Engagement Index with race/ethnicity, national origin, family status and R/E/CA/Ps
Jurisdiction: Manatee County (CDBG, HOME, ESIG)
Region: North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL

Figure 14: Labor Market and Family Status

HUD Affirmatively Furtherring Fair Housing Data and Mapping Tool

% of Households that are Families with Children
- 0% - 20%
- 20.1% - 40%
- 40.1% - 60%
- 60.1% - 80%
- 80.1% - 100%

Labor Market Index
- 0 - 10
- 10.1 - 20
- 20.1 - 30
- 30.1 - 40
- 40.1 - 50
- 50.1 - 60
- 60.1 - 70
- 70.1 - 80
- 80.1 - 90
- 90.1 - 100

Name: Map 11 - Demographics and Labor Market
Description: Labor Engagement Index with race/ethnicity, national origin, family status and R/E/CA/Ps
Jurisdiction: Manatee County (CDBG, HOME, ESIG)
Region: North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL
Access to Employment

Table 5 shows opportunity indicators by race/ethnicity. Of the general population, the Asian or Pacific Islander and Hispanic populations in Manatee County have less access to proximate jobs or the labor market than other race/ethnicity groups. When poverty level is considered, the Native American population below poverty level has the least access to the labor market when compared to other race/ethnicity groups. Between 40% and 80% of households in the County’s three (3) R/ECAP areas are families with children and these areas also have low-to-moderate job proximity. Likewise, the lowest labor market engagement corresponds to the three (3) R/ECAP areas, which are have higher concentrations of Black and Hispanic populations.

Transportation Opportunities Analysis

The AFFH Data and Mapping Tool, accessed in July 2016, provides data and maps regarding transit trips and transportation cost for Manatee County and the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region.

Transit Trips

The Transit Trips Index measures how often low-income families in a neighborhood use public transportation as an index of the availability of public transportation. Figures 15-16 show that low-income families living in the R/ECAP areas south of Bradenton use public transportation moderately, indicating some access to public transportation in a more segregated area. Low-income families living west of US 301, with a mix of segregated and integrated neighborhoods, also use public transportation moderately. Families living east of I-75 use public transportation less often or not at all. No census tracts show significant use of public transportation, indicating that public transportation may be less available or too costly for low-income families in Manatee County.

Respective to family status, low-income families living in the R/ECAP areas west of US 301 use public transportation moderately and also have a higher percentage of families with children. See Figure 17.
Figure 15: Transit Trips and Race/Ethnicity (2010)
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Figure 16: Transit Trips and National Origin
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Low Transportation Cost

The Low Transportation Cost Index measures the cost of transport and proximity to public transportation by neighborhood. **Figures 18-19** show that the Low Transportation Cost Index is low-to-moderate throughout Manatee County, with the highest indexed areas near Palmetto and the unincorporated area south of Bradenton. Areas of known race/ethnicity and national origin segregation appear as moderate on the index. The lowest indexed areas are east of I-75 in rural Manatee County.

**Figure 20** shows the Low Transportation Cost Index in regard to family status. Areas with a higher percentage of families with children generally correspond to areas with a higher Low Transportation Cost Index; however, these patterns are more variable at the neighborhood level.
Figure 18: Low Transportation Cost and Race/Ethnicity (2010)
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Figure 19: Low Transportation Cost and National Origin
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Name: Map 13 - Demographics and Low Transportation Cost
Description: Low Transportation Cost with race/ethnicity, national origin, family status and R/E/CAPs
Jurisdiction: Manatee County (CDBG, HOME, ESG)
Region: North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL
Transportation-Related Policies and Access to Transportation

The jurisdiction’s and region’s policies surrounding transportation have the potential to affect a protected class group’s ability to access transportation by either increasing or decreasing the time and cost of commuting to and from employment. Increased transportation costs reduce a person’s ability to afford housing, education, and other needs. Complicated or time-consuming public transit routes, or neighborhoods designed to be dependent on personal vehicles, further limit a person’s ability to access opportunity. In Manatee County, no census tracts show significant use of public transportation, with the population living R/ECAPs using public transportation moderately; however, transportation costs are highest near these areas and near Palmetto, as shown by the Low Transportation Cost Index.

Low Poverty Exposure Opportunities Analysis

The AFFH Data and Mapping Tool, accessed in July 2016, provides data and maps regarding poverty exposure for Manatee County and the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region.

Disparities in Exposure to Poverty

According to the American Community Survey, 2011-2015 Five-Year Estimates, 15% of Manatee County’s total population is below poverty level. In Manatee County, the percentage of persons below poverty level is more than 10 percentage points higher for the Black (27%), Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander (45%), and Some Other Race (35%) groups, indicating a greater exposure to poverty within these groups. Moreover, 33% of the Hispanic population is below poverty level. In contrast, the White or Asian populations in Manatee County have less exposure to poverty (13% and 12% are below poverty level, respectively) when compared to other groups.
When familial status is considered, 10% of Manatee County’s total families is below poverty level. Although married-couple families have less exposure to poverty than the total population (only 6% of married-couple families are below poverty level); female householders with no husband present have greater exposure to poverty. Approximately 27% of female householder families are below poverty level. The exposure to poverty becomes greater when children under 18 are present in households with a single parent, as 38% of female householder families are below poverty level.

**Place of Residence and Exposure to Poverty**

The Low Poverty Exposure Index measures the poverty rate by neighborhood, where a higher value on the index indicates greater access to low poverty neighborhoods. Figures 21-22 show that the Low Poverty Exposure Index is low-to-high throughout Manatee County and the greater North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region. Known areas of greater segregation by race/ethnicity and national origin have relatively lower access to low poverty neighborhoods compared to areas more peripheral to the County’s urban core (e.g. west Bradenton and the rural areas east of I-75). The County’s three (3) R/ECAP areas have the least access to low poverty neighborhoods in Manatee County. Respective to family status, the likelihood that a family may live in a low poverty neighborhood does not appear to coincide with family status. See Figure 23.

**Figure 21: Low Poverty Exposure and Race/Ethnicity (2010)**
Figure 22: Low Poverty Exposure and National Origin
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Name: Map 14 - Demographics and Poverty
Description: Low Poverty Index with race/ethnicity, national origin, family status and R/E/CAPs
Jurisdiction: Manatee County (CDBG, HOME, ESG)
Region: North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL

Figure 23: Low Poverty Exposure and Family Status
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Name: Map 14 - Demographics and Poverty
Description: Low Poverty Index with race/ethnicity, national origin, family status and R/E/CAPs
Jurisdiction: Manatee County (CDBG, HOME, ESG)
Region: North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL
Groups Most Affected by Poverty

Table 5 shows opportunity indicators by race/ethnicity. Of the general population, the Hispanic population in Manatee County has less access to low poverty areas than other race/ethnicity groups. When poverty level is considered, the Native American population below poverty level has the least access to low poverty areas when compared to other race/ethnicity groups. Given the data provided in Figures 21-22 for Manatee County, the likelihood that a family may live in a low poverty area does not appear to coincide with family status.

Public Policies and Access to Low Poverty Areas

Public and private investment in communities without the provision of affordable housing or strategic reinvestment in low-income neighborhoods increases the likelihood that poverty will become concentrated or that protected classes will be displaced. Areas of concentrated poverty in Manatee County are characterized by a lack of neighborhood reinvestment, aging residential structures, and deficient code enforcement. Such factors make these neighborhoods affordable, but also perpetuate segregation and limit access to opportunity. These factors could be addressed through public policies or programs. Improving access to low poverty areas requires a range of affordable housing options and access to other opportunities including education, employment, transportation, and basic health and childcare services.

Environmentally Healthy Neighborhoods Opportunities Analysis

The AFFH Data and Mapping Tool, accessed in July 2016, provides data and maps regarding environmental health for Manatee County and the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region.

Disparities in Access to Environmentally Healthy Neighborhoods

The Environmental Health Index uses data on hazardous air pollutants that are known to cause cancer or other serious health effects. A higher value on the index indicates greater access to environmental health (i.e., less exposure), whereas a lower value on the index indicates less access to environmental health (i.e., more exposure). This data is generalized and shows broader overall patterns rather than specific neighborhood conditions. Figures 24-25 show that the Environmental Health Index is moderate throughout Manatee County and moderate-to-high within the greater North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region. The southern R/ECAP area containing a known area of segregation by race/ethnicity and national origin shows a lower, although moderate, index of environmental health. The northern R/ECAP area also shows a lower, although moderate, index. The lowest index is in the eastern half of the southern R/ECAP, although the reasons for this are unknown. While the unincorporated area south of downtown Bradenton has the least access to environmental health compared to other areas of the County, this area still appears as moderate on the index. Other areas of lower, although moderate, indices of environmental health include areas southwest of SR 64 and I-75 and southeast of University Parkway and I-75.

Respective to family status, access to an environmentally healthy neighborhood does not appear to coincide with family status. See Figure 26.
Figure 24: Environmental Health and Race/Ethnicity (2010)
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Name: Map 15 - Demographics and Environmental Health
Description: Environmental Health Index with race/ethnicity, national origin, family status and R/E/CAPs
Jurisdiction: Manatee County (CDBG, HOME, ESG)
Region: North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL

Figure 25: Environmental Health and National Origin
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Description: Environmental Health Index with race/ethnicity, national origin, family status and R/E/CAPs
Jurisdiction: Manatee County (CDBG, HOME, ESG)
Region: North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL
Figure 26: Environmental Health and Family Status

Table 5 shows opportunity indicators by race/ethnicity. Of the general population, the Hispanic population in Manatee County has the least access to environmentally healthy neighborhoods than other race/ethnicity groups. When poverty level is considered, the Native American population below poverty level has the least access to environmentally healthy neighborhoods when compared to other race/ethnicity groups. Given the data provided in Figures 24-25 for Manatee County, the likelihood that a family may live in an environmental healthy neighborhood does not appear to coincide with family status.

Patterns in Disparities in Access to Opportunity

Table 5 shows the opportunity indices previously mapped and discussed for each race/ethnicity in Manatee County and the greater North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region. Higher values for a particular race/ethnicity group indicate a greater likelihood that the group has access to that opportunity factor. For example, a high value in the School Proficiency Index would indicate greater access to proficient schools whereas a low value in the School Proficiency Index would indicate less access to proficient schools. This data is shown both for the total population and for the population below poverty level.

Considering all opportunity indices for the total population of Manatee County, the Asian or Pacific Islander population has the greatest access in four (4) of the seven (7) opportunity indicators: low poverty, school proficiency, labor market, and environmental health. However, the Asian or Pacific Islander population also has the least access in three (3) of the seven (7) opportunity indicators: transit, low transportation cost, and jobs proximity. The Hispanic population has the least access in three (3) of the seven (7) opportunity indicators: low poverty, labor market, and environmental health. This disparity
is not reflected, however, for low transportation cost, as the Hispanic population has the greatest access
to low transportation cost when compared to other race/ethnicity groups. This indicates a pattern of
disparity in access to some but not all opportunities for the Asian or Pacific Islander population and
Hispanic population. Comparatively, the Black population has greater access to transit and jobs
proximity, but less access to school proficiency than other race/ethnicity groups.

These disparities in access to opportunity differ when poverty level is considered. Considering all
opportunity indices and poverty level for Manatee County, the Asian or Pacific Islander population
below poverty level has the greatest access in four (4) of the seven (7) opportunity indicators: low
poverty, labor market, jobs proximity, and environmental health; but also has the least access to transit.
In contrast, the Native American population below poverty level has the least access in four (4) of the
seven (7) opportunity indicators: low poverty, school proficiency, labor market, and environmental
health; but also has the greatest access to transit and low transportation. This indicates a pattern of
disparity in access to opportunity for the Asian or Pacific Islander population below poverty level and the
Native American population below poverty level.

The patterns in disparity for the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region show patterns of less access to
opportunity for the Black population with regard to low poverty, school proficiency, and labor market;
and for the Asian or Pacific Islander population with regard to transit, low transportation, and jobs
proximity. Greater access to opportunity exists for the Black population with regard to transit and low
transportation cost; and for the Asian or Pacific Islander population with regard to low poverty, labor
market, and environmental health.

For the population below poverty level in North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, less access to opportunity is
not associated with any particular race/ethnicity group; however, greater access to opportunity exists
for the Asian or Pacific Islander population in four (4) opportunity indicators: low poverty, labor market,
jobs proximity, and environmental health.
Table 5: Opportunity Indicators by Race/Ethnicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Low Poverty Index</th>
<th>School Proficiency Index</th>
<th>Labor Market Index</th>
<th>Transit Index</th>
<th>Low Transportation Cost Index</th>
<th>Jobs Proximity Index</th>
<th>Environmental Health Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Population</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>58.24</td>
<td>49.26</td>
<td>49.45</td>
<td>32.23</td>
<td>30.07</td>
<td>45.70</td>
<td>62.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>35.60</td>
<td>36.30</td>
<td>33.85</td>
<td>34.94</td>
<td>37.20</td>
<td>46.52</td>
<td>59.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>35.29</td>
<td>38.15</td>
<td>33.62</td>
<td>34.48</td>
<td>37.52</td>
<td>44.95</td>
<td>57.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>64.40</td>
<td>50.97</td>
<td>54.32</td>
<td>31.02</td>
<td>28.09</td>
<td>43.51</td>
<td>62.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>48.07</td>
<td>44.35</td>
<td>41.44</td>
<td>33.79</td>
<td>34.59</td>
<td>43.99</td>
<td>60.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population below federal poverty line</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>47.89</td>
<td>39.95</td>
<td>41.87</td>
<td>34.69</td>
<td>35.73</td>
<td>45.23</td>
<td>59.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>23.56</td>
<td>33.42</td>
<td>27.41</td>
<td>36.99</td>
<td>41.53</td>
<td>41.56</td>
<td>56.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>36.37</td>
<td>23.88</td>
<td>37.01</td>
<td>41.48</td>
<td>45.45</td>
<td>54.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>48.02</td>
<td>33.69</td>
<td>43.73</td>
<td>29.95</td>
<td>36.71</td>
<td>51.18</td>
<td>62.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>20.41</td>
<td>30.47</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>45.16</td>
<td>60.85</td>
<td>45.21</td>
<td>50.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: Data Sources: Decennial Census; ACS; Great Schools; Common Core of Data; SABINS; LAI; LEHD; NATA

Contributing Factors of Disparities in Access to Opportunity

- Access to financial services; High Priority
- Lack of private investments in specific neighborhoods; High Priority
- Lack of public investments in specific neighborhoods, including services or amenities
- Location and type of affordable housing; High Priority

Contributing factors of disparities in access to opportunity include poverty rates, low educational attainment, limited English language proficiency, and unemployment. Other factors include lack of access to job training, child care services, and other services that would assist in obtaining employment. These factors combined limit access to economic opportunity.

5.2.4 Disproportionate Housing Needs

Whether or not a particular group experiences greater housing needs when compared to other populations in the jurisdiction or region may be determined through an assessment of housing problems such as cost burden, severe cost burden, overcrowding, and substandard housing conditions. For the purposes of this analysis, HUD provides the following definitions of housing problems:
Cost Burden and Severe Cost Burden
Cost burden is the fraction of a household’s total gross income spent on housing costs. There are two levels of cost burden:

- Cost Burden – when a household spends more than 30% of their income on housing costs; and
- Severe Cost Burden – when a household spends more than 50% of their income on housing costs.

For renters, housing costs include rent paid plus utilities. For owners, housing costs include mortgage payments, taxes, insurance, and utilities.

Overcrowding
Overcrowding pertains to an excessive number of persons per room, excluding bathrooms, porches, foyers, halls, or half-rooms. There are two levels of overcrowding:

- Overcrowded – having more than 1.01 to 1.5 persons per room; and
- Severely Overcrowded – having more than 1.51 persons per room.

Substandard Housing
Substandard housing pertains to a lack of essential household facilities, such as running water, flushing toilets, bathtub or shower facilities, and kitchen facilities (sinks, ranges/stoves, or refrigerators).

Disproportionate Housing Needs
Table 6 shows the disproportionate housing needs for Manatee County and the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region. For purposes of this analysis, disproportionate housing needs are those that are 10 percentage points higher than for the geography (i.e., county or region) as a whole.

Housing Problems
Approximately 38% of households in Manatee County experience any one of four housing problems. As shown, more than half (60%) of Black households and 64% of Hispanic households in Manatee County experience housing problems. These percentages are similar for the greater North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region. In both Manatee County and the region, the occurrence of housing problems is greater in non-family households and households with five or more people.

In both Manatee County and the region, severe housing problems are least prevalent in White households; however, severe housing problems are most prevalent in Hispanic households. Black, Hispanic, and Other households generally have higher percentages of severe housing problems than other race/ethnicity groups.

Based on the data provided in Table 6, disproportionate housing needs may exist for households in the Black, Hispanic, and Other race/ethnicity groups, as well as for non-family households and households with five or more people (i.e., larger households).
Table 6: Demographics of Households with Disproportionate Housing Needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disproportionate Housing Needs</th>
<th>(Manatee County, FL CDBG, HOME, ESG) Jurisdiction</th>
<th>(North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL CBSA) Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td># with problems</td>
<td># households</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>29,843</td>
<td>87,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>3,506</td>
<td>5,846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>5,989</td>
<td>9,332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>1,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40,485</td>
<td>105,180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Household Type and Size

| Family households, <5 people | 21,238 | 63,107 | 33.65% | 56,690 | 170,725 | 33.21% |
| Family households, 5+ people| 3,668  | 6,814  | 53.83% | 8,835  | 16,025  | 55.13% |
| Non-family households        | 15,580 | 35,278 | 44.16% | 53,875 | 113,920 | 47.29% |

Households experiencing any of 4 Severe Housing Problems**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th># with severe problems</th>
<th># households</th>
<th>% with severe problems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>14,187</td>
<td>87,520</td>
<td>16.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>1,988</td>
<td>5,846</td>
<td>34.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>3,800</td>
<td>9,332</td>
<td>40.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>1,553</td>
<td>25.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>32.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>33.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20,710</td>
<td>105,180</td>
<td>19.69%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: The four housing problems are: incomplete kitchen facilities, incomplete plumbing facilities, more than 1 person per room, and cost burden greater than 30%. The four severe housing problems are: incomplete kitchen facilities, incomplete plumbing facilities, more than 1 person per room, and cost burden greater than 50%.

Severe Housing Cost Burden

Table 7 shows the severe housing cost burden for Manatee County and the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region. For purposes of this analysis, disproportionate cost burden is that which is 10 percentage points higher than for the geography (i.e., county or region) as a whole. As shown, 32% of Native American households experience severe housing cost burden. Other Non-Hispanic households (32%) also have a high percentage of households with severe housing cost burden, as do Hispanic households (31%) and Black households (29%). These percentages are similar for the greater North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region; however, the occurrence of severe housing cost burden is higher for Black households than for other race/ethnicity groups.

In both Manatee County and the region, the occurrence of severe housing cost burden is greatest in non-family households. Large households (5 or more people) have greater percentages of severe cost burden than small households (less than 5 people).

Based on the data provided in Table 7, disproportionate severe housing cost burden may exist for households in all minority race/ethnicity groups, with the exception of Asian or Pacific Islander households. Non-family and large households (5 or more people) are more cost burdened than small households (less than 5 people).
### Areas Experiencing the Greatest Housing Burden

The distribution of housing burden (i.e., having one or more housing burdens) is shown in **Maps 27-28**. In Manatee County, the percentage of households with housing burden appears higher in areas of greater segregation by race/ethnicity such as the three (3) R/ECAP areas southeast of Bradenton, where more than 50% of households are burdened, and in portions of east Bradenton and east Palmetto along US 301. These areas are characterized by a larger percentage of Black and Hispanic populations than other areas of the County. In terms of national origin, the percentage of households with housing burden is also higher in areas of national origin segregation, particularly the County’s southern R/ECAP area where there is a concentration of persons from Mexico, and in other areas south of Bradenton where concentrations of persons from Haiti and Cuba may reside.
Figure 27: Housing Burden by Race/Ethnicity
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Name: Map 7 - Housing Burden by Race/Ethnicity
Description: Households experiencing one or more housing burdens in Jurisdiction and Region with R/E/CAPs and race/ethnicity dot density
Jurisdiction: Manatee County (CDBG, HOME, ESG)
Region: North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL

Figure 28: Housing Burden by National Origin
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Name: Map 8 - Housing Burden by National Origin
Description: Households experiencing one or more housing burdens in Jurisdiction and Region with R/E/CAPs and national origin dot density
Jurisdiction: Manatee County (CDBG, HOME, ESG)
Region: North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL
Needs of Families and Available Housing Stock

In both Manatee County and the region, the occurrence of cost burden is greatest in non-family households and larger households (5 or more people). According to data accessed from HUD CPD Maps, areas having a higher percentage of renter-occupied housing units that are large (3 or more bedroom) include the County’s three (3) R/ECAP census tracts, areas near I-75 at the SR 64 and SR 70 interchanges, and some areas near US 301 south of Bradenton. Other areas having a higher percentage of renter-occupied housing units that are large (3 or more bedroom) include the Bayshore Gardens and Whitfield areas south of 63rd Ave. West, the Palmetto area north of 33rd St. West, and the Parrish area south of Old Tampa Rd. and Gold Course Rd. The majority of Manatee County’s jurisdiction has a higher percentage of owner-occupied housing units that are large (3 or more bedroom). This is particularly true for most of the area east of I-75 at Lakewood Ranch as well as the area between the Braden River and I-75, the area just northeast of Palmetto, and some areas near U.S 301 south of Bradenton. This data indicates that large owner-occupied housing units are more available, but large renter-occupied housing units are less available.

Table 8 shows publicly supported housing units by number of bedrooms and number of households with children in Manatee County. Over half (61%) of public housing units are 2-bedroom units, 14% are 1-bedroom units, and 23% are 3-bedroom units. Approximately 84% of public housing units have two, three, or more bedrooms which appears adequate to address the 68% of households with children needing public housing.

All (100%) of project-based Section 8 units are 1-bedroom units; however, there are no households with children needing project-based Section 8 units. Similarly, all (100%) of the Other Multifamily units are 1-bedroom units and there are no households with children needing Other Multifamily units.

More than half (52%) of Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) units are 3-bedroom units, 10% are 1-bedroom units, and 32% are 2-bedroom units. Approximately 84% of HCV units have two, three, or more bedrooms which appears adequate to address the 59% of households with children needing HCV units.

Table 8: Publicly Supported Housing by Program Category: Units by Number of Bedrooms and Households with Children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th># 0-1 Bedroom Units</th>
<th>% 0-1 Bedroom Units</th>
<th># 2 Bedroom Units</th>
<th>% 2 Bedroom Units</th>
<th># 3+ Bedroom Units</th>
<th>% 3+ Bedroom Units</th>
<th>Households with Children</th>
<th>% Households with Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Housing</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.51%</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>60.81%</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22.97%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>67.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project-Based Section 8</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Multifamily</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV Program</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10.33%</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>31.82%</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>52.27%</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>58.99%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: Data Sources: APSH

Note 2: Refer to the Data Documentation for details (www.hudexchange.info).
**Occupancy Tenure and Housing Burden**

According to data accessed from HUD CPD Maps, the majority of the County’s housing units are owner-occupied; however, renter-occupied units are dispersed through the County. Areas where more than 50% of the housing units are renter-occupied include East Bradenton, South Bradenton, West Samoset, and east Palmetto, as well as the County’s north R/ECAP area and portions of the south R/ECAP area. The highest concentrations of owner-occupied housing units are located either east of I-75 or toward the barrier islands.

When these areas are compared to the percentage of households with housing burden, areas with higher percentages of renter-occupied units generally have higher percentages of households with housing burden. In general, the distribution of households with housing burden indicates a need for renter-occupied housing rehabilitation or more affordable rental housing options.

In regard to race/ethnicity group and national origin, areas of greater segregation are characterized by a higher percentage of renter-occupied units; however, owner-occupied housing still accounts for at least half of the housing units in these areas. Given the data on disproportionate housing needs, these areas may require more multi-faceted housing rehabilitation and affordable housing options.

In terms of opportunities for homeownership, the following tables show data required by the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) for the year 2015. The data show mortgage applications and originations by income level, race/ethnicity, and R/ECAP Census Tract (see Tables 9-11). The data indicate disparities in the percentage of loan originations, particularly within R/ECAP Census Tracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 9: Mortgage Applications and Originations by Income Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income of Applicants</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% AMI - 79% AMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80% AMI - 99% AMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% AMI - 119% AMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;120% AMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income Not Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: HMDA Aggregate Table 4-1 and 4-2 (2015)*
## Table 10: Mortgage Applications and Originations by Race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race</th>
<th>FHA, FSA/RHS, and VA</th>
<th>Conventional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Applications Received</td>
<td>Loans Originated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>$000's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native (Total)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2,762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint (Male/Female)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian (Total)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>8,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4,414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint (Male/Female)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American (Total)</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>37,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>17,318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>12,195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint (Male/Female)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>7,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (Total)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint (Male/Female)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White (Total)</td>
<td>4,219</td>
<td>839,831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1,654</td>
<td>312,462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>165,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint (Male/Female)</td>
<td>1,591</td>
<td>360,743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 or More Minority Races</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint (Male/Female)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint (White/Minority Race Total)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>18,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint (Male/Female)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>17,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino (Total)</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>87,534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>44,513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>20,596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint (Male/Female)</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>21,995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race Not Available</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>89,628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>17,905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>8,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint (Male/Female)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>15,826</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: HMDA Aggregate Table 4-1 and 4-2 (2015)
Table 11: Mortgage Applications and Originations by R/ECAP Census Tract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R/ECAP Census Tract</th>
<th>Home Purchase Loans</th>
<th>FHA, FSA/RHS &amp; VA</th>
<th>Conventional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>Percent Originated</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loans Originated</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apps Approved, Not Accepted</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apps Denied</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apps Withdrawn</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Files Closed for Incompleteness</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>Percent Originated</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loans Originated</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apps Approved, Not Accepted</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apps Denied</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apps Withdrawn</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Files Closed for Incompleteness</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>Percent Originated</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loans Originated</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apps Approved, Not Accepted</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apps Denied</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Apps Withdrawn</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Files Closed for Incompleteness</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: HMDA Aggregate Table 1: Disposition of Loan Applications, by Location of Property and Type of Loan, 2015

Contributing Factors of Disproportionate Housing Needs:

- The availability of affordable units in a range of sizes; High Priority
- Lack of private investments in specific neighborhoods; High Priority
- Lack of public investments in specific neighborhoods, including services or amenities; High Priority

Contributing factors include limited locations, quality, and types of affordable housing. The amount of affordable housing within Manatee County is an issue that was identified through the public participation process and the collection and analysis of housing data indicates that affordable housing is lacking especially for lower income households. Moreover, the quality of housing available for lower income households is poor, particularly rental housing, and further inspection and code enforcement is needed.
5.3 Publicly Supported Housing Analysis

According to the decennial census and data collected from the AFFH Data and Mapping Tool, Manatee County had 141,631 housing units at the time of the 2010 decennial census. Of these, less than 1% of units were public housing (0.06%), project-based Section 8 (0.19%), or “other” publicly supported multifamily units (0.06%). Less than 1% (0.77%) were Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) program units. See Table 12.

Table 12: Publicly Supported Housing Units by Program Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Units</th>
<th>(Manatee County, FL CDBG, HOME, ESG) Jurisdiction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total housing units</td>
<td>141,631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Housing</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project-based Section 8</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Multifamily</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV Program</td>
<td>1,090</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: Data Sources: Decennial Census; APSH
Note 2: Refer to the Data Documentation for details (www.hudexchange.info).

5.3.1 Publicly Supported Housing Demographics

Racial/Ethnic Groups Residing in Publicly Supported Housing

Table 13 summarizes the race/ethnicity of households residing in publicly supported housing within Manatee County. By far, the majority of households living in public housing are Black (51%). Approximately 19% of households living in public housing are White. Approximately 30% of households living in public housing are Hispanic. No households in the Asian or Pacific Islander race/ethnicity group are living in public housing.

In terms of the project-based Section 8 programs, the majority of households utilizing project-based Section 8 assistance are White (63%). Approximately 24% of households utilizing project-based Section 8 assistance are Black and 12% of households utilizing project-based Section 8 assistance are Hispanic. No households in the Asian or Pacific Islander race/ethnicity group are utilizing project-based Section 8 assistance.

In terms of “other” publicly supported multifamily housing, nearly all (97%) of households are White. Just over 1% of households reside in “other” publicly supported multifamily units are Black and just over 1% of households reside in “other” publicly supported multifamily units are Asian or Pacific Islander. No households in the Hispanic race/ethnicity group reside in “other” publicly supported multifamily units.

Of the households using Housing Choice Vouchers (HCVs), the majority (64%) are Black. Approximately 23% of households using HCVs are White, and less than 13% are Hispanic. No households in the Asian or Pacific Islander race/ethnicity group use HCVs.
Given the information provided on publicly supported housing residents by race/ethnicity, it is apparent that White and Black households utilize publicly supported housing at a disproportionate level when compared to other race/ethnicity groups. For all types of publicly supported housing, White households represent approximately one-third (32%) of the residents and Black households represent approximately one-half (55%) of the residents.

**Comparison to the Population in General**

When income level (Area Median Income) is considered, the percentage of households at or below 30% AMI is approximately 75% White, approximately 10% Black, and approximately 12% Hispanic. Asian or Pacific Islander households represent just 1% of households at or below 30% AMI. This pattern is nearly the same for households at or below 50% of AMI and at or below 80% of AMI for Black and Hispanic households; however, the percentage of White households differs as AMI increases. Although a higher percentage of Black households reside in publicly supported housing, a higher percentage of low-to-moderate income households in Manatee County are White.

Of the total number of households in Manatee County, 66% of White households are low-and-moderate income, 9% of Black households are low-and-moderate income, and 14% of Hispanic households are low-and-moderate income. Considering countywide percentages of households by race/ethnicity, no particular race/ethnicity has a disproportionate need for publicly supported housing when compared to the jurisdiction as a whole; however, there is a higher percentage of Black households of low-to-moderate income than Black households of any income.

**Table 13: Publicly Supported Housing Residents by Race/Ethnicity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Manatee County, FL CDBG, HOME, ESG) Jurisdiction</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Asian or Pacific Islander</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing Type</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Housing</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19.18%</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>50.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project-Based Section 8</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>62.84%</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Multifamily</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>97.10%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV Program</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>23.26%</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>64.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-30% of AMI</td>
<td>8,617</td>
<td>74.63%</td>
<td>1,134</td>
<td>9.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-50% of AMI</td>
<td>13,759</td>
<td>56.97%</td>
<td>2,315</td>
<td>9.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-80% of AMI</td>
<td>28,038</td>
<td>65.93%</td>
<td>3,783</td>
<td>8.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME, ESG) Jurisdiction</td>
<td>197,249</td>
<td>75.99%</td>
<td>17,955</td>
<td>6.92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: Data Sources: Decennial Census; APSH; CHAS
Note 2: #s presented are numbers of households not individuals.
Note 3: Refer to the Data Documentation for details (www.hudexchange.info).
5.3.2 Publicly Supported Housing Location and Occupancy

Location of Publicly Supported Housing

Figures 29-30 show the locations of publicly supported housing in Manatee County.

Location by Program Category

Figure 29 is limited to public housing, project-based Section 8, low-income housing tax credit (LIHTC), and other multifamily assistance; whereas Figure 30 shows the percentage of HCVs. As shown in Figure 29, the current supply of public housing is distributed throughout urban Manatee County, with nearly all publicly supported housing located west of I-75.

Public housing is limited to the Bradenton area, with only one public housing development (Pine Village) located in unincorporated Manatee County. Only one publicly supported housing facility (Presbyterian Villas of Bradenton/Project-Based Section 8) is within the County’s southern R/ECAP area; however, Manatee County VOA Living Center, which is another multifamily assisted development, is located near that R/ECAP area. Additionally, several LIHTC developments are located near both the northern and southern R/ECAP areas.

The locations of publicly supported housing generally correspond to areas of potential segregation in east Bradenton and Palmetto, but also to more integrated low-income areas in Manatee County south of Bradenton (e.g. south of Cortez Rd West and north of 57th Ave. West).

In terms of Housing Choice Vouchers (HCVs), areas of higher HCV utilization correspond to the entire US 301 corridor through Manatee County, as well as the County’s three (3) R/ECAP census tracts. Additionally, areas of higher HCV utilization also include the area south of Cortez Rd. West and north of 53rd Ave. West, the area northeast of Ellenton, and the area west of Caruso Rd./57th St. E. The highest percentages of HCV utilization in Manatee County are in northeast Palmetto, the neighborhoods between 14th St. West and US 301, and the Samoset/Oneco area north of 53rd Ave. East.

Location by Group Served

Table 7 shows that, within R/ECAPs, a significant percentage (62%) of HCV-assisted units are occupied by families with children. Outside of R/ECAPs, a similar percentage (59%) of HCV-assisted units are occupied by families with children. This indicates a proportional share of HCV-assisted units serving families with children both inside and outside the County’s R/ECAPs. In contrast, public housing units occupied by families with children are only located outside the County’s R/ECAPs.

With regard to elderly persons, public housing and project-based Section 8 units serving the elderly are only located outside the County’s R/ECAPs. Within R/ECAPs, 100% of the other HUD-assisted multifamily units are occupied by the elderly; whereas outside the R/ECAPS, only 9% are occupied by the elderly. A slightly higher percentage of HCV-assisted units in R/ECAPs are occupied by the elderly than HCV-assisted units outside the R/ECAPs.

With regard to persons with a disability, public housing and project-based Section 8 units occupied by persons with a disability are only located outside the County’s R/ECAPs. Within R/ECAPs, less than 3%
other HUD-assisted multifamily units are occupied by persons with a disability; whereas outside the R/ECAPs, 78% are occupied by persons with a disability. A slightly higher percentage of HCV-assisted units in R/ECAPs are occupied by persons with a disability than HCV-assisted units outside the R/ECAPs.

In summary, public housing and project-based Section 8 units serving families with children, elderly persons, and persons with disabilities are limited or do not exist inside R/ECAPs. HCV-assisted units serving these populations are equally distributed between R/ECAP and Non-R/ECAP areas. Other HUD-assisted multifamily units serving the elderly are concentrated inside R/ECAPs; whereas other HUD-assisted multifamily units serving persons with a disability are concentrated outside R/ECAPs.

**Figure 29: Publicly Supported Housing by Race/Ethnicity**

![Map of Manatee County showing public housing by race/ethnicity](image)

*Name: Map 5 - Publicly Supported Housing and Race/Ethnicity*
*Description: Public Housing, Project-Based Section 8, Other Multifamily, and LIHTC locations mapped with race/ethnicity dot density map with R/ECAPs, distinguishing categories of publicly supported housing by color*
*Jurisdiction: Manatee County (CDBG, HOME, ESG)*
*Region: North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL*
Figure 30: Housing Choice Vouchers by Race/Ethnicity

**Occupancy of Publicly Assisted Housing in R/ECAPs**

R/ECAP data for Manatee County is shown in Table 14. As shown, data for public housing and the project-based Section 8 program are only available for non-R/ECAP tracts. Within the non-R/ECAP tracts, 8% of public housing residents are elderly, 12% are disabled, and 68% are families with children. In the project-based Section 8 program, 71% are elderly, 31% are disabled, and none are families with children.

Within R/ECAP tracts, all (100%) residents in “other” publicly supported multifamily units are elderly; however, only 3% are disabled. Within non-R/ECAP tracts, only 9% of residents in “other” publicly supported multifamily units are elderly; whereas, 78% are disabled. Regardless of R/ECAP status, none of the residents in “other” publicly supported multifamily units are families with children.

Within R/ECAP tracts, 19% of HCV program residents are elderly, 15% are disabled, and 62% are families with children. Within non-R/ECAP tracts, 13% of HCV program residents are elderly, 13% are disabled, and 59% are families with children.

Based on the provided data, families with children are most supported by public housing and the HCV program; whereas the elderly and disabled are most supported by project-based Section 8 and “other” publicly supported multifamily housing. A significantly higher percentage of elderly live in “other” publicly supported multifamily within R/ECAP tracts than within non-R/ECAP tracts; whereas the converse is true for the disabled. With regard to the HCV program, the differences in occupancy demographics between R/ECAP and non-R/ECAP tracts are less significant.
Table 14: R/ECAP and Non-R/ECAP Demographics by Publicly Supported Housing Program Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Manatee County, FL CDBG, HOME, ESG) Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Total # units (occupied)</th>
<th>% Elderly</th>
<th>% with a disability*</th>
<th>% White</th>
<th>% Black</th>
<th>% Hispanic</th>
<th>% Asian or Pacific Islander</th>
<th>% Families with children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Housing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/ECAP tracts</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>8.11%</td>
<td>12.16%</td>
<td>19.18%</td>
<td>50.68%</td>
<td>30.14%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>67.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non R/ECAP tracts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project-based Section 8</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/ECAP tracts</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>70.97%</td>
<td>30.65%</td>
<td>36.21%</td>
<td>53.45%</td>
<td>8.62%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non R/ECAP tracts</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>70.97%</td>
<td>30.65%</td>
<td>36.21%</td>
<td>53.45%</td>
<td>8.62%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other HUD Multifamily</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/ECAP tracts</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>2.56%</td>
<td>94.59%</td>
<td>2.70%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non R/ECAP tracts</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>9.38%</td>
<td>78.13%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HCV Program</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R/ECAP tracts</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>18.54%</td>
<td>14.57%</td>
<td>22.60%</td>
<td>65.75%</td>
<td>11.64%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>62.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non R/ECAP tracts</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>12.48%</td>
<td>13.22%</td>
<td>23.02%</td>
<td>64.02%</td>
<td>12.96%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>58.63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: Disability information is often reported for heads of household or spouse/co-head only. Here, the data reflect information on all members of the household.

Note 2: Data Sources: APH

Note 3: Refer to the Data Documentation for details (www.hudexchange.info).

Other Housing Programs (LIHTC, etc.)
HUD-provided demographic data is unavailable for Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments. However, there are significant numbers of LIHTC units embedded within the Manatee County publicly assisted housing inventory. The University of Florida’s Florida Housing Data Clearinghouse: Assisted Housing Inventory provides details on the number of LIHTC units embedded within the Manatee County publicly assisted housing inventory. Manatee County’s current publicly assisted housing inventory is comprised of approximately 3,800 units, including units funded by Section 8, LIHTC, RD 515, Section 202, Section 811, and public housing, as well as other Federal, State and local programs. Approximately 2,780 (73%) of those units are partially LIHTC-assisted. Thus, to that extent, the demographics of LIHTC households living in Manatee County’s publicly assisted housing communities are reflected in this demographic analysis. LIHTC-assisted units are typically occupied by families or the elderly; whereas other section-related programs assist persons with disabilities.

Other Types of Publicly Supported Housing
Other types of publicly supported housing also include permanent supportive housing, veterans housing, and temporary or emergency shelter. These types of publicly supported housing support low-income individuals and families that are either homeless or at-risk of becoming homeless. Such housing in Manatee County is provided by members of the Continuum of Care (CoC) and other non-profit housing and service providers to address immediate housing needs. Occupancy is typically limited in duration and either need- or voucher-based, without regard to race, ethnicity, or familial status. However, due to limited supply or unit size, assistance at some facilities may be restricted to certain populations.

Publicly Supported Housing Developments
Table 15 shows publicly supported housing developments located in Manatee County. These developments either provide public housing or support project-based Section 8 assistance and other HUD multifamily assisted housing. Manatee County has one primary public housing development, “Pine Village”, which provides 80 units, 51% of which are occupied by Black residents. Sixty-eight percent
(68%) of Pine Village’s households are families with children. No residents or families are housed in other scattered sites.

For project-based Section 8 assistance, residents are housed in three (3) developments supporting 272 units. The majority of residents receiving Section 8 assistance at “Lake East I and II” are Black; however, “Presbyterian Villas of Bradenton” has a majority White residency. None of the project-based Section 8 assisted developments support families with children.

In addition to public housing and project-based Section 8 assistance, there are four (4) other HUD multifamily assisted housing developments in Manatee County, three (3) of which are operated by Volunteers of America (VOA). These developments support 78 units and have a majority White residency. None of the other HUD multifamily assisted developments support families with children.

Table 15: Publicly Supported Housing Developments by Program Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Housing (Manatee County, FL CDBG) Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Development Name</th>
<th># Units</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Households with Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pine Village</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project-Based Section 8 (Manatee County, FL CDBG) Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Development Name</th>
<th># Units</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Households with Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake East I</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake East II</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presbyterian Villas of Bradenton</td>
<td></td>
<td>210</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other HUD Multifamily Assisted Housing (Manatee County, FL CDBG) Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Development Name</th>
<th># Units</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
<th>Asian</th>
<th>Households with Children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Woods At Central College</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holiday Heights Voa Living Center</td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manatee County Voa Living Center</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradenton Voa Living Center</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: For LIHTC properties, this information will be supplied by local knowledge.
Note 2: Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding error.
Note 3: Data Sources: APSH
Note 4: Refer to the Data Documentation for details (www.hudexchange.info).

5.3.4 Disparities in Access to Opportunity

Given the information provided on publicly supported housing residents by race/ethnicity, it is apparent that White and Black households utilize publicly supported housing at a disproportionate level when compared to other race/ethnicity groups. Considering countywide percentages of households by race/ethnicity, no particular race/ethnicity has a disproportionate need for publicly supported housing when compared to the jurisdiction as a whole; however, there is a higher percentage of Black households of low-to-moderate income than Black households of any income.
In unincorporated Manatee County, access to public housing is limited and distant from areas with housing needs. Project-based Section 8 opportunities are also limited in Manatee County, although more proximate to R/ECAP areas. Housing Choice Vouchers (HCVs) do appear to be utilized in areas with corresponding housing needs, particularly in the areas along US 301 northeast of Palmetto and southwest of Bradenton. The areas where HCVs are most utilized also correspond to areas of low-and-moderate income and racial/ethnic integration.

### 5.3.5 Contributing Factors of Publicly Supporting Housing

- Lack of private investment in specific neighborhoods; High Priority
- Lack of public investment in specific neighborhoods, including services and amenities; High Priority

Contributing factors include limited locations, quality, and types of publicly assisted housing. In general, the quality of housing available for lower income households in Manatee County is poor, particularly rental housing, and further inspection and code enforcement is needed.

### 5.4 Disability and Access Analysis

While individuals with disabilities may experience the same fair housing issues as individuals without disabilities, they also may experience additional disability-related barriers that are distinct from the barriers experienced by individuals without disabilities. For example, some individuals with disabilities may need specific accessibility features or additional services in housing, transportation, education, and other programs or facilities in order to have an equal opportunity.

Under Federal law, the term “disability” means:

- A physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities of such individual;
- A record of such an impairment; or
- Being regarded as having such impairment.

The following section provides an analysis of disability and access to housing.

#### 5.4.1 Population with Disabilities Profile

**Disabilities by Type**

Table 16 shows disabilities by type in Manatee County and the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region. The three most prevalent disability types in Manatee County are ambulatory difficulty (7.40%), hearing difficulty (5.00%), and independent living difficulty (4.66%). Other disability types represented include cognitive difficulty (4.64%), self-care difficulty (2.43%), and vision difficulty (2.24%). When compared to
the greater North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region, Manatee County has a lower percentage of persons with a disability of all types.

Table 16: Disability by Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disability Type</th>
<th>(Manatee County, FL CDBG, HOME, ESG) Jurisdiction</th>
<th>(North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL CBSA) Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing difficulty</td>
<td>12,506</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision difficulty</td>
<td>5,609</td>
<td>2.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive difficulty</td>
<td>11,596</td>
<td>4.64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory difficulty</td>
<td>18,519</td>
<td>7.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-care difficulty</td>
<td>6,075</td>
<td>2.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent living difficulty</td>
<td>11,660</td>
<td>4.66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: All % represent a share of the total population within the jurisdiction or region.

Note 2: Data Sources: ACS

Note 3: Refer to the Data Documentation for details (www.hudexchange.info).

Geographic Patterns of Disability

In terms of location of disability by type, there is no apparent segregation by disability type in Manatee County. Where population density increases, so too does disability occurrence.

Persons with hearing, vision, or cognitive disabilities appear integrated in more developed areas of the County, particularly south of Bradenton. When the three (3) R/ECAP census tracts are compared, the southern R/ECAP census tract has a higher concentration of persons with hearing, vision, or cognitive disabilities than the northern R/ECAP census tract.

Persons with ambulatory, self-care, or independent living disabilities also appear integrated in more developed areas of the County. When compared, the southern R/ECAP census tract (located south of 53rd Ave. East) appears to contain a disproportionate share of persons with an ambulatory disability, though this share is no greater than the surrounding area. See Figures 31-32.
Figure 31: Disability by Type – Hearing, Vision, or Cognitive

Figure 32: Disability by Type – Ambulatory, Self-Care, or Independent Living
Table 17 shows disabilities by age group in Manatee County and the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region. The greatest percentage of persons with a disability appears in the 65 plus age group (7.35%). The lowest percentage of persons with a disability appears in the 5-17 age group (0.84%). Approximately 6% of persons in the 18-64 age group have a disability. These percentages are consistent with the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region; however, Manatee County has a slightly greater percentage of persons with a disability in the 65 plus age group.

Table 17: Disability by Age Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age of People with Disabilities</th>
<th>(Manatee County, FL CDBG, HOME, ESG) Jurisdiction</th>
<th>(North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL CBSA) Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>age 5-17 with Disabilities</td>
<td># 2,105</td>
<td># 5,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% 0.84%</td>
<td>% 0.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age 18-64 with Disabilities</td>
<td># 14,265</td>
<td># 38,379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% 5.70%</td>
<td>% 5.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age 65+ with Disabilities</td>
<td># 18,375</td>
<td># 57,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% 7.35%</td>
<td>% 8.52%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: All % represent a share of the total population within the jurisdiction or region.
Note 2: Data Sources: ACS
Note 3: Refer to the Data Documentation for details (www.hudexchange.info).

In terms of location of disability age group, older persons (age 65+) with disabilities reside throughout the County, with greater concentrations on a neighborhood-by-neighborhood level south of Bradenton, northeast of Ellenton, and south of SR 70 between Lockwood Ridge and I-75. Adults, age 18-64, with disabilities reside throughout the jurisdiction, but are most concentrated in the densely populated neighborhoods south of Bradenton. Children, ages 5-17, with disabilities also reside throughout the jurisdiction, but are most concentrated in east Bradenton, the three (3) R/ECAP census tracts, the area north of Palmetto (i.e., north of 33rd St. West and west of US 41), and the area just south of Palm Aire Golf & Country Club (i.e., north of University Parkway between Lockwood Ridge Rd. and Whitfield Ave.).

When compared to surrounding areas, the three (3) R/ECAP census tracts do not contain a disproportionate share of elderly or adult persons with a disability; however, they do contain a disproportionate share of children with a disability. See Figure 33.
5.4.2 Housing Accessibility

Supply of Accessible Housing
There is limited disability-related data available, including data relating to the supply of accessible housing. While single-family housing is generally not accessible to persons with disabilities unless constructed or retrofitted for a disabled occupant, the Fair Housing Act does require that most multifamily housing built after 1991 meet federal accessibility standards. In contrast, older multifamily housing built prior to 1991 is typically not accessible, unless retrofitted. Additionally, affordable housing subject to Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act must include a percentage of units accessible for individuals with mobility impairments and units accessible for individuals with hearing or vision impairments.

Location of Accessible Housing
Based on the distribution of persons with a disability, accessible housing is available throughout the County; however, Manatee County has a significant share of ageing housing stock, particularly in the County’s urban core near the City of Bradenton and along the U.S. 301 and U.S. 41 corridors and within R/ECAPs. Given input received during the public participation process, older rental housing in Manatee County is lacking adequate code enforcement including, but not limited to, inspections for compliance with federal accessibility standards.
Access to Publicly Supported Housing

Of the four publicly supported housing types represented in Manatee County, “other multifamily” housing supports the highest percentage of persons with a disability (36.62%). Public housing supports the lowest percentage of persons with a disability (12.16%). When compared to the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region, a smaller percentage (13.31%) is supported by “other multifamily” housing; however, a greater percentage (20.21%) of persons with a disability is supported by public housing in the North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton region than in Manatee County.

Table 18: Disability by Publicly Supported Housing Program Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Manatee County, FL CDBG, HOME, ESG) Jurisdiction</th>
<th>People with a Disability*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Housing</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project-Based Section 8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Multifamily</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV Program</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(North Port-Sarasota-Bradenton, FL CBSA) Region</th>
<th>People with a Disability*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Housing</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project-Based Section 8</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Multifamily</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV Program</td>
<td>424</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: The definition of “disability” used by the Census Bureau may not be comparable to reporting requirements under HUD programs.
Note 2: Data Sources: ACS
Note 3: Refer to the Data Documentation for details (www.hudexchange.info).

5.4.3 Integration of Persons with a Disability

Extent of Segregation/Integration

Persons with a disability appear to be well-integrated with regard to type of disability, but less integrated with regard to disability by age group.

Housing Options for Persons with a Disability

Persons with a disability are more supported by “other multifamily” housing than public housing. Project-based Section 8 assistance and HCVs, which facilitate greater integration into the broader community, are less utilized by persons with a disability in Manatee County.

5.4.4 Disparities in Access to Opportunity

Major Barriers to Access

The major barriers faced by persons with a disability include housing affordability, accessibility, civil-rights-related housing discrimination in the private sector (e.g., advertising, applicant interviews, etc.), a dwindling supply of qualified caregivers, and housing availability. Other barriers may exist with regard to sidewalk provision, transportation availability, and other public services. Manatee County strives to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act and to update public facilities accordingly.
Requests for reasonable accommodations or accessibility modifications may be made through the County’s ADA Coordinator within the Community Service Department’s Human Services Division or through the Manatee County Housing Authority (MCHA). Reasonable accommodations are free of charge and must be requested from the respective County department at least 48 hours in advance of the programmed event or activity. Assistance with accessibility improvements may be funded through the County’s CDBG program if certain eligibility criteria are met.

Manatee County also maintains a grievance procedure to resolve complaints alleging violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act with regard to public facilities and infrastructure. The ADA Coordinator investigates and responds to complaints of alleged disability discrimination pertaining to County services, activities, programs, or benefits. The County's ADA Coordinator will receive all such complaints up to 60 days after the alleged violation, review such complaints within 30 days of receipt, and respond to such complaints within 60 days of receipt.

Moreover, the Manatee County Fair Housing Ordinance serves to prohibit discrimination specific to housing based on disability status. Persons with a disability may make a formal complaint of housing discrimination directly to the County, to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (Atlanta HUD office), or to the Florida Commission on Human Relations as indicated in respective laws.

**Barriers to Achieving Homeownership**

Persons with a disability may have difficulty in achieving homeownership because many programs designed to provide housing (e.g. public housing, Section 811, Section 8, HCVs, housing trust funds, etc.) to this population focus on the provision of rental housing rather than homeownership. Moreover, the housing stock of Manatee County consists of primarily single-family homes and many of the existing homes are aging and require rehabilitation and retrofit to provide the level of accessibility required for persons with a disability. This creates a barrier to homeownership unless housing rehabilitation programs exist. Additionally, persons with a disability are also likely to be living on a fixed income or be of low-and-moderate income and therefore may need down payment assistance or other financial support to achieve homeownership.

**5.4.5 Disproportionate Housing Needs**

In terms of location of disability by type, there is no apparent segregation by disability type in Manatee County. Persons with hearing, vision, or cognitive disabilities appear integrated in more developed areas of the County. Persons with ambulatory, self-care, or independent living disabilities also appear integrated in more developed areas of the County; however, ambulatory disabilities are more widespread.

Persons with disabilities reside throughout Manatee County. In terms of location of disability age group, older persons (age 65+), with disabilities are most concentrated south of Bradenton, northeast of Ellenton, and south of SR 70 between Lockwood Ridge and I-75. Adults, age 18-64, with disabilities are most concentrated in the densely populated neighborhoods south of Bradenton. Children, ages 5-17, with disabilities are most concentrated in east Bradenton, the three (3) R/ECAP census tracts, the area
north of Palmetto (i.e., north of 33rd St. West and west of US 41), and the area just south of Palm Aire Golf & Country Club (i.e., north of University Parkway between Lockwood Ridge Rd. and Whitfield Ave.).

Currently, “other multifamily” is the most utilized type of publicly supported housing by persons with a disability. Public housing, Housing Choice Vouchers (HCVs), and project-based Section 8 assistance, are less utilized by persons with a disability. This may be due, in part, to a lack of accessible housing units in Manatee County. Lowered kitchen counters and appliances, widened doorways, modified bathrooms and showers, or other mobility devices are some of the features unique to accessible housing. Even basic accessibility features (such as an entrance with no steps or homes with no stairs and/or elevators) may be lacking in older housing developments.

5.4.6 Contributing Factors of Disability and Access

- Access to publicly supported housing for persons with disabilities; Medium Priority
- Lack of affordable, accessible housing in range of unit sizes; High Priority
- Lack of assistance for housing accessibility modifications; Medium Priority
- Location of accessible housing; Medium Priority

Contributing factors include the lack of basic accessibility features (such as an entrance with no steps or homes with no stairs and/or elevators) in older housing developments and the lack of regular inspection and code enforcement of rental housing affordable to persons with a disability.
5.5 Fair Housing Enforcement, Outreach Capacity, and Resources Analysis

5.5.1 Fair Housing Issues and Capacity
From October 1, 2011, through September 30, 2016, there were 28 fair housing complaints in Manatee County investigated by the Florida Commission on Human Relations (FCHR) and HUD. Most of these were in the Bradenton area. Of the 28 cases, eight had successful conciliation/settlements, two had complaints withdrawn after resolution, four involved complainants that failed to cooperate, 11 had no cause determination, one was dismissed for lack of jurisdiction, and one case remains open. Nineteen of these cases pertained to disability; however, national original and familial status were the basis of some cases. Table 19, below, shows the distribution of cases by type in Manatee County.

Table 19: Fair Housing Complaints by Type, 2011-2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Filed At</th>
<th>Total Cases</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>National</th>
<th>National</th>
<th>Disability</th>
<th>Familial</th>
<th>Religion</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Retaliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Origin</td>
<td>Origin - Hispanic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>FHEO</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>FHEO</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>FHEO</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>FHEO</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>FHEO</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: HUD Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity (FHEO), cases thru 12/31/2015

5.5.2 State or Local Fair Housing Laws
A number of state and local fair housing laws pertain to Manatee County.

Similar to the Federal Fair Housing Act, the state of Florida maintains the Florida Fair Housing Act, which is summarized as follows:

*Florida Fair Housing Act*
Chapter 760, Part II, F.S. (the “Fair Housing Act”), provides protection against housing discrimination for a number of protected classes including race, color, religion, sex, national origin, handicap or familial status. This Act is enforced by the Florida Commission on Human Relations.

Additionally, the local government of Manatee County maintains the Manatee County Fair Housing Ordinance, as summarized below.

*Manatee County Fair Housing Ordinance (1990)*
The County’s Fair Housing Ordinance provides for the execution of the policies which prevent and eliminate discriminatory housing practices contained in Title VIII of the Federal Civil Rights Act of 1968, as amended by the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 (42 U.S.C. § 3601 et seq.), and Sections 760.20 through 760.37, F.S. (Florida Fair Housing Act). The Manatee County Fair Housing Ordinance secures fair housing law in the county, but is otherwise enforced by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (Atlanta HUD office) and Florida Commission on Human Relations through
respective laws. Manatee County adopted a new Fair Housing Ordinance in 2012 establishing County staff responsibilities for education, outreach, and complaint issues.

5.5.3 Local and Regional Fair Housing Agencies and Organizations
The primary agencies/organizations devoted to fair housing issues are the Manatee County Redevelopment and Economic Opportunity Department and the Florida Commission on Human Relations. Additionally, the Manatee County Housing Authority (MCHA) is a primary resource regarding fair housing options and opportunities within Manatee County, with the County providing referrals and direction if requested.

5.5.4 Contributing Factors of Fair Housing Enforcement, Outreach Capacity, and Resources
- Lack of local private fair housing outreach and enforcement; High Priority
- Lack of local public fair housing enforcement; High Priority
- Lack of resources for fair housing agencies and organizations; High Priority

Contributing factors include lack of outreach and education materials available and the absence of a fair housing department or agency with a primary of focus on fair housing issues and outreach. Currently, there is no enforcement, investigation, or conciliation of the Manatee County Fair Housing Ordinance and it is unclear who the responsible Authority is for enforcing the ordinance. Presently, all complainants are referred to the HUD Atlanta office and the Florida Commission on Human Relations, and therefore are not monitored locally.
6.0 Fair Housing Goals and Priorities

As a product of the Fair Housing Assessment process, the following eight (8) goals and priorities were developed to address Fair Housing in Manatee County (see Table 20). These goals and priorities were informed by the community participation process and input from service providers, Manatee County staff, Manatee County Housing Authority staff, and the Board of County Commissioners.

Table 20: Fair Housing Goals and Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 1: Identify Opportunities to Reinvest in R/ECAPs to Eliminate Blighted Conditions and Spur Redevelopment.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal Priority Level</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Contributing Factors** | - Deteriorated and abandoned properties; High Priority  
- Lack of community revitalization strategies; High Priority  
- Lack of private investments in specific neighborhoods; High Priority  
- Lack of public investments in specific neighborhoods, including services or amenities  
- Location and type of affordable housing; High Priority  
- Contributing factors include higher levels of poverty and lower levels of educational attainment, income, and language proficiency in R/ECAP Census Tracts when compared to Manatee County as whole, resulting in a lack of economic opportunity for persons living in R/ECAPs that limits housing choice; High Priority |
| **Fair Housing Issues** | R/ECAPs; Blighted structures in and around R/ECAP areas |
| **Metrics & Milestones** | 1.1 Eliminate blight conditions in R/ECAP areas through targeted demolition and redevelopment or rehabilitation by September 30, 2019.  
1.2 Develop neighborhood plan(s) for the R/ECAPs to identify the issues that need to be addressed and resources to offset contributing factors by September 30, 2019. |
| **Timeframe for Achievement** | 2017-2019 (3 years) |
| **Responsible Program Participants** | Manatee County Redevelopment and Economic Opportunity Department (1.1 and 1.2) |
| **Discussion** | The analysis identified segregated areas and R/ECAPs generally lack access to opportunity. Additionally, publicly supported housing is predominantly located in these areas. Generally, there is a lack of businesses, jobs, and necessary services in these segregated/high poverty areas. The lack of community revitalization strategies has been selected as a contributing factor of priority affecting the fair housing issues of segregation, R/ECAP, disparities in access to opportunity, and disproportionate housing needs. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 2: Address Contributing Factors of Poverty and Segregation.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal Priority Level</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Contributing Factors** | - Lack of community revitalization strategies; High Priority  
- Lack of private investments in specific neighborhoods; High Priority |
### Fair Housing Issues

**Segregation.** The County's current R/ECAPs are located near the City of Bradenton. The northern R/ECAP is located southeast of downtown Bradenton, between 1st St./U.S. 41 and 15th St. E. (south of U.S. 301 and north of 44th Ave. E./Cortez Rd.). The southern R/ECAP is located south of Bradenton, between 14th St. W. and 15th St. E. (south of 53rd Ave. E. and north of 63rd Ave. E.). In addition, the County has other neighborhoods that experience high levels of segregation and poverty than other areas of Manatee County.

### Metrics & Milestones

2.1 Partner with community resources and local non-profit agencies to provide services that reduce poverty concentration (e.g. job training, child care, financial literacy, English as a second language, etc.) annually beginning October 1, 2018.

2.2 Service 15 households through Family Self-Sufficiency Program with a goal to increase income and relocate out of R/ECAP area(s) annually beginning October 1, 2017.

### Timeframe for Achievement

2017-2021 (5 years)

### Responsible Program Participants

Manatee County Redevelopment and Economic Opportunity Department (2.1 only); Manatee County Housing Authority (2.2 only)

### Discussion

GIS mapping show clear areas of segregation within Manatee County. Some areas of segregation also experience high levels of poverty.

### Goal 3: Strengthen Code Enforcement on Substandard Rental Units.

#### Goal Priority Level

High Priority

#### Contributing Factors

- The availability of affordable units in a range of sizes; High Priority
- Lack of private investments in specific neighborhoods; High Priority
- Lack of public investments in specific neighborhoods, including services or amenities; High Priority
- Contributing factors include limited locations, quality, and types of affordable housing. The amount of affordable housing within Manatee County is an issue that was identified through the public participation process and the collection and analysis of housing data indicates that affordable housing is lacking especially for lower income households. Moreover, the quality of housing available for lower income households is poor, particularly rental housing, and further inspection and code enforcement is needed; High Priority
### Fair Housing Issues

| Disproportionate Housing Needs; Lack of code enforcement on substandard rental units. |

### Metrics & Milestones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.1 Explore best practices for rental housing code enforcement and provide recommendations to Manatee County Administration by September 30, 2018.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Review Ordinance No. 15-10 pertaining to property maintenance and structural standards and provide recommendations to Manatee County Administration by September 30, 2018.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Timeframe for Achievement

| 2017-2018 (2 years) |

### Responsible Program Participants

| Manatee County Redevelopment and Economic Opportunity Department (3.1 and 3.2) |

### Discussion

Through outreach efforts and data collection it is clear that the quality of affordable housing for lower income households is lacking. Establishment of more stringent rental housing enforcement and inspection procedures should be explored in order to better maintain the County’s rental housing stock.

### Goal 4: Increase Availability of Affordable Housing in Manatee County.

| Goal Priority Level | High Priority |

| Contributing Factors |

- The availability of affordable units in a range of sizes; High Priority
- Lack of private investments in specific neighborhoods; High Priority
- Lack of public investments in specific neighborhoods, including services or amenities; High Priority
- Contributing factors include limited locations, quality, and types of affordable housing. The amount of affordable housing within Manatee County is an issue that was identified through the public participation process and the collection and analysis of housing data indicates that affordable housing is lacking especially for lower income households. Moreover, the quality of housing available for lower income households is poor, particularly rental housing, and further inspection and code enforcement is needed; High Priority

| Fair Housing Issues |

Disproportionate Housing Needs; Lack of affordable housing. A shortage of affordable housing exists in Manatee County. While the County has funded some housing developments, these housing initiatives serve a small population of very low-, low-, and moderate-income families. An analysis of household income and cost burden factors for Manatee County suggest that affordable housing is very much needed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4.1 Partner with a non-profit or for-profit affordable housing developer to develop affordable housing by September 30, 2018.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Review the County’s Land Development Regulations and Comprehensive Plan to identify impediments to affordable housing and research alternative funding sources such as LIHTC, as well as other federal and state sources, to encourage affordable housing developments by September 30, 2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timeframe for Achievement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Responsible Program Participants</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discussion</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal 5: Expand Fair Housing Education within Manatee County.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Goal Priority Level</strong></th>
<th>High Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contributing Factors</strong></td>
<td>Lack of local private fair housing outreach and enforcement; High Priority Lack of local public fair housing enforcement; High Priority Lack of resources for fair housing agencies and organizations; High Priority Contributing factors include lack of outreach and education materials available and the absence of a fair housing department or agency with a primary of focus on fair housing issues and outreach. Currently, there is no enforcement, investigation, or conciliation of the Manatee County Fair Housing Ordinance and it is unclear who the responsible Authority is for enforcing the ordinance. Presently, all complainants are referred to the HUD Atlanta office and the Florida Commission on Human Relations, and therefore are not monitored locally; High Priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fair Housing Issues</strong></td>
<td>Fair Housing Enforcement, Outreach Capacity, and Resources; Lack of education on fair housing issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metrics &amp; Milestones</strong></td>
<td>5.1 Provide every public housing recipient with education and outreach materials. (i.e., fair housing video display, complaint forms, brochures, website link, etc.) annually beginning October 1, 2017. 5.2 Partner with a fair housing agency, lenders, and the real estate community to assist the County with fair housing testing, education, housing discrimination, and outreach with activities such as educational workshops and seminars by September 30, 2018. 5.3 Explore the possibility of becoming a Fair Housing Initiative Program (FHIP) community by September 30, 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timeframe for Achievement</strong></td>
<td>2017-2021 (5 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Responsible Program Participants</strong></td>
<td>Manatee County Redevelopment and Economic Opportunity Department (5.2 and 5.3 only); Manatee County Housing Authority (5.1 only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discussion</strong></td>
<td>Through outreach and data collection uncertainty regarding a complaint procedure and “who to call” when faced with a housing discrimination issue was prevalent. In addition, educating landlords, lenders, and the real estate community were also identified as needs. Lack of outreach and education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 6: Provide Services that Improve Financial Literacy and Access to Financing for Minority and Low-Income Populations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal Priority Level</strong></td>
<td>High Priority</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Contributing Factors** | - Access to financial services; High Priority  
- Lack of private investments in specific neighborhoods; High Priority  
- Lack of public investments in specific neighborhoods, including services or amenities  
- Location and type of affordable housing; High Priority  
- Contributing factors of disparities in access to opportunity include poverty rates, low educational attainment, limited English language proficiency, and unemployment. Other factors include lack of access to job training, child care services, and other services that would assist in obtaining employment. These factors combined limit access to economic opportunity; High Priority |
| **Fair Housing Issues** | Disparities in Access to Opportunity; |
| **Metrics & Milestones** | 6.1 Partner with non-profit agencies in providing credit counseling, repair, and financial literacy/education by September 30, 2018.  
6.2 Partner with lending agencies by September 30, 2019. |
| **Timeframe for Achievement** | 2017-2019 (3 years) |
| **Responsible Program Participants** | Manatee County Redevelopment and Economic Opportunity Department (6.1 and 6.2); Non-Profit Housing Agencies (6.1 and 6.2); Lending Community (6.1 and 6.2) |
| **Discussion** | Lack of income, poor credit history, and lack of financial knowledge, debt-to-income ratio, and employment history are common causes of denial of loan applications. Services and education are needed for lower income persons related to financial literacy. According to poverty rates, minority populations are more likely to experience poverty. Financing Requirements: More stringent financing requirements have emerged from lending institutions, such as the requirement for a credit score of 620 or higher, 3 lines of credit, and restrictive underwriting practices, resulting in the inability to obtain a mortgage. Inadequate financial literacy education: Without proper financial literacy education, residents may continue to make poor financial decisions. Overall disparity of loan originations among racial groups. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal 7: Improve the Enforcement of Fair Housing Laws and Ordinances.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal Priority Level</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Contributing Factors** | - Lack of local private fair housing outreach and enforcement; High Priority  
- Lack of local public fair housing enforcement; High Priority  
- Lack of resources for fair housing agencies and organizations; High Priority  
- Contributing factors include lack of outreach and education materials available and the absence of a fair housing department or agency with a primary of focus on fair housing issues and outreach. Currently, there is no enforcement, investigation, or conciliation of the Manatee County Fair |
| Housing Ordinance and it is unclear who the responsible Authority is for enforcing the ordinance. Presently, all complainants are referred to the HUD Atlanta office and the Florida Commission on Human Relations, and therefore are not monitored locally; High Priority |

| Fair Housing Issues | Fair Housing Enforcement, Outreach Capacity, and Resources; Lack of local enforcement of Fair Housing laws |

| Metrics & Milestones | 7.1 Research and coordinate with a Fair Housing Agency to have a more defined procedure/process and testing related to Fair Housing by September 30, 2019. |
| | 7.2 Update Manatee County Fair Housing Ordinance No. 12-33 to become substantially equivalent to the Fair Housing Act by September 30, 2019. |

| Timeframe for Achievement | 2017-2019 (3 years) |

| Responsible Program Participants | Manatee County Redevelopment and Economic Opportunity Department (7.1. and 7.2); Local Fair Housing Agency (7.1 only) |

| Discussion | Currently, there is no enforcement, investigation, or conciliation of the ordinance and it is unclear who the responsible Authority is for enforcing the ordinance. Presently, all complainants are referred to the Atlanta HUD office and the Florida Commission on Human Relations. Efforts have been made in past years to develop a Fair Housing Ordinance that is both enforceable and substantially equivalent to the Fair Housing Act. There is still work to be done to an ordinance that can be properly enforced at the local level. |

| Goal 8: Provide Better Access to Opportunity for Protected Classes through Public Services. |

| Goal Priority Level | Medium Priority |

| Contributing Factors | - Access to publicly supported housing for persons with disabilities; Medium Priority |
| | - Lack of affordable, accessible housing in range of unit sizes; High Priority |
| | - Lack of assistance for housing accessibility modifications; Medium Priority |
| | - Location of accessible housing; Medium Priority |
| | - Contributing factors include the lack of basic accessibility features (such as an entrance with no steps or homes with no stairs and/or elevators) in older housing developments and the lack of regular inspection and code enforcement of rental housing affordable to persons with a disability; Medium Priority |
| | - Lack of private investment in specific neighborhoods; High Priority |
| | - Lack of public investment in specific neighborhoods, including services and amenities; High Priority |
| | - Contributing factors include limited locations, quality, and types of publicly assisted housing. In general, the quality of housing available for lower income households in Manatee County is poor, particularly rental housing, and further inspection and code enforcement is needed; High Priority |

| Fair Housing Issues | Disability and Access Issues; Publicly Supported Housing Location and Occupancy; |
### Metrics & Milestones

| 8.1 | Assist 10 African-American and/or Hispanic persons with economic opportunity through County or non-profit programs (i.e., literacy education, job training, child care services, etc.) annually beginning October 1, 2018. |
| 8.2 | Continued assistance of public housing residents through Family Self-Sufficiency Program (15 extremely low to very low income households) by September 30, 2019. |

### Timeframe for Achievement

| 2017-2021 (5 years) |

### Responsible Program Participants

| Manatee County Redevelopment and Economic Opportunity Department (8.1 only); Non-Profit Agencies (8.1 only); Manatee County Housing Authority (8.2 only) |

### Discussion

A common cause for poverty is the lack of access to opportunity, low educational attainment, and unemployment. Job training, child care services, and other services are needed to assist households with obtaining employment. According to poverty rates, minority populations are more likely to experience poverty. Limited income is a major factor that prevents many residents from exercising their choice in housing. In Manatee County, African-American and Hispanic households and other protected class groups display higher percentages of lower incomes than other households. Lower educational attainment among certain minority groups; lack of economic opportunity; and limited language proficiency.
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