RESOLUTION R-93-25
RESOLUTION GRANTING AN AMENDED DEVELOPMENT ORDER

WHEREAS, CREEKWOOD, INVESTORS, LTD., hereinafter referred to as "CREEKWOOD", proposed a Development of Regional Impact (DRI) for a mixed-use development located in Manatee County; and

WHEREAS, on October 22, 1985 Manatee County adopted Resolution R-85-219 granting Creekwood a DRI Development Order; and

WHEREAS, on May 20, 1986 Manatee County approved Resolution R-86-112 amending Resolution R-85-219 by deleting two hundred and forty (240) plus or minus acres from the approved Creekwood DRI Development Order; and

WHEREAS, on June 19, 1991 Creekwood filed a Notice of Proposed Change to the approved Development Order with the Manatee County Board of County Commissioners, pursuant to the provisions of Section 380.06, Florida Statutes; and

WHEREAS, said Notice of Proposed Change proposed:

Revising the legal description to reduce acreage from 1090± acres to 818.26± acres; and

- Amending the site plan (Exhibit "C") to dedicate a 25 acre community park at the northwest corner of the project; and
- Amending the site plan to reconfigure and relocate an approved 10.8 acre park located at the northeast corner of I-75 and State Route 70 to a 24.7 acre linear park located parallel to and east of I-75; and
- Amending the site plan to relocate 7500 sq. ft. of approved commercial floor area from the interior of the project west of I-75, to the approved commercial area fronting State Route 70 west of I-75; and
- Amending the site plan to provide two (2) additional access points to State Road 70 and to relocate existing access points on State Route 70; and
- Amending stipulation #2 of the Buffer Conditions to decrease the building setback adjacent to the power line easement from 50 feet to 10 feet; and
- Amending stipulation #4 of the Public Safety Conditions to allow limited use of street names within the project; and
- Revising the project phasing schedule to extend buildout for each phase by 4 years; and
- Amending the site plan to change the zoning and land use of a 6.8 acre parcel located at the southwest corner of the project from Planned Development Residential (PDR) to Planned Development Commercial (PDC) with no increase in the project’s overall intensity; and
- Amending the site plan to change the zoning and land use on a 16.1 acre parcel located on the west side of I-75 approximately 1/4 mile north of State Route 70 from Planned Development Residential (PDR) to Planned Development Commercial (PDC) with no increase in the project’s overall intensity; and
- Amending the zoning of a 10.8± acre parcel located at the northeast corner of I-75 and State Route 70 from Planned Development Residential (PDR) to Planned Development Industrial (PDI) with no increase in the project’s overall intensity; and
- Amending the zoning and land use of a 33.4± acre parcel located east of Interstate 75 and approximately one (1) mile north of State Road 70, from Planned Development Residential (PDR) to Planned Development Industrial (PDI) with no increase in the project’s overall intensity; and
Reduction of a 100 foot wide buffer to 30 feet in width adjacent to the east side of Interstate 75 approximately one (1) mile north of State Road 70; and

Redistribution of residential densities as well as residential dwelling types within the boundaries of the project; and

Revisions to the development order including, but not limited to project phasing conditions, land use conditions, buffer conditions, water quality conditions, parks and recreation conditions, public education conditions, public safety conditions, transportation conditions, off-site facility development fee conditions and general conditions.

WHEREAS, the described project lies within the unincorporated area of Manatee County, a political subdivision of the State of Florida; and

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners is the governing body of the local government having jurisdiction pursuant to Section 380.06, Florida Statutes; and

WHEREAS the Planning Commission has reviewed the application of the Notice of Proposed Change and has filed a recommendation on said application with the Board of County Commissioners; and

WHEREAS, The Board of County Commissioners has received and considered the recommendation of the Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of Manatee County has on July 23, 1992, September 24, 1992, October 22, 1992, November 19, 1992, January 28, 1993, February 25, 1993, March 9, 1993, March 25, 1993 and April 22, 1993 held duly noticed public hearings on said application for the Notice of Proposed Change, and has solicited, received and considered reports, comments, and recommendations from interested citizens, county and city agencies, and the applicant, the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council, the Department of Community Affairs, as well as the review and report of the Manatee County Planning, Permitting and Inspections Department.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MANATEE COUNTY, FLORIDA, IN A REGULAR MEETING ASSEMBLED THIS 22ND DAY OF APRIL, 1993, AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. AMENDMENT OF DEVELOPMENT ORDER FOR DRI NO. 13, R-85-219.

The previous development order for Creekwood, which was adopted on October 22, 1985, and all subsequent amendments are hereby amended in their entirety, provided this amendment shall not be construed to terminate the rights of the developer, if any, granted under section 163.3167(8) Florida Statutes, to the extent such rights have previously been granted and not specifically herein or otherwise modified or amended.

SECTION 2. FINDINGS OF FACT

The Board of County Commissioners of said County, after considering the testimony, evidence, documentation, application for amendment of the Official Zoning Atlas, the recommendation and findings of the Planning Commission of Manatee County, as well as all other matters presented to said Board at the public hearing hereinafter referenced, hereby makes the following findings of fact:

A. The Board of County Commissioners of said County has received and considered the recommendation of the Manatee County Planning Commission concerning the Notice of Proposed Change to the DRI as it relates to the real property described in Exhibit "A" of this Ordinance, pursuant to Section 380.06, Florida Statutes. The resolution was rendered on April 22, 1993, following public hearing.

herein, in accordance with the requirements of Manatee County Ordinance No. 90-01 (the Manatee County Land Development Code) and Ordinance No. 89-01 (Manatee County Comprehensive Plan) and has further considered the testimony, comments, and information received at the Public Hearing.

C. The proposed Notice of Change to the DRI regarding the property described in Exhibit "A" herein is found to be consistent with the requirements of Manatee County Ordinance No. 89-01 (the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan), provided it proceeds in accordance with the Development Conditions specified herein.

D. The "Developer" of the Project submitted to Manatee County, Florida an application for a Notice of Proposed Change, which is incorporated herein by reference.

E. The real property, which is the subject of this Development Order, is legally described as set forth in Exhibit "A" of this Development Order.

F. The Project is not in an Area of Critical State Concern, as designated pursuant to Section 380.05, Florida Statutes.

G. In addition to the other changes approved in this Development Order, the time frame for the buildout of the following phases shall be amended. Phase I shall be extended from 1991 to 1996, an extension of five years. Phase II shall be extended from 1996 to 2003, an extension of six years and eleven months. Phase III shall be extended from 2001 to 2006, an extension of five years. Phase IV shall be extended from 2005 to 2009, an extension of four years. Given these extensions are all less than seven years, the County finds they do not create a substantial deviation to the previously approved Creekwood DRI.

H. The authorized agent for the Project is Bobby L. Beavers, and his address is 1350 Tradeport Drive, Suite 101, Jacksonville, Florida 32218.

I. The owner of the property, which Creekwood Investors, Ltd. intends to develop, is Creekwood, Ltd. and Wilma Creekwood Joint Venture West.

J. A comprehensive review of the impacts generated by the Development has been conducted by the departments of Manatee County, the Planning Commission, the Board of County Commissioners.

SECTION 3. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. Based upon the previous findings of fact and the following conditions of the Development Order, the Board of County Commissioners of Manatee County concluded that:

1. The Project will not unreasonably interfere with the achievement of the objectives of the Adopted State Land Development Plan applicable to the area.

2. The Project is consistent with the local land development regulations and is consistent with the State Comprehensive Plan (SCP) the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council’s Future of the Region, A Comprehensive Regional Policy Plan (FRCPPP), and Ordinance 89-01 (The Manatee County Comprehensive Plan, as amended).

B. That these proceedings have been duly conducted pursuant to applicable law and regulations, and based upon the record in these proceedings, the Developer is authorized to conduct development as described herein, subject to the conditions, restrictions, and limitations set forth below.

C. That the review by the County reveals that impacts of the development are adequately addressed pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 380, Florida Statutes, within the terms and conditions of this Development Order.
D. That the impacts caused by the amendment to resolution R-85-219 as described herein in Section 4 and which were requested in the Notice of Proposed Change do not constitute a Substantial Deviation.

SECTION 4. AMENDMENTS TO APPROVED DEVELOPMENT

The application for a Notice of Proposed Change to the Creekwood DRI is hereby approved, amending the previous Development Order and its amendments in their entirety, subject to the conditions listed herein, to allow the following changes in the plan of development:

A. Amending the site plan (Exhibit "C") to dedicate a 25 acre community park at the northwest corner of the project; and

B. Amending the site plan to reconfigure and relocate an approved 10.8 acre park located at the northeast corner of I-75 and State Route 70 to a 24.7 acre linear park located parallel to and east of I-75; and

C. Amending the site plan to relocate 7500 sq. ft. of approved commercial floor area from the interior of the project west of I-75, to the approved commercial area fronting State Route 70 west of I-75; and

D. Amending the site plan to provide two (2) additional access points to State Road 70 and to relocate existing access points on State Route 70; and

E. Amending stipulation #2 of the Buffer Conditions to decrease the building setback adjacent to the power line easement from 50 feet to 10 feet; and

F. Amending stipulation #4 of the Public Safety Conditions to allow limited use of street names within the project; and

G. Revising the project phasing schedule to extend buildout for each phase by 4 years; and

H. Amending the site plan to change the zoning and land use of a 6.8 acre parcel located at the southwest corner of the project from Planned Development Residential (PDR) to Planned Development Commercial (PDC) with no increase in the project's overall intensity; and

I. Amending the site plan to change the zoning and land use on a 16.1 acre parcel located on the west side of I-75 approximately 1/4 mile north of State Route 70 from Planned Development Residential (PDR) to Planned Development Commercial (PDC) with no increase in the project's overall intensity; and

J. Amending the zoning of a 10.8 acre parcel located at the northeast corner of I-75 and State Route 70 from Planned Development Residential (PDR) to Planned Development Industrial (PDI) with no increase in the project's overall intensity; and

K. Amending the zoning and land use of a 33.4 acre parcel located east of Interstate 75 and approximately one (1) mile north of State Road 70, from Planned Development Residential (PDR) to Planned Development Industrial (PDI) with no increase in the projects overall intensity; and

L. Reduction of a 100 foot wide buffer to 30 feet in width adjacent to the east side of Interstate 75 approximately one (1) mile north of State Road 70; and

M. Redistribution of residential densities as well as residential dwelling types within the boundaries of the project; and

N. Revisions to the development order including, but not limited to project phasing conditions, land use conditions, buffer conditions, water quality conditions, parks and recreation conditions, public education conditions, public safety conditions, transportation conditions, off-site facility development fee conditions and general conditions.
SECTION 5.  DEVELOPMENT COMPONENTS

Approval of this resolution authorizes development of the following land uses, when developed in conjunction with the terms of this resolution, and any applicable local, regional, state or federal regulations.

A.  2,805 dwelling units
B.  427,500 square feet of commercial
C.  2,000,000 square feet of industrial
D.  184,000 square feet of office

SECTION 6.  DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

PROJECT PHASING CONDITIONS

1.  Revise as follows: The developer shall comply with the deadlines contained in the revised phasing schedule which are listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESIDENTIAL</th>
<th>PHASES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH I SUB I</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-I</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA I</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA II</td>
<td>487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>2,805</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| COMMERCIAL                      |                   |
| PARCEL  | SQUARE FEET |                   |
| C-1      | 40,000      | 40,000             |
| C-2      | 235,000     | 150,000            | 85,000                |
| C-4      | 20,000      | 7,900              | 12,100                |
| C-5      | 20,000      | 20,000             |
| C-6      | 20,000      | 20,000             |
| C-7      | 15,000      | 15,000             |
| G        | 77,500      | 32,500             | 45,000                |
| TOTAL    | 427,500     | 7,900              | 257,100               | 117,500                | 45,000                 |
The developer shall submit a revised transportation analysis with any request for project extension. The Manatee County Board of County Commissioners shall review this analysis and determine whether or not the requested extension constitutes a substantial deviation pursuant to Section 380.06 Florida Statutes.

**PHASING BUILDTOUT SCHEDULE**

1. In addition to the foregoing Preliminary Plan submittal Project Phasing Conditions, the developer must adhere to the following phasing buildout schedule. This phasing buildout schedule is for Section 380.06, Florida Statutes, purposes only and does not serve to extend the dates for preliminary or final plans submittal as referenced in the Project Phasing Condition or compliance with the Comprehensive Plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1986-1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>1993-2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>1996-2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2001-2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Preliminary site plans shall be valid for a period of three (3) years. Final site plans shall be valid until the end of the phase for which development is proposed as described in the phasing buildout schedule.

**LAND USE CONDITIONS**

1. Development within the Evers Reservoir Watershed shall be restricted to a maximum density of five dwelling units per acre.

2. Maximum heights permitted within the project shall be as follows:
   a. Apartments - 6 stories
   b. All other residential uses - 3 stories
   c. Commercial uses in the PDC & PDI - 40 feet
   d. Office buildings/hotels - The maximum height for office buildings and hotels shall be determined at the time of Preliminary Development Plan approval.
   e. Industrial/warehouse - 40 feet

3. Signs within the boundaries of the Creekwood development shall comply with all regulations in effect at the time of permitting.

4. At the time of preliminary site plan submittal, the applicant shall demonstrate compliance with the requirements of the Planned Development District in effect at that time including, but not limited to, such concerns as entranceway requirements, aesthetic appearance from State...
Road 70 and Interstate 75, ample provision for open space, adequate
restrictions to prohibit unsightly vehicles, trash, etc., and a
maintenance program which assures that an attractive appearance will be
maintained.

5. Light manufacturing, high tech industries, office parks and major
product supply and distribution centers are the primary uses proposed
within the industrial park. Secondary uses, such as hotels/motels,
service stations, service establishments and mini-warehouses shall
occupy no more than 10% of the PDI district. Industrial uses to be
constructed within the Evers Reservoir Watershed Protection Overlay
District, which have not received either a preliminary plan or
preliminary plat approval prior to the effective date of Resolution R-
93-25, must employ Best Possible Technology so that such uses will not
be contrary to the public health, safety, and welfare. Best Possible
Technology shall be in accordance with the laws in effect at the time of
General Development Plan or General Development Plan/Preliminary Site
Plan approval and shall be shown on the plan and/or plat for
consideration and approval by the Board of County Commissioners.

6. All buildings, parking and service areas within the 10.8 acre PDI parcel
located at the northeast quadrant shall maintain a minimum setback of
100 feet from State Road 70 and Interstate 75. All trash and garbage
receptacles that are visible from State Road 70 shall be screened with
materials similar to the adjacent building facade. All truck loading,
service areas, outside storage and parking of heavy equipment, semi-
trucks and/or trailers or other vehicles over 1-1/2 tons shall be
located at the non-street side of the building when adjacent to
Interstate 75, and State Road 70, unless they are not visible from a
height of five (5) feet at the edge of pavement of said street, to be
determined at time of Certificate of Occupancy.

7. The linear park, located east of and parallel to I-75, shall maintain a
minimum upland width of 20' feet at all locations. All industrial
development shall maintain a minimum building setback of 30 feet and a
minimum setback of 20 feet for all other site improvements from the
park. A stabilized pedestrian/bicycle travelway, a minimum width of 6
feet, shall be installed within the length of the park prior to the next
Final Plat approval east of Interstate 75. The pedestrian/bicycle
travelway shall be as shown on the revised Master Development Plan and
connect to the main north/south roadway within the industrial area at a
point immediately adjacent to State Road 70 and at a point perpendicular
to the northern terminus of the park. The park and the
pedestrian/bicycle travelway shall be designated as a common
recreational easement on all future plan/plat submittals.

8. The developer shall be allowed to construct a total of 2,805 dwelling
units. Dwellings shall be constructed in accordance with the density
approved for each specific parcel in the revised Master Development
Plan.

BUFFER CONDITIONS

1. The 100 foot wide buffer, shown on the Conceptual Development Plan,
shall be provided as shown on the Conceptual Development Plan.
Additionally, the depth, design, and location of landscaped buffers will
be determined when a Preliminary Development Plan is submitted for
approval. Continued maintenance of these buffers shall be assured
through appropriate measures such as covenants and deed restrictions.

2. A building setback of at least ten (10) feet shall be provided west of
and adjacent to the existing power line easement traversing the PDI
(Planned Development Industrial) District. This setback shall be
increased to twenty (20) feet for industrial lots which are directly
west of the proposed multi-family tract located in the Rosedale
development. Native trees lying within the remaining buffer shall not
be removed. Trees of a native species shall be planted in those areas
where the base of trees closer than twenty-five (25) feet apart do not
already exist. In addition, the screening buffers required between
zoning district boundaries by the and Development Code shall be
required, except for the requirements for trees if more stringent within this stipulation. These plantings shall occur prior to a certificate of occupancy for each lot and they shall meet the minimum standards of the Land Development Code.

3. No residential dwelling units shall be allowed between the L10 70dBA noise level contour and I-75 or State Road 70 unless such residences are protected by some performance equivalent measure to achieve the L10 60 to 60 dBA range. Living areas shall be located and designed in a manner which orients the living areas and outdoor activity areas away from the noise source. Living areas include bedrooms, lanais and Florida rooms. Buildings shall be positioned to maximize the distance between the residential units and the noise source. The use of existing vegetation, earthen berms, decorative walls and significant landscape buffering should be provided between the residential units and the noise source.

Additionally, residential units constructed within noise level contours in excess of the L10 65 contour must meet the sound levels identified by the EPA as sufficient to protect public health and welfare (see Table on Pg 211). The applicant shall demonstrate compliance with these standards at the time of Final Planned Development Plan approval.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

1. At the time of the first Preliminary Development Plan submittal, a Master Drainage Plan for the entire development, including a demonstration that the effect the proposed altering and deepening of existing wetlands will have on their ability to filter surface water and percolating groundwater will be acceptable, shall be submitted. This Master Drainage Plan, though it may have been approved by the County, will be subject to modifications by new state-of-the-art techniques and surface water management performance standards that may be developed or adopted during the course of the development process.

2. Stormwater management system design for that portion of the development lying within the Bakers Reservoir Watershed shall meet or exceed any requirements of the Manatee County Comprehensive Plan and the Manatee County Land Development Code, as may be amended. This shall not be interpreted to mean that the developer is required to retrofit any approved and existing stormwater systems which do not meet this requirement.

3. In conjunction with the submittal of the first Preliminary Development Plan, a demonstration of compliance with the Watershed Protection, Special Treatment and Agricultural Fringe Overlay zones shall be submitted. As required by the Special Treatment District, the Board of County Commissioners must make a determination prior to construction that "Best Possible Technology" is being employed prior to construction.

4. The Stormwater Maintenance Plan, submitted with the first Preliminary Development Plan, shall be designed using accepted best management practices to provide a natural hydroperiod within each wetland. Isolated wetlands shall, where practical, be incorporated into the stormwater system. Construction and post-construction monitoring of surface and groundwater quality and quantity shall be outlined and implemented; included in this plan shall also be the design and execution of a maintenance program to ensure the adequate functioning of the system beyond project buildout. The stormwater management system shall be designed with enough flexibility to allow for hydroperiod refinement, as needed.

5. All jurisdictional wetlands and streams shall be preserved physically and functionally. The only exception may be those areas in which appropriate environmental permits and local approvals are obtained.

All development on parcels I-10 and H shall comply with the wetland policies of the 1990 Land Development Code, as amended. All other parcels, which receive a preliminary site plan approval after the effective date of this resolution, shall, at a minimum, comply with the following wetland policies:
a. A conservation easement, 15 feet wide, shall be provided from the most landward extent of any post development jurisdictional wetland. A 15 foot setback shall be required between the upland edge of the buffer and adjacent structures.

b. A minimum in-kind mitigation ratio of 1:1 shall be required for all wetlands being altered.

6. The Preliminary Development Plan, as submitted by phase, shall specifically also outline which and how much of each vegetation association is being preserved. Every effort shall be made by the developer and any future developers of this property to preserve a diverse range of the existing wildlife habitat. These preserve areas should be as large as possible and should be located adjacent to wetlands and/or a different upland community type.

7. Wetlands on the project site shall be preserved consistent with Map F-1 on page 44 of the ADA additional information response and with s.2.7 of the Council’s adopted Future of the Region.

8. Representative tracts of all major upland vegetative communities shall be preserved in their natural state to serve as conservation/recreation areas.

9. The wind and soil erosion controls including sodding, hydroseding, mulching and bare soil wetting, committed to by the developer in the ADA, shall be required.

WATER QUALITY CONDITIONS

1. To assure water quality is maintained:

a. The developer shall institute a program to provide a body of initial baseline water quality data before any significant groundbreaking takes place. As well as institute a bi-annual ongoing monitoring program through project build-out. Sampling stations shall be at the sampling sites shown in Exhibit B (attached).

Sampling should be taken on a crested hydrograph and should occur immediately after a one-inch storm event, and after a dry period, during both the rainy season (June-September) and the dry season (November-February). Significant water quality parameters should include, but not be limited to, the following:

- streamflow (cu. ft./sec.);
- turbidity (ntu);
- specific conductance (umho/cm at 25 deg. C);
- dissolved oxygen (mg/l);
- biochemical oxygen demand (mg/l);
- pH;
- total orthophosphate as P (mg/l);
- total organic nitrogen as N (mg/l);
- total organic carbon as C (mg/l);
- total coliforms, multiple tube method, MPN (col/100 ml);
- total lead as Pb (ug/l); and
- temperature (deg. C).

All water quality methods and procedures shall be thoroughly documented and shall comply with EPA/DER Quality Control standards and shall be submitted to Manatee County Planning, Permitting and Inspections Department, Manatee County Environmental Action Commission and TBPRPC.

b. The developer shall provide baseline water level contour maps for the unconfined aquifer on the Creekwood site, to reflect conditions present during both the wet season (June-September) and the dry season (November-February). In addition, the developer shall provide for review annually updated ground water contour maps as described above, until the project reaches completion.
2. In order to protect water quality, the following parameters shall be included in the project drainage plan.
   a. The developer shall submit for approval a wetland-lake management plan, to be implemented during construction and after completion of the project. The plan shall address, but not be limited to, the following:
      - proposed wetland/lake alterations;
      - control of exotic species;
      - control of on-site water quality
      - maintenance of natural hydroperiod; and
      - methods for wetland restoration/enhancement.
   b. The drainage system shall be designed to provide retention, or detention with filtration/assimilation treatment for the first 1" of runoff generated from the site during the 25-year, 24-hour design storm; and that maximum post-development shall not exceed pre-development flows for the same design storm.
   c. The proposed retention/detention wetland systems shall be designed to be consistent with the Stormwater and Lake Systems Maintenance and Design Guidelines (TBRPC 1978). The design criteria of this system should include the following elements:
      (1) A minimum of 15 but no more than 50 percent of the surface area of the detention pond at the normal water level (NWL) shall consist of a shallow vegetated littoral shelf.
      (2) The littoral shelf shall be incorporated into the pond bank, preferably near the pond outlet, to provide a final polishing treatment for the stormwater. As an alternative, the littoral shelf shall be established on a shallow submerged island in the middle of the pond.
      (3) A sediment sump shall be provided at all influent pipes to accumulate sediment and to provide easy access for sediment removal.
      (4) The littoral shelf, if located along the pond bank, shall have side slopes no greater than 7:1 with the top of the shelf at NWL and sloping to a depth of three feet or less.
      (5) The littoral shelf shall be vegetated with a diverse group of native species which can include Sagittaria, pickerelweed, Juncus, water lilies, cypress, etc. These species aid in nutrient and heavy metal uptake as well as enhance the pond by providing blooming flowers and presenting a more "natural" appearance.
      (6) A copy of an operation and maintenance (O & M) schedule shall be provided to the owner, and be submitted to TBRPC with the annual report. The O & M schedule should include an estimation of the frequency of sediment removal operations and should mention the periodic need for removing dead vegetation.

HAZARDOUS WASTE CONDITIONS

1. Prior to the construction of industrial facilities, hazardous waste storage and disposal plans, including transportation of wastes, shall be provided and approved.

2. The developer shall provide separate hazardous waste storage containers/areas within the project prior to or concurrent with the certificate of occupancy for the first business within the project. These containers/areas shall be accessible to all project businesses and shall be clearly marked and/or colored so as to clearly distinguish the containers/areas intended for hazardous wastes and materials. Such containers shall also be designed to prevent unauthorized use or entry. The hazardous waste storage containers/areas shall be located within the Evers Reservoir Watershed Overlay District until such
time as the first industrial use outside of the Evers Reservoir Watershed Overlay District is constructed, at which time the storage containers/areas shall be relocated outside of the Evers Reservoir Watershed Overlay District.

The developer shall provide to all Creekwood businesses information that:

a. Indicates types of waste and materials that are considered to be hazardous and are to be stored or disposed of only in the specially-designed containers; and

b. Indicates the location of the specially-designed hazardous waste and materials containers; and

c. Advises of applicable statutes and regulations regarding hazardous wastes and materials.

The developer shall ensure that any hazardous waste will be transported and disposed of in a manner consistent with applicable regulations.

ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONDITION

1. At the time a Preliminary Development Plan for the specific areas referenced in the May 24, 1984 letter from the State Division of Archives, History and Records Management is submitted, the developer must show the location of all archaeological and historic sites identified by a systematic, professional archaeological and historic survey. If any sites exist, the ones which are significant must be protected and preserved.

PARKS AND RECREATION CONDITIONS

1. All park areas within the project shall be open to use by employees who work in the non-residential components of this project.

2. Implementation of the bike/pedestrian path shall be required as committed in the ADA, unless more restrictive conditions have been placed on the development in this order.

3. Development plans shall assure adequate buffering between the industrial, office, commercial and residential designations of this development through appropriate measures such as covenants and deed restrictions.

4. The entity responsible for maintenance of all open space areas of this development has been identified in the ADA. Assignment of specific responsibility for open spaces shall be made at the time of Preliminary Development Plan submittal.

5. The 25 acre park located in the northwest quadrant of the project as shown on Revised Map H shall be deeded to the County by the Developer upon request of the County. The County shall utilize said acreage for public park purposes. The Developer shall be entitled to impact fee credit as set forth in Section 806.4.1 of the Land Development Code, as may be amended.

If the County desires to develop the park prior to the Developer extending Creekwood Boulevard from the southern boundary of Williams Creek north, the County may construct that portion of Creekwood Boulevard necessary to access the park. The Developer shall provide Manatee County with sufficient right-of-way for said construction. If Manatee County undertakes construction of any portion of the roadway, Manatee County shall obtain all necessary permits including any wetland impact permits required for said construction. The Developer shall have the right to review construction plans prior to commencement of construction to determine compatibility with its development plans including, but not limited to, provision of sufficient curb cuts to access development parcels. The road will be constructed to County standards. If the county constructs the road described in this
paragraph, and the road is of a type not eligible for impact fee credits pursuant to Section 806.4 of the Land Development Code, then the developer shall reimburse the county for the cost of construction. Reimbursement shall be required in conjunction with final site plan or final plat approval for development of the parcels adjacent to that portion of the road which the county constructs. If the road is eligible for impact fee credit and the county constructs the roadway, the developer will not be entitled to reimbursement.

The Developer shall have the right to relocate the right-of-way and/or constructed road at its expense.

**PUBLIC SAFETY CONDITIONS**

1. Creekwood shall contribute a pro-rata share of the cost of constructing a fire station on the Tara public service site. The timing and amount of the required financial contribution shall be determined at the time of Preliminary Development Plan submittal.

2. Creekwood shall contribute a pro-rata share of the cost to construct and equip the Sheriff Department’s portion of the Public Services Building on the Tara Public Site. The timing and amount of the required financial contribution shall be determined at the time of Preliminary Development Plan submittal.

3. The use of street names for the main entrance roads to both the residential and industrial portion of the project may be allowed. The entrance road to the residential portion of the project shall be allowed as a designation of Creekwood Boulevard and the entrance road to the industrial portion of the project may be allowed to be named as a boulevard at a later time. All other streets within the project shall be numbered in accordance with the revised county grid system, unless street names are allowed by the Board of County Commissioners for those other streets at a later time.

**TRANSPORTATION CONDITIONS**

1. Improvements shall be required to the project entrances on State Road 70 and to the intersection of State Road 70 and I-75. Such improvements shall include, but not be limited to, left turn storage lanes, signalization, ramp improvements and additional through lanes. The extent and timing of improvements required shall be determined by the County Engineer with the review of each phase.

2. Developer shall provide for a 120 foot wide right-of-way for 44th Avenue East (Cortez Road Extended) through the project. Two lanes of this roadway shall be constructed through the project by the developer with development of parcels adjacent thereto. Sufficient right-of-way to construct the bridge approach shall be dedicated, but the developer shall not be responsible for constructing the bridge over I-75 as an on-site transportation improvement.

3. Construction of approximately 1,600 feet of 44th Avenue East, from the west property boundary west to the existing pavement by the developer, to a two-lane section, including payment for acquisition of necessary right of way, shall be required prior to final plat approval of the 101st dwelling unit north of Williams Creek. The primary entrance road (Creekwood Boulevard) to the residential portion of the project shall connect to 44th Avenue at the time of construction of the extension of 44th Avenue, mentioned herein. Manatee County shall cooperate with Creekwood in the condemnation of any land necessary to meet this requirement.

4. A road shall be constructed to connect parcels C-6 and C-7 with the western project boundary which shall cul-de-sac at that point. Its alignment shall be designed to match the frontage road planned for the Campbell Commercial Center. These roads shall be constructed within a dedicated right of way or public access easement.
5. The proposed entrance onto State Road 70 at the southwest corner of the development shall be relocated eastward to facilitate the frontage road from the Campbell Commercial Center and to avoid conflict with regard to intersection function.

6. The intersections of any driveway (including the frontage road) with the project entrance boulevard onto State Road 70 shall be located as far from State Road 70 right-of-way as necessary to avoid conflict with regard to intersection function.

7. All roads shown on the Conceptual Development Plan, including required stub-outs to the property boundary, shall be dedicated to Manatee County with adequate rights-of-way as determined by the County Engineer.

8. A road stub-out shall be provided to the eastern boundary of the PDI parcel to connect with the proposed emergency access which was required with the Rosedale development.

9. The need for wider internal roads or potential changes in their proposed alignment shall be addressed at the time of Preliminary Development Plan submittal.

10. The proposed owner's association documents shall contain a provision requiring the occupants of the industrial park to coordinate shift changes to reduce peak hour traffic.

11. In accordance with Policy 9-1.C of the Manatee Plan, daily Level of Service "C" and "D" at peak hours as determined by the Highway Capacity Manual (1965) and Highway Circular 212, or most current manual, and in accordance with guidelines and conclusions acceptable to the County, Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council and the Department of Community Affairs shall be maintained on all of the following thoroughfares which have been identified by the Tampa Bay Regional Planning Council as receiving from Creekwood, five percent (5%) or more of daily Level of Service "C" capacity:

   a. University Parkway from Lockwood Ridge Road to I-75
   b. State Road 70 from U.S. 41 to I-75
   c. Lockwood Ridge Road from University Parkway to State Road 70
   d. State Road 64 from Morgan Johnson Road to I-75
   e. I-75 from University Parkway to State Road 70
   f. State Road 780 from Honore Avenue to I-75
   g. Intersection of State Road 70 and State Road 683
   h. Intersection of State Road 70 and 45th Street East
   i. Intersection of State Road 70 and Caruso Road
   j. Intersection of State Road 70 and U.S. 301
   k. Intersection of State Road 64 and Morgan Johnson Road
   l. Intersection of State Road 70 and the Project Entrances
   m. Intersection of State Road 70 and I-75
   n. Intersection of State Road 70 and U.S. 41

No plat or site plan shall receive approval if the approval of such plat or site plan would generate traffic which, in conjunction with existing traffic and traffic anticipated as a result of other development approvals, and other circumstance upon which the County may base traffic projections, will have the likely result of causing or contributing to a degradation of the Level of Service on these road links and
intersections to a daily level below C or D at peak hour as determined above, or, if a lower level has already been reached prior to the commencement of phase I, any degradation of that level of Service. Each request for plat or site plan approval must be accompanied by a traffic study prepared or provided in this paragraph and stipulation #15 of the general conditions contained herein. Level of Service on the above referenced links and intersections shall be verified as part of each annual report as required by Chapter 380.06(16) Florida Statutes and stipulation #15 of the general conditions contained herein. If any traffic study required above for any phase shows that the project will contribute more than ten percent (10%) of the Level of Service C capacity of State Road 70 between State Road 683 and U.S. 301, then that phase shall not be approved unless the improvements necessary to achieve a Level of Service D on that segment is under construction.

This stipulation shall not be construed as diminishing any rights to credits for improvements paid for by the developer pursuant to this stipulation that would be available under stipulation #14 of the general conditions contained herein.

12. Access to the project shall be as shown on the Revised Map H.

13. A cross-access easement plan for parcels within the development which do not have access to a road shown on the revised Master Development Plan (AA-2, B, 0-1), shall be approved by Manatee County prior to or concurrent with the preliminary site plan, or preliminary subdivision plat, for those parcels.

OFF SITE FACILITY DEVELOPMENT Fee CONDITIONS

1. The developer, its successors and assigns shall be bound by any and all impact fee ordinance(s) in effect at the time of building permit issuance. Impact fees shall be collected by Manatee County unless provisions have been made for another entity to collect all or part of the fees.

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. The developer shall demonstrate the availability of adequate service/capability to provide water, wastewater treatment, solid waste disposal, electricity, water, police, fire and EMS service with each Preliminary Development Plan submittal.

2. All structures shall meet at a minimum the building requirements of the Southern Standard Building Code, as amended.

3. The developer shall satisfy county requirements regarding the provision of EMS and police services to this portion of the County.

4. The energy conservation measures referenced in the ADA shall be required as a condition of approval.

5. Implementation of the bike/pedestrian path shall be required as committed in the ADA.

6. The development of this project shall proceed in accordance with the information plans, projections, representations and materials contained in the Application for Master Development Approval and application for rezoning and Conceptual Plan approval, except as modified by the stipulations, conditions and requirements set forth herein or in the approval of the ADA, or set forth in subsequent DRI or local approvals required for this development.

7. Nothing herein shall be construed as limiting the authority of Manatee County, in the course of review and development of this project, to implement and apply laws, ordinances, rules and regulations under its jurisdiction consistent with the approval hereby granted.
8. The matters addressed herein, as well as additional matters that are appropriate to consider at later stages of review, may be reflected in additional and/or more detailed stipulations, conditions and requirements to be formulated and applied at later stages of review of this project under local procedures.

9. In the event that the import of any of the stipulations contained herein appears uncertain, interpretation thereof should be by reference to the staff report presented to the Planning Commission and Board of County Commissioners in connection with their consideration of this development proposal, and by reference to the record of the hearings before these bodies.

10. In the event ownership of any portion of the property embraced by this project, or any of the development rights acquired by virtue of this or subsequent approvals, is transferred to any party other than the applicant, the applicant or other transferee of such property or rights shall notify the Board of County Commissioners thereof and shall, in writing, identify the party or parties who will then be responsible to fulfill all the obligations and meet the conditions established by these stipulations and by any subsequently established stipulations.

11. Nothing herein shall be construed as authorizing any use of the property other than as described in the Conceptual Development Plan hereby approved, nor shall anything herein be construed as denying the property owner or developer any rights to the continuation of existing uses that may be provided by the Land Development Code or other applicable law.

12. The terms "fair share" and "pro-rata share" as used herein refer to a contribution or participation by the developer, whose purpose is to address an impact generated by new development upon the public domain or upon services or facilities provided by the County, in an amount or of a value that reflects as nearly as is practicable, the impact of this development as a proportion of the total of impacts upon the same services, facilities, or element of the Public domain, that are expected to be generated by all developments in the impact area that have received approval or that are specifically anticipated on the basis of submitted development requests.

13. Where these stipulations require, expressly or by implication, an exercise of discretion or judgment, but do not specify the nature or parameters thereof, or where some determination must be made or approval granted or condition satisfied, the necessary decision or judgment shall be made by the County; but in doing so the County shall act reasonably and in good faith and, where appropriate, in accordance with accepted practice.

14. In the event the County or other government entity with jurisdiction in the matter establishes impact fees or similar charges that are designed to pay the cost of any of the types of facilities, services, or impacts upon the public domain that the developer by virtue of these stipulations has an affirmative obligation to address, and such fees are payable with respect to portions of the development thereafter completed or finally approved, appropriate reductions in developer’s obligations as set forth herein shall be considered and should be reflected in stipulations made a part of subsequent approvals.

15. The developer and any successors in interest shall submit annual DRI reports in accordance with Section 380.0616, Florida Statutes, to Manatee County, the TBRPC, the State Land Planning Agency and other agencies, as may be appropriate, on the second anniversary of the effective date of this Order and each year thereafter until such time as all terms and conditions of this Order are satisfied. Six copies of this report shall be submitted to the Director of the Manatee County Planning, Permitting and Inspections Department who shall review the report for compliance with the terms and conditions of this Order. Should the director decide that further orders and conditions are necessary to ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of this Order, he shall submit the report to the Manatee County Board of County Commissioners. The Developer shall be notified of any Board of County
Commissioners hearing wherein such report is to be reviewed; provided, however, that receipt and review of any such report by the Board of County Commissioners shall not be considered as a substitute, waiver or change of conditions as to any terms or conditions of this Order. The annual report shall contain:

a. The information required by the State Land Planning Agency to be included in the annual report, which information is described in the Rules and Regulations promulgated by that Agency, pursuant to Section 380.06(16), Florida Statutes;

b. Changes in the plan of development or phasing for the reporting year and for the next year, together with a description of all development activities proposed to be conducted pursuant to this Order for the year immediately following the annual report;

c. A summary comparison of development activity proposed and actually conducted for the reporting year;

d. A summary providing the actual daily water and sanitary sewer requirements, in terms of gallons per day, for the reporting year and a projection of the expected daily water and sewer flow requirement for each of the five (5) succeeding years;

e. Undeveloped tracts of land that have been sold to a separate entity or developer and the identity of that purchaser, together with a statement listing the names and addresses of any heir, assignee or successor in interest to this Order;

f. Identification and intended use of lands purchased, leased or optioned by the Developer adjacent to the DRI site since the Order was issued;

g. An assessment of the Developer's and local government's compliance with conditions of approval contained in the Order;

h. Any requests for a substantial deviation determination that were filed in the reporting year and to be filed during the next year;

i. A statement that all persons have been sent copies of the annual report in conformance with Subsections 380.06(14) and (16), Florida Statutes; and

j. A copy of any notice of the adoption of a development order or the subsequent modification of an adopted development order that was recorded by the Developer, pursuant to Subsection 380.06(14)(d), Florida Statutes.

k. Failure to file an annual report as provided within shall suspend any further development.

16. All references made in Resolution R-93-25 to a conceptual development plan shall hereinafter refer to revised Map H, as amended herein.

17. Any parcel for which Preliminary Site Plans are submitted after September 16, 2004 or a Final Site Plan is submitted after September 16, 2005, shall comply with the requirements of the 1990 Land Development Code, as amended. This provision shall not apply if the property in which this site plan is part of was included as part of a Final Plat approval which was not required to comply with the policies of the Comprehensive Plan and the 1990 Land Development Code, as amended.

18. The Developer shall include in each Notice to Buyer for any property sold east of Interstate 75 within the DRI boundaries, a statement that the use of the two hundred forty (240) plus or minus acres excluded from the Creekwood, Ltd. Development of Regional Impact approval is for a County owned treatment facility that may include spray irrigation.
19. If the Development Order is appealed by the DCA or TBRPC, the Developer shall pay all costs and fees of County staff and attorneys that the County is required to expend related to said appeal. Said costs and fees shall be paid at the rate of the processing fee for Development of Regional Impacts under the current Planning, Permitting and Inspections fee schedules. Payment of all billings by the Developer related to such reasonable fees and costs shall be paid within 45 days of the submittal of an invoice, except those fees in dispute and under review or appeal. If the Development Order is appealed by DCA or TBRPC, and Manatee County chooses to pursue the appeal over the objections of the Developer, the Developer shall not be responsible for costs incurred subsequent to the Developer's objection.

SECTION 7. LEGAL DESCRIPTION

Development of Creekwood shall be restricted to the 8.8 acres owned by the Developer and described by the legal description included as Exhibit "A" attached to, and made a part of, this Development Order.

SECTION 8. GENERAL

1. This Resolution shall constitute a Development Order issued in accordance with Chapter 380, Florida Statutes.

2. Definitions and matters contained in Chapter 380, Florida Statutes, shall control the construction of any defined terms and matters appearing in this Development Order.

3. The following are hereby incorporated by reference and made a part of this Development Order:
   
   A. The "Application for Development Approval" together with supporting documents submitted by CREEKWOOD.
   
   B. The Application for a Notice of Proposed Change.
   
   C. The Ordinance granting rezoning to Planned Development Residential, Planned Development Commercial and Planned Development Industrial adopted July 10, 1985.
   
   D. The Ordinance amending the July 10, 1985 rezone which has an approval date of April 22, 1993.

SECTION 9. RESTRICTIONS ON DOWN-ZONING

The County may not down-zone or reduce the intensity or unit density permitted by this Order prior to September 16, 2007, unless the County can demonstrate that:

(a) substantial changes in the conditions underlying the approval of the Order have occurred; or

(b) the Order was based upon substantially inaccurate information provided by the Developer; or

(c) the change is clearly established by the County to be essential for the public health, safety, or welfare.

Any down-zoning or reduction of intensity or unit density shall be affected only through the usual and customary procedures required by statute and/or ordinance for changes in local land development regulations.

For the purposes of this Order, the term "down-zone" shall refer only to changes in zoning, land use, or development regulations that decrease the development rights approved by this Order, and nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to prohibit legally enacted changes in zoning regulations which do not decrease the development rights granted to the Developer by this Order.
The inclusion of this Section is not to be construed as evidencing any present or foreseeable intent on the part of the County to down-zone or alter the density or intensity of the Project, but is included herein to comply with Section 380.06(15)(c)(3), Florida Statutes.

SECTION 10. BINDING ORDER UPON DEVELOPER

This Development Order shall be binding upon the Developer.

SECTION 11. RENDITION

The Planning, Permitting and Inspections Department is hereby directed to send certified copies of this Order within thirty (30) days of the date of signature by the Chairman of the Board of County Commissioners to the Developer, the Florida Department of Community Affairs and the TBRPC.

SECTION 12. NOTICE OF RECORDING

The Developer shall record a notice of adoption of this Order as required pursuant to Chapter 180, Florida Statutes, and shall furnish the Planning, Permitting and Inspections Department a copy of the recorded notice.

SECTION 13. SEVERABILITY

It is the intent of this Development Order to comply with the requirements of all applicable law and constitutional requirements. If any provision or portion of this Development Order is declared by any court of competent jurisdiction to be void, unconstitutional, or unenforceable, then such provision or portion shall be deemed null and void, but all remaining provisions and portions of this Development Order shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 14. EFFECTIVE DATE

This Development Order shall become effective upon adoption by the Board of County Commissioners of Manatee County and transmittal to the TBRPC and the Florida Division of Community Affairs provided, however, that the filing of a notice of appeal pursuant to Chapter 180.07, Florida Statutes, stays the effectiveness of this Development Order.

PASSED AND DULY ADOPTED by the Board of County Commissioners of Manatee County, Florida this 22nd day of April, 1993.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
OF MANATEE COUNTY, FLORIDA

BY: [Signature]
Chairman

ATTEST: R. B. SHORE
Clerk of the Circuit Court
DESCRIPTION: GREEKWOOD - WEST

THE EAST 1/2 OF SECTION 11, TOWNSHIP 35 S., RANGE 18 E., LESS THAT CERTAIN PARCEL OF LAND AS DESCRIBED AND RECORDED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS BOOK 915, PAGE 966, PUBLIC RECORDS OF MANATEE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

ALSO:

THAT PART OF SECTION 12, TOWNSHIP 35 S., RANGE 18 E., LYING WEST OF STATE ROAD NO. 93 (INTERSTATE 75).

THAT PART OF THE NORTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 14, TOWNSHIP 35 S., RANGE 18 E., LYING WEST OF STATE ROAD NO. 93 (INTERSTATE 75), AND LYING NORTH OF STATE ROAD NO. 70.

ALSO:

THAT PART OF SECTION 13, TOWNSHIP 35 S., RANGE 18 E., LYING WEST OF STATE ROAD NO. 93 (INTERSTATE 75), AND NORTH OF STATE ROAD NO. 70.

ALL OF THE ABOVE BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCE AT A LIVERWOOD POST FOUND MARKING THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF THE NORTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 14, TOWNSHIP 35 S., RANGE 18 E., SAME BEING THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF THE EAST 1/2 OF SECTION 11, TOWNSHIP 35 S., RANGE 18 E., FOR A POINT OF BEGINNING, THENCE S 00°03'18" E., ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID NORTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 14, A DISTANCE OF 193.63 FT. TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF "CAMPBELL COMMERCIAL SUBDIVISION" AS RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK 21, PAGES 141 AND 142, BEFORESAID PUBLIC RECORDS; THEN CONTINUE S 00°33'18" E., ALONG THE EAST LINE OF "CAMPBELL COMMERCIAL SUBDIVISION", SAME BEING THE OCCUPIED SOUTH LINE OF SAID NORTHEAST 1/4, A DISTANCE OF 613.00 FT. TO THE INTERSECTION WITH THE NORTHERLY R/W OF STATE ROAD NO. 70, AS SHOWN ON F.D.O.I., R/W MAP, SECTION 13150-2503; THEN S 70°20'01" E., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY R/W, A DISTANCE OF 2,533 FT. TO THE P.C. OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 1,712.07 FT.; THENCE RUN SOUTHEASTERLY, ALONG SAID NORTHERLY R/W AND THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 01°08'15", A DISTANCE OF 319.93 FT. TO THE P.C. OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 1,725.24 FT.; THENCE RUN SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTHERLY R/W AND THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 01°08'15", A DISTANCE OF 942.63 FT. TO THE P.T. OF SAID CURVE; THENCE S 70°20'03" E., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY R/W, A DISTANCE OF 55.51 FT. TO THE INTERSECTION WITH THE NORTHERLY INTERCHANGE R/W OF STATE ROAD NO. 93 (INTERSTATE 75), AND SAID STATE ROAD NO. 70, AS SHOWN ON F.D.O.I., R/W MAP, SECTION 13174-2002; THENCE S 08°04'21" E., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY INTERCHANGE R/W, 82.16 FT.; THENCE S 70°20'01" E., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY INTERCHANGE R/W, 100.26 FT.; THENCE S 70°20'03" E., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY INTERCHANGE R/W, 218.97 FT.; THENCE S 78°46'36" E., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY INTERCHANGE R/W, A DISTANCE OF 193.27 FT. TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID NORTHERLY LIMITED ACCESS R/W OF SAID STATE ROAD NO. 93 (INTERSTATE 75); THENCE S 70°20'01" E., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LIMITED ACCESS R/W, A DISTANCE OF 628.85 FT. TO THE P.C. OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 622.20 FT.; THENCE RUN NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID LIMITED ACCESS R/W, AND THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 52°23'47", A DISTANCE OF 136.27 FT. TO THE P.T. OF SAID CURVE; THENCE N 54°58'49" E., ALONG SAID LIMITED ACCESS R/W, A DISTANCE OF 351.55 FT. TO THE P.C. OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 25.51 FT.; THENCE RUN NORTHEASTERLY ALONG SAID LIMITED ACCESS R/W, AND THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 50°03'07", A DISTANCE OF 66.91 FT. TO THE P.T. OF SAID CURVE; THENCE N 09°55'42" E., ALONG SAID LIMITED ACCESS R/W, A DISTANCE OF 158.38 FT.; THENCE N 00°35'22" E., ALONG SAID LIMITED ACCESS R/W, A DISTANCE OF 490.44 FT. TO THE P.C. OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE WEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 5550.10 FT.; THENCE RUN NORTHWESTERLY ALONG SAID LIMITED ACCESS R/W AND THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 04°38'59", A DISTANCE OF 450.62 FT. TO THE INTERSECTION WITH THE NORTHLINE OF SECTION 12, TOWNSHIP 35 S., RANGE 18 E.; THENCE N 89°26'05" W., ALONG THE NORTHLINE OF SAID SECTION 12, A DISTANCE OF 1065.82 FT. TO A CONCRETE MONUMENT FOUND MARKING THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SECTION 12, TOWNSHIP 35 S., RANGE 18 E., SAME BEING THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SECTION 11, TOWNSHIP 35 S., RANGE 18 E.; THENCE N 89°36'09" W., ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SECTION 11, A DISTANCE OF 1404.27 FT. TO THE NORTHEASTERLY CORNER OF SAID CERTAIN PARCEL OF LAND AS DESCRIBED AND RECORDED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS BOOK 915, PAGE 966, BEFORESAID PUBLIC RECORDS; THENCE S 00°26'58" W., ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID CERTAIN PARCEL, A DISTANCE OF 660.16 FT. TO THE SOUTHEASTERLY CORNER OF SAID CERTAIN PARCEL; THENCE N 89°36'09" W., ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID CERTAIN PARCEL, A DISTANCE OF 660.00 FT. TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID CERTAIN PARCEL; THENCE N 00°26'58" W., ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID CERTAIN PARCEL, A DISTANCE OF 660.16 FT. TO THE INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHLINE OF SAID SECTION 11; THENCE N 89°36'09" W., ALONG THE NORTHLINE OF SAID SECTION 11, A DISTANCE OF 660.11 FT. TO THE NORTHEASTERLY CORNER OF THE EAST 1/2 OF SAID SECTION 11; THENCE N 00°24'53" W., ALONG THE WESTLINE OF THE EAST 1/2 OF SAID SECTION 11, A DISTANCE OF 5392.93 FT. TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, BEING AND LYING IN SECTIONS 11, 12, 13 AND 14, MANATEE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

CONTAINING 540.56 ACRES MORE OR LESS.
CREEKWOOD-EAST

THAT PART OF SECTIONS 12 AND 13, TOWNSHIP 35 S., RANGE 18 E., LYING EAST OF STATE ROAD NO. 93 (INTERSTATE 75), AND LYING NORTH OF STATE ROAD NO. 70, LESS LANDS AS DESCRIBED AND RECORDED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS BOOK 1029, PAGE 3035 AND OFFICIAL RECORDS BOOK 1145, PAGE 337, PUBLIC RECORDS OF MANATEE COUNTY, FLORIDA.

ALL OF THE ABOVE BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

PARCEL "A"

CUMMINS AT A CONCRETE MONUMENT FOUND MARKING THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 13, TOWNSHIP 35 S., RANGE 18 E.; THENCE S 01°00'40" W., ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 13, A DISTANCE OF 821.22 FT. TO THE INTERSECTION WITH THE NORTHERLY R/W OF STATE ROAD NO. 70, AS SHOWN ON P.D.O.T. R/W MAP; THENCE N 70°20'03" W., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY R/W, 1492.46 FT.; THENCE N 59°10'32" W., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY R/W, 63.17 FT.; THENCE N 70°20'03" W., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY R/W, 70.00 FT.; THENCE S 01°00'39" W., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY R/W, A DISTANCE OF 79.73 FT. FOR A POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE CONTINUE S 74°16'39" W., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY R/W, 7.01 FT.; THENCE N 70°20'03" W., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY R/W, A DISTANCE OF 512.00 FT. TO THE INTERSECTION WITH THE NORTHERLY INTERCHANGE R/W OF STATE ROAD NO. 93 (INTERSTATE 75), AND STATE ROAD NO. 70; THENCE N 60°14'02" W., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY INTERCHANGE R/W, A DISTANCE OF 217.32 FT. TO THE INTERSECTION WITH THE NORTHERLY LIMITED ACCESS R/W OF SAID STATE ROAD NO. 93 (INTERSTATE 75); THENCE N 70°20'03" W., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LIMITED ACCESS R/W, 500.78 FT.; THENCE N 66°31'17" W., ALONG SAID LIMITED ACCESS R/W, A DISTANCE OF 493.00 FT. TO THE P.C. OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 216.00 FT.; THE RUN NORTHEASTERLY, ALONG SAID LIMITED ACCESS R/W AND THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 48°55'44", A DISTANCE OF 184.46 FT. TO THE P.I. OF SAID CURVE; THENCE N 17°35'24" W., ALONG SAID LIMITED ACCESS R/W, A DISTANCE OF 255.16 FT. TO THE P.C. OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE SOUTHWEST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 201.25 FT.; THE RUN NORTHEASTERLY, ALONG SAID LIMITED ACCESS R/W AND THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 15°31'08", A DISTANCE OF 352.91 FT. TO THE P.I. OF SAID CURVE; THENCE N 51°08'72" W., ALONG SAID LIMITED ACCESS R/W, A DISTANCE OF 131.34 FT. TO THE P.C. OF A CURVE CONCAVE TO THE NORTHEAST, HAVING A RADIUS OF 1192.98 FT.; THENCE RUN NORTHEASTERLY AND NORTHERLY, ALONG SAID LIMITED ACCESS R/W AND THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 32°55'75", A DISTANCE OF 769.08 FT. TO THE P.I. OF SAID CURVE; THENCE N 00°13'08" W., ALONG SAID LIMITED ACCESS R/W, 997.25 FT.; THENCE S 09°55'22" E., ALONG SAID LIMITED ACCESS R/W, A DISTANCE OF 472.30 FT. TO THE INTERSECTION WITH THE NORTH LINE OF SECTION 12, TOWNSHIP 35 S., RANGE 18 E.; THENCE S 89°26'05" E., ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SECTION 12, A DISTANCE OF 1251.28 FT. TO A CONCRETE MONUMENT FOUND MARKING THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF THE EAST 1/2 OF SAID SECTION 12; SAVE BEING THE INTERSECTION WITH THE WEST LINE OF A 30.0 FT. WIDE FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY EASEMENT, AS DESCRIBED AND RECORDED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS BOOK 612, PAGE 508, AFFORESAID PUBLIC RECORDS; THENCE S 00°25'44" E., ALONG SAID WEST LINE, SAVE BEING THE WEST LINE OF SAID EAST 1/2 OF SECTION 12, A DISTANCE OF 2561.96 FT. MORE OR LESS TO THE INTERSECTION WITH THE PHYSICAL CENTERLINE OF A 10.0 FT. WIDE FLORIDA GAS TRANSMISSION COMPANY EASEMENT (FORMERLY KNOWN AS HOUSTON TEXAS GAS & OIL CORPORATION, A DELIMER CORPORATION) AS DESCRIBED AND RECORDED IN DEED BOOK 399, PAGE 117, AFFORESAID PUBLIC RECORDS; THENCE N 59°09'32" E., ALONG SAID PHYSICAL CENTERLINE 63.89 FT.; THENCE N 59°09'32" E., ALONG SAID PHYSICAL CENTERLINE, A DISTANCE OF 316.93 FT. TO THE INTERSECTION WITH THE EAST LINE OF SAID 330.0 FT. WIDE FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY EASEMENT, SAVE BEING THE WEST LINE OF THAT CERTAIN PARCEL OF LAND AS DESCRIBED AND RECORDED IN OFFICIAL RECORDS BOOK 1029, PAGE 3035, AFFORESAID PUBLIC RECORDS; THENCE S 00°25'44" E., ALONG SAID EAST EASEMENT LINE AND THE WEST LINE OF SAID CERTAIN PARCEL, A DISTANCE OF 2702.78 FT. TO THE INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID EAST 1/2 OF SECTION 12, SAVE BEING THE NORTH LINE OF THE EAST 1/2 OF SAID SECTION 13; THENCE S 01°10'44" W., ALONG SAID EAST EASEMENT LINE AND THE WEST LINE OF SAID CERTAIN PARCEL, A DISTANCE OF 2180.91 FT.; THE RUN ALONG THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID CERTAIN PARCEL IN OFFICIAL RECORDS BOOK 1029, PAGE 3035, THE FOLLOWING COURSES AND DISTANCES:

N 74°51'71" E., A DISTANCE OF 109.85 FT. TO A POINT ON A CURVE WHOSE RADIUS POINT LIES N 74°51'71" E., 260.00 FT.; THENCE RUN SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 36°25'17", A DISTANCE OF 184.65 FT. TO THE P.I. OF SAID CURVE; THENCE S 51°28'08" E., 69.78 FT.; THENCE S 69°12'08" E., 152.90 FT.; THENCE S 76°42'08" E., 119.76 FT.; THENCE N 83°11'22" E., 106.67 FT.; THENCE S 06°29'08" E., 80.22 FT.; THENCE S 19°35'57" W., 160.00 FT. TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING 265.81 ACRES MORE OR LESS.
TOGETHER WITH:

PARCEL "B"

Commence at a concrete monument found marking the northeast corner of the southeast 1/4 of section 13, township 35 s., range 18 e.; thence S 01°00'04" W, along the east line of said southeast 1/4 of section 13, a distance of 279.10 ft. for a point of beginning; thence continue S 01°00'04" W, along said east line of the southeast 1/4, a distance of 542.12 ft. to the intersection with the northerly r/w of state road no. 70, as shown on F.D.O.T. r/w map, section 13075-2402; thence N 70°20'03" W, along said northerly r/w, 1492.94 ft.; thence N 33°10'32" W, along said northerly r/w 1.61 ft. to the intersection with the southerly line of that certain parcel of land as described and recorded in official records book 1079, page 3035, aforesaid public records; thence run along the southerly line of said certain parcel the following courses and distances: N 19°39'37" E, 215.12 ft.; thence N 83°57'11" E, a distance of 410.85 ft. to the p.c. of a curve concave to the northwest, having a radius of 283.00 ft.; thence run northeasterly along the arc of said curve through a central angle of 32°32'14", a distance of 161.16 ft.; thence S 41°52'49" E, 121.17 ft.; thence S 10°11'23" E, 72.10 ft.; thence S 43° 49" E, 240.00 ft.; thence S 54°24'59" E, 88.00 ft.; thence N 85°27'11" E, 175.71 ft.; thence N 75°17'11" E, 113.73 ft.; thence N 34°53'11" E, 120.65 ft.; thence S 34°45'76" E, 103.64 ft. to the point of beginning.

Containing 11.84 acres more or less.

Containing a total of 818.26 acres more or less.

Subject to easements, reservations and restrictions of record.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAND USE</th>
<th>ORIGINAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENTIAL LOW DENSITY</td>
<td>124.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3-7 DU/AC) SINGLE FAMILY</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MULTI-FAMILY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENTIAL LOW/MEDIUM</td>
<td>145.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENSITY (9-10 DU/AC)</td>
<td>748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MULTI-FAMILY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENTIAL MEDIUM/LOW</td>
<td>235.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DENSITY (11-13 DU/AC)</td>
<td>1745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MULTI-FAMILY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESIDENTIAL MEDIUM DENSITY</td>
<td>150.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(16-18 DU/AC)</td>
<td>1330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMERCIAL</td>
<td>68.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT. INDUSTRIAL</td>
<td>200.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFFICE PARK</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROADS/OPEN SPACE/BIKeways</td>
<td>109.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARKS</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1090.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROSS DENSITY 3.74 DU/AC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: ADDITIONAL OPEN SPACE WITHIN PARC
NOTE: MINIMUM 24.7 AC. ADDITIONAL PARK ARE NOT INCLUDED IN THIS ACREAGE.
NOTE: REVISION TO PROJECT ACREAGE BASE

PROPOSED ZONING CHANGE
EXISTING PDR
PROPOSED PDI
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LAND USE</th>
<th>ORIGINAL ACRES</th>
<th>ORIGINAL UNITS</th>
<th>1986 REVISION ACRES</th>
<th>1986 REVISION UNITS</th>
<th>1990 REVISION ACRES</th>
<th>1990 REVISION UNITS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>L-D</strong> RESIDENTIAL</td>
<td>124.4</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>211.7</td>
<td>701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L-D) RESIDENTIAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Density (3-7 DU/AC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Family &amp; Multi-Family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>L-M</strong> RESIDENTIAL</td>
<td>145.7</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>102.2</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L-M) RESIDENTIAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low/Medium Density</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9-10 DU/AC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M-L</strong> RESIDENTIAL</td>
<td>235.2</td>
<td>1745</td>
<td>169.6</td>
<td>1286</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M-L) RESIDENTIAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium/Low Density</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11-13 DU/AC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M-D</strong> RESIDENTIAL</td>
<td>150.3</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>150.3</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M-D) RESIDENTIAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Density</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(16-18 DU/AC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COMMERICAL</strong></td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>435,000 SF</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>427,500 SF</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>427,500 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMERCIAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LT. INDUSTRIAL</strong></td>
<td>200.0</td>
<td>2,000,000 SF</td>
<td>200.0</td>
<td>2,000,000 SF</td>
<td>244.2</td>
<td>2,000,000 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LT. INDUSTRIAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OFFICE PARK</strong></td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>184,000 SF</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>184,000 SF</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>184,000 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFFICE PARK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ROADS/OPEN SPACE/BIKEWAYS</strong></td>
<td>109.0'</td>
<td>64.6'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>69.7'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROADS/OPEN SPACE/BIKEWAYS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PARKS</strong></td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>3510</td>
<td>25.0²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARKS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>1090.0</td>
<td>4081</td>
<td>3510</td>
<td>2805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GROSS DENSITY</td>
<td>3.74 DU/AC</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.1 DU/AC</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4 DU/AC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE¹** ADDITIONAL OPEN SPACE WITHIN PARCELS NOT INCLUDED IN THIS ACREAGE.
**NOTE²** MINIMUM 24.7 AC ADDITIONAL PARK AREA. PROPOSED IN LIGHT INDUSTRIAL PARCELS. NOT INCLUDED IN THIS ACREAGE.
**NOTE³** REVISION TO PROJECT ACREAGE BASED ON 1989 SURVEY BY LOMBARDO & SKIPPER.

PROPOSED ZONING CHANGE
EXISTING PDR
PROPOSED PDI
PARCEL 1-9
LIGHT INDUSTRIAL
85.9 AC

PARCEL H
33.4 AC

COUNTY SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT

PROPOSED REZONES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPOSED LAND USE</th>
<th>SIZE (SQ. FT.)</th>
<th>DWELLING UNIT REDUCTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LIGHT INDUSTRIAL</td>
<td>NO INCREASE</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL</td>
<td>NO INCREASE</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL</td>
<td>NO INCREASE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIGHT INDUSTRIAL</td>
<td>NO INCREASE</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENERAL COMMERCIAL</td>
<td>NO INCREASE</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C-7 WILL INCREASE THE COMMERCIAL ACREAGE BUT NO INCREASE IN THE GROSS FLOOR AREA. PARCEL C-3 3 AC. AS ORIGINALY APPROVED. AND THE REISED PLAN HIT THE ORIGINAL 7,500 SF ASSIGNED TO THE PARCEL C-6 OR C-7.

REVISIONS

1/6/91 Roy Road #
4th Floor Change
2/7/91 Adjusted Original
Site Survey
3/1/91 Adjusted E.F.
4/24/91 Adjusted E.F.
Casey H. 160 10/14/91
Revised total Commercial
Floor Area. Revised acreage

P.O.
20

P.E. Ri
LEGEND

- BIKE PATH
- SUBPHASE LINE
- WETLANDS
- LAKES
- PARK EASEMENTS

STONEY BROOK
(FORMERLY FAIRWAY PINES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARCEL</th>
<th>SIZE (AC.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-10</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>33.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE #1
PARCELS G, C-6 & C-7 WILL THERE WILL BE NO INCREASE CONTAINED 2.0 AC. AS C PROPOSES TO SHIFT THE TO EITHER PARCEL C-6 OF
PROPOSED ZONING CHANGE
EXISTING PDR
PROPOSED PDI

SCALE IN FEET

DRI #13

TER DEVELOPMENT PLAN
FOR
CREEKWOOD

ON 11, 12, 13, & 14, TWP. 35 S. RGE. 18 E.
Manatee County, Florida

P.P.L
NOV 17 1992
CEM
The Bradenton Herald
Published Daily
Bradenton, Manatee, Florida

STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF MANATEE:

Before the undersigned authority personally appeared Jill Rockefeller, who on oath says that she is Legal Advertising Representative of The Bradenton Herald, a daily newspaper published at Bradenton in Manatee County, Florida; that the attached copy of the advertisement, being a Legal Advertisement in the matter of Notice of Establishment was published in said newspaper in the issues of

2/5/93

Affiant further says that the said publication is a newspaper published at Bradenton, in said Manatee County, Florida, and that the said newspaper has heretofore been continuously published in said Manatee County, Florida, each day and has been entered as second-class mail matter at the post office in Bradenton, in said Manatee County, Florida, for a period of 1 year next preceding the first publication of the attached copy of advertisement; and affiant further says that she has neither paid nor promised any person, firm or corporation any discount, rebate, commission or refund for the purpose of securing this advertisement for publication in the said newspaper.

(Signature of Affiant)

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 8 day of February 1993.

SEAL & Notary Public

Official Seal
DONNA MARIE SALTFIELD
Notary Public, State of Florida
My comm. expires Sun. 27, 1996
Comm. No. CC32211

4139

Personally Known or Produced Identification
Type of Identification Produced
AFFIDAVIT OF POSTING OF PUBLIC NOTICE SIGN, AND
NOTIFICATION BY CERTIFIED MAIL, RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED,
TO CONTIGUOUS PROPERTY OWNERS

STATE OF FLORIDA

COUNTY OF MANATEE

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, personally appeared
PATERICK A. PETRUFF, who, after having first been
duly sworn and put upon oath, says as follows:

1. That he/she is the AGENT
(owner, agent for owner, attorney in fact for owner, etc.) of the
property identified in the application for Amendments to Resolution
R-86-112, amending Resolution R-85-219, Resolution No. R-92-80 (DRI
No. 1), and Official Rezone No. Z-84-76(R) to be heard before the
Manatee County Board of County Commissioners at a public hearing to
be held on July 23, 1992, and as such, is authorized to execute and
make this Affidavit and is familiar with the matters set forth
herein, and they are true to the best of his/her knowledge,
information and belief.

2. That the Affiant has caused the required public notice
sign to be posted pursuant to Manatee County Ordinance No. 90-01,
on the property identified in said application, and said sign was
conspicuously posted * feet from the front property line on the
2nd day of July, 1992. (SEE ATTACHED)

3. That the Affiant has caused the mailing of the required
letter of notification to contiguous property owners pursuant to
Manatee County Ordinance No. 90-01, by Certified Mail. Return
Receipt Requested, on the 26th day of June, 1992, and
attaches hereto, as a part of and incorporated herein, a complete
list of the names and addresses of the persons entitled to notice
and proof of the method of mailing.

4. That Affiant is aware of and understands that failure to
adhere to the provisions of Manatee County Ordinance No. 90-01, as
it relates to the required public notice, may cause the above
identified hearing to be postponed and rescheduled only upon
compliance with the public notice requirements.

FURTHER YOUR AFFIANT SAITH NOT.

[Signature]

SIGNED AND SWORN TO before me on July 2, 1992
(date) by PATRICIA A. PETRUFF (name of
affiant). He/she is personally known to me or/has/had
identifications/keys/keys and who did/did not take an oath.

[Seal]

My Commission Expires: 4-27-94
Commission No.: W.A. 742

4140